HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

COVER PAGE - EMAIL PROOF OF SERVICE

ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION / SERVICE RECORD

TO	OFFICE OF THE CLERK - SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA
ADDRESS	201 South Carson Street, Suite 201 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4702
CLERK EMAIL	nvscclerk@nvcourts.nv.gov
TELEPHONE	(775) 684-1600
FROM	princess emilily hotep el; prince ra hotep el; Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust BT; House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal XIII
SUBJECT	Email Proof of Service / Electronic Transmission Record
DATE OF EMAIL	October 5, 2026
EMAIL SUBJECT	Consolidated Jurisdictional Record Package
RELATED CASE / MATTER	Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA

PURPOSE AND RECORD CHARACTERIZATION

This cover page identifies the following email record as documentary proof of electronic transmission/service to the Office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada. The attached email record should control as to the actual sender, recipient(s), date, time, subject line, attachments, and transmission information shown in the preserved email.

DOCUMENTS / ATTACHMENTS TRANSMITTED

1. Electronic Service
2. Four Motions
3. Proposed Orders
4. Cc Appendix Of Exhibits

CERTIFICATION OF PRESERVED EMAIL RECORD

The email proof attached behind this cover page is presented as the preserved electronic transmission record. This cover page does not alter the contents of the underlying email and does not represent a filing stamp, docket entry, or acknowledgment by the Supreme Court of Nevada unless the attached email itself expressly establishes such receipt, filing, docketing, or acknowledgment.

Submitted / Certified by:	**Date:**
Chief Maria Ali Dey	October 5, 2026
House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal XIII	Electronic Proof of Service Record

ATTACH THE PRESERVED SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA EMAIL IMMEDIATELY BEHIND THIS COVER PAGE

FILED
Consular Court
House Of Judah
September 30, 2026
8:35 AM

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Related Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA

Related Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183

CONSOLIDATED JURISDICTIONAL RECORD PACKAGE

Four Motions and Four Proposed Orders

Purpose: This package separates subject-matter jurisdiction, personal jurisdiction, party capacity, magistrate-judge authority, and the accuracy of the underlying case record. It does not assume that a later docket label creates jurisdiction or that a discrepancy, standing alone, proves motive or misconduct.

DOCUMENTED BASELINE

- June 1, 2022: District of Nevada Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA was opened. The preserved docket identifies Princess Emilily Hotep El and Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust as filing parties and Ally Bank / Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as opposing parties.
- July 22, 2022: Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts entered ECF No. 43 denying ECF Nos. 31, 32, 36, 39, 40, 41, and 42.
- July 25, 2022: the docket reflects Notices of Correction at ECF Nos. 45, 46, and 47, along with oppositions/ rebuttals to ECF No. 43.
- July 27, 2022: ECF Nos. 51 and 52 sought restoration of motions to the docket; responses were stated as due August 10, 2022.
- August 22, 2022: Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183 was docketed as a petition for writ of mandamus.
- September 23, 2022: District Judge Andrew P. Gordon granted Ally Bank's motion to remand. The order held that the removed action lacked federal-question jurisdiction and diversity jurisdiction; it denied ECF Nos. 17, 51, 52, and 60 as moot and directed the Clerk to close the federal case.
- The September 23 order reasoned that Ally's underlying complaint asserted state-law claims, that federal defenses/counterclaims could not supply removal jurisdiction, and that Ally sought far below the diversity amount in controversy.

MOTION ONE

MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTIONAL BASIS AND SCOPE OF ADJUDICATORY AUTHORITY

Petitioners request a record-based clarification identifying the precise jurisdictional basis, parties, claims, and source documents over which federal adjudicatory authority was exercised in Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA and in any later description of that matter.

A. Subject-Matter Jurisdiction Already Addressed

Petitioners do not contend that a federal court lacks authority to determine the existence of its own jurisdiction. The September 23, 2022 remand order did exactly that. It concluded that the removed Ally action supplied neither federal-question jurisdiction nor diversity jurisdiction and remanded the matter.

The present concern is what follows from that determination: the record should identify the exact matter and parties over which any subsequent adjudicatory authority is claimed, particularly if later electronic descriptions, party classifications, or real-party-in-interest designations differ from the contemporaneous source filings.

B. Personal Jurisdiction Is a Separate Inquiry

Subject-matter jurisdiction and personal jurisdiction are distinct. Petitioners preserve the position that no later caption, database classification, or real-party-in-interest designation itself establishes personal jurisdiction over Princess Emilily Hotep El, Prince Ra Hotep El in his asserted Executor/Trustee capacity, or Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust.

Accordingly, to the extent any order, opinion, judgment, or later docket action purports to adjudicate rights or obligations of any such person or trust, Petitioners request identification of the service, consent, waiver, minimum-contact basis, statutory authorization, or other record source relied upon for personal jurisdiction as to that particular party and claim.

C. Relief Requested

1. Identify the operative pleading or removal paper defining the matter over which jurisdiction was exercised.
2. Identify the source of subject-matter jurisdiction asserted before the September 23, 2022 remand and acknowledge the remand determination.
3. Identify separately the asserted basis of personal jurisdiction for each person or entity whose rights were adjudicated.
4. Identify any record basis for treating Prince Ra Hotep El, Executor/Trustee, as a party subject to adjudicatory authority rather than merely a person appearing in a stated representative capacity.
5. Identify any order entered after remand that purported to revive, reopen, expand, or alter the closed federal matter and the authority for doing so.
6. Preserve the distinction between jurisdiction to decide jurisdiction and jurisdiction to decide the merits.

PROPOSED ORDER ONE

IT IS ORDERED that the Motion for Clarification is GRANTED to the extent that the record shall separately identify: (1) the source of subject-matter jurisdiction asserted in the removed action; (2) the September 23, 2022 remand determination; (3) the basis, if any, for personal jurisdiction over each person or entity whose rights were adjudicated; and (4) any later order claimed to authorize reopening, expansion, or alteration of the remanded matter. No later electronic party label shall, standing alone, be treated as the source of personal jurisdiction.

MOTION TWO

MOTION TO CLARIFY MAGISTRATE-JUDGE REFERRAL, CONSENT, AND ADJUDICATORY AUTHORITY

Petitioners request clarification of the statutory and docket basis for the authority exercised by United States Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts in the 2022 proceeding.

The preserved docket identifies Judge Andrew P. Gordon as the district judge and Daniel J. Albregts as the magistrate judge. ECF No. 43, entered July 22, 2022, denied multiple motions. The later September 23 remand order was entered by District Judge Gordon.

Questions Requiring a Record Answer

7. What referral order or standing assignment defined the matters referred to Magistrate Judge Albregts?
8. Was authority exercised under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), § 636(c), or another source?
9. If any final adjudicatory authority under § 636(c) is asserted, what record establishes the required consent or other lawful basis?
10. Which rulings were nondispositive pretrial rulings and which, if any, purported to finally adjudicate claims or jurisdictional rights?
11. Did any later docket action expand the scope of the original referral after the September 23, 2022 remand?

PROPOSED ORDER TWO

IT IS ORDERED that the docket shall identify the referral and statutory basis under which the magistrate judge acted and shall distinguish nondispositive pretrial authority from any asserted authority to enter final judgment or otherwise finally adjudicate claims. Nothing in this Order determines disputed consent; the record itself shall control.

MOTION THREE

MOTION TO CLARIFY AND CORRECT THE RECORD CONCERNING DISTINCT PROCEEDINGS, PARTIES, AND CAPACITIES

Petitioners request that separate proceedings remain separate in the record and that later electronic descriptions not be attributed retroactively to earlier source documents.

Distinct Proceedings

Proceeding	Preserved subject/party description	Record concern
A-22-849976-C	Property-record matter; preserved filing names Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder as Respondent.	Do not substitute State of Nevada, Clark County generally, or the federal District Court for the named Respondent in the source filing.
A-21-843907-C	Underlying Ally Bank state matter.	Keep separate from the County Recorder/property-record case.
2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA	Removed Ally-related federal matter; remanded September 23, 2022.	Later descriptions should be traceable to a filing or docket event.
22-70183	Ninth Circuit mandamus proceeding; later historical roster displays District of Nevada, Las Vegas as Respondent and Ally/ Aldridge Pite as Real Parties in Interest.	The appellate designation should not be used to rewrite the original captions of distinct underlying matters.

Correction Chronology

The federal docket itself records Notices of Correction at ECF Nos. 45, 46, and 47 immediately after ECF No. 43. It also records ECF Nos. 51 and 52 seeking restoration of motions. Petitioners request that any later correction, party substitution, real-party-in-interest designation, or caption modification be identified by the exact docket entry that authorized or supplied it.

The present source set does not establish that a person named "Sydney" filed the correction that produced any later Nevada/Clark County/State-of-Nevada description. That assertion is therefore reserved unless and until the source filing is identified. More importantly, the contemporaneous records presently preserved do not show the State of Nevada or Clark County as the Real Parties in Interest in Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183. The preserved appellate record updated August 25, 2022 identifies Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and Princess Emilily Hotep El as Petitioners; the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas, as Respondent; and Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as the Real Parties in Interest.

The September 23, 2022 District Court remand order likewise does not identify the State of Nevada or Clark County as Real Parties in Interest. Its caption identifies Princess Emilily Hotep El and Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust as Plaintiffs and Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as Defendants. It states that the removed matter was state case A-21-843907-C and remands that action for lack of federal subject-matter jurisdiction. Accordingly, any later record, database entry, opinion summary, or document bearing a September 23, 2022 date that characterizes the State of Nevada or Clark County as a Real Party in Interest must be kept distinct from these contemporaneous source records and traced to its own filing, docket entry, amendment, correction, or other source authority. No later description should be silently treated as though it appeared in the August 2022 appellate record or in the September 23, 2022 remand order.

PROPOSED ORDER THREE

IT IS ORDERED that A-22-849976-C, A-21-843907-C, 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA, and 22-70183 shall be identified as distinct proceedings according to their respective source records. Any party designation added or corrected after the original filing shall be traceable to the docket entry or source document through which the designation was made.

MOTION FOUR

MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE OF CONTEMPORANEOUS SOURCE RECORDS AND DOCUMENTARY CHRONOLOGY

Petitioners request judicial notice, to the extent permitted by law, of the existence and dates of the court records and governmental correspondence identified below, without asking the Court to accept disputed allegations within those materials as true merely because the documents exist.

- April 28, 2022 filing in A-22-849976-C identifying Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder as Respondent.
- June-July 2022 District of Nevada docket entries in 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA, including ECF Nos. 43, 45-47, 51, and 52.
- August 22, 2022 docketing of Ninth Circuit mandamus No. 22-70183.
- September 23, 2022 remand order of District Judge Andrew P. Gordon.
- May 30, 2023 Nevada Attorney General correspondence concerning Claim TC20610, preserved for its actual contents and stated denial of State liability.
- Later historical/public appellate roster for No. 22-70183, preserved as evidence of the later displayed party classifications rather than proof that every classification appeared in Petitioners' original filing.

PROPOSED ORDER FOUR

IT IS ORDERED that judicial notice is taken of the existence, dates, captions, and docketed character of the identified court records and governmental correspondence to the extent proper under Federal Rule of Evidence 201. Judicial notice does not convert disputed factual assertions contained within a filing into adjudicated facts.

PROPOSED ORDER FOUR

IT IS ORDERED that judicial notice is taken of the existence, dates, captions, and docketed character of the identified court records and governmental correspondence to the extent proper under Federal Rule of Evidence 201. Judicial notice does not convert disputed factual assertions contained within a filing into adjudicated facts.

Chief El-Bey

Clerk of the Consular Court Court Chief Maria Ali Dey

Chief Justice El-Bey

Chief Maria Ali Dey
Living Woman, Principal Witness and Authority
All Rights Reserved
UCC 1-308

Samuel
Chief Justice

Chief Justice Samuel El

Natalie

Chief Justice Natalie Il

Bey
Chief Justice

Chief Justice Marcus Bey



Tej
Chief Justice

Chief Justice Tej Dey

Chief Justice Maria Ali — Clerk for the House of Judah Tribunal VIII



Chief Justice Ali
UCC 1-308

Date: September 30, 2026

All subscribed and sworn before me this **30th,** day of **September**, 2026. Vizir Notary / Authorized Judicial Officer for the Consular Court Tribunal VIII House of Judah

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF JUXTAPOSITION AND JURISDICTIONAL PRESERVATION

The record question is not whether a federal court may determine its own jurisdiction. It may and, in the removed Ally matter, the District Court did. The record question is whether later adjudicatory acts and party classifications remained within the jurisdictional and procedural boundaries established by the source documents and the September 23, 2022 remand.

Juxtaposition means placing the contemporaneous records beside the later descriptions without silently reconciling them. Where the records differ, the difference is preserved and the source of the later change is requested.

Petitioners further preserve, without asking the Court to decide unsupported factual propositions, their objections concerning personal jurisdiction, capacity, service, consent, and the treatment of Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and its asserted foreign/private trust status. The legal consequence of those asserted statuses depends upon the governing law and supporting record; the status label alone is not treated here as self-proving immunity from jurisdiction.

Likewise, the motives of attorneys, clerks, judges, or governmental actors are not presumed from a discrepancy. The requested relief is documentary: identify the source filing, correction, referral, order, service, consent, or jurisdictional basis that produced the later record.

AUTHORITIES AND RECORD SOURCES

- 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332, 1441, 1447; 28 U.S.C. § 636.
- Fed. R. Civ. P. 4, 12; Fed. R. Evid. 201.
- Hotep El v. Ally Bank, No. 2:22-cv-00874 (D. Nev. Sept. 23, 2022) (remand order).
- Ruhrgas AG v. Marathon Oil Co., 526 U.S. 574 (1999) (distinguishing subject-matter and personal jurisdiction).
- Insurance Corp. of Ireland v. Compagnie des Bauxites de Guinee, 456 U.S. 694 (1982) (personal jurisdiction as an individual due-process protection and subject to waiver).
- Walden v. Fiore, 571 U.S. 277 (2014) (Nevada personal-jurisdiction analysis and defendant-focused contacts).

Prepared as a consolidated draft for record review. Bracketed or disputed factual propositions should be conformed to the certified docket and source exhibits before filing.

APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS

Supporting Exhibits to Jurisdiction Motions and Proposed Orders

The exhibits below are reproduced as submitted. Their inclusion preserves the documentary record and does not alter the source documents.

Exhibit	Document	Pages
A	cases.justia.com copy(1).pdf	3
B	Hotep El et al v. Ally Bank et al 2:2022cv00874 I U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada I Justia(6).pdf	8
C	Hotep El v. Ally Bank, 2:22-cv-00874(1).pdf	1
D	Case No. 22-70183 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals August 25, 2022 (4).pdf	5
E	C Gonzalez stated that Ally Bank has its own inhouse law firm they never hired Aldridge Pite Haan LLP copy(5).pdf	3
F	Exhibit princess emilily hotep el is not a party to this matter Heard by Joanna S. Kishner copy(5).pdf	2
G	Exhibit_Representation_Knowledge_Identity_Notice_Matrix(1).pdf	4
H	FannieMae vs MONICA R HALL DOE Occupants Case no. 23C006611(4).pdf	2
I	FBI princess IC3(3).pdf	5
J	FBI IC3 Complaint 2 MPHLLC(2).pdf	4
K	Hotep El et al v Ally Bank et al(1).pdf	14
L	AFFIDAVIT OF FACT, WRONGFUL SEIZURE, UNLAWFUL ENTRY, AND FRAUDULENT CLAIM OF PROPERTY AUTHORITY (dragged)(5).pdf	1
M	Cover Letter to DHS – Nationality & Status Recognition and Police Report accepted 2(1).pdf	4
N	Hotep El v. Ally Bank I 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA I D. Nev...(4).pdf	5
O	Moor Indian - choctaw Cherokee etc,...(1).pdf	3
P	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER & OR JUDGMENT copy(3).pdf	3
Q	Order & remedy removal of Break in Chain of Title FannieMae Fidelity National title Court Order Property remains in the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust (3).pdf	2
R	State Nevada Municipality $400,000,000.00 damages(3).pdf	10
S	Hotep El v. Ally Bank (2022)(1).pdf	2

EXHIBIT A

cases.justia.com copy(1).pdf

Original source document - 3 page(s)

Hotep El et al v. Ally Bank et al Doc. 43

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

Princess Emilily Hotep El; Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust, Plaintiffs, v. Ally Bank; Aldridge Pite Haan, LLP, Defendants.	Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA **Order**

Pro se plaintiff Princess Emilily Hotep El[1] has filed seven motions including four motions to remove or strike Defendants' pleadings (ECF Nos. 31, 39, 40, 42), two motions "for counterclaim debt" (ECF Nos. 36, 41), and a "motion in agreement for PFJR" (ECF No. 32). However, it is unclear what relief these motions seek or the legal grounds on which El seeks relief. Additionally, El's motions appear to be rooted in theories attributable to the "sovereign citizen" movement, which theories courts have rejected. The Court thus denies each of El's motions.

I. Discussion.

Not only do El's motions fail to state the grounds for the relief she seeks or even what relief she seeks, El's motions are based on her theory that she is a "foreign national" over which the United States government does not have jurisdiction. A recurring theme throughout these motions is that El—who refers to herself as "Diplomat princess emilily hotep el"—asserts that

[1] The Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust is listed as a plaintiff in this action. It appears that El is purporting to represent this entity. However, El cannot represent the trust because corporate defendants must appear in court through an attorney. *See In re Am. W. Airlines*, 40 F.3d 1058, 1059 (9th Cir. 1994); *see United States v. High Country Broad.*, 3 F.3d 1244, 1245 (9th Cir. 1993).

Dockets.Justia.com

she is not the same individual as "MONICA RENE HALL," whom El refers to as the "all caps incorporation." (ECF No. 31 at 1, 6).

These motions appear to stem from El's apparent adherence to the "sovereign citizen" anti-government movement. As one court described it:

> Though the precise contours of their philosophy differ among the various groups, almost all antigovernment movements adhere to a theory of "sovereign citizen." Essentially, they believe that our nation is made up of two types of people: those who are sovereign citizens by virtue of Article IV of the Constitution, and those who are "corporate" or "14th Amendment" citizens by virtue of the ratifications of the 14th amendment. The arguments put forth by these groups are generally incoherent, legally, and vary greatly among different groups and different speakers within those groups. They all rely on snippets of 19th Century court opinions taken out of context, definitions from obsolete legal dictionaries and treatises, and misplaced interpretations of original intent. One of the more cogent[—]in the sense that it is readily followed—arguments is that there were no United States citizens prior to the ratification of the 14th Amendment. All Americans were merely citizens of their own state and owed no allegiance to the federal government. As a result of that amendment, however, Congress created a new type of citizen—one who now enjoyed privileges conferred by the federal government and in turn answered to that government.
>
> One of the ramifications of this belief is the dependent belief that, unless one specifically renounces his federal citizenship, he is not the type of citizen originally contemplated by the Constitution. And, in their view, the Constitution requires all federal office holders to be the original or sovereign type of citizen, a state citizen rather than a United States citizen. As a result, all federal officers are holding office illegally and their laws and rules are thus constitutionally suspect.

Clarke v. Allen, No. 3:17-cv-00582-MMD-WGC, 2020 WL 3510921, at *1-2 (D. Nev. June 29, 2020) (quoting *United States v. Mitchell*, 405 F. Supp. 2d 602, 605 (D. Md. 2005)).

Other courts have described a similar theory based on the belief that passing the Fourteenth Amendment led to fictitious entities:

> Supposedly, prior to the passage of the Fourteenth amendment, there were no U.S. citizens; instead, people were citizens only of their individual states. Even after the passage of the Fourteenth Amendment, U.S. citizenship remains optional. The federal

> government, however, has tricked the populace into becoming U.S. citizens by entering into "contracts" embodied in such documents as birth certificates and social security cards. With these contracts, an individual unwittingly creates a fictitious entity (*i.e.*, the U.S. citizen) that represents, but is separate from, the real person. Through these contracts, individuals also unknowingly pledge themselves and their property, through their newly created fictitious entities, as security for the national debt in exchange for the benefits of citizenship.

Id. (quoting *Bryant v. Wash. Mut. Bank*, 524 F. Supp. 2d 753, 758 (W.D. Va. 2007)).

However, "[t]he attempt to divide oneself into two separate entities…is a legal fiction and has been struck down consistently in courts and around the country." *Id.* (internal citations and quotations omitted). As the Honorable Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb aptly explained, "[t]his court, like others across the country, concludes that 'sovereign citizens,' like all citizens of the United States, are subject to the laws of the jurisdiction in which they reside." *Id.* (internal citations and quotations omitted). "Laws of the United States apply to all persons within its borders." *United States v. James*, 328 F.3d 953, 954 (7th Cir. 2003). "Regardless of an individual's claimed status of descent, be it as a 'sovereign citizen,' a 'secured-party creditor,' or a 'flesh-and-blood human being,' that person is not beyond the jurisdiction of the courts. These theories should be rejected summarily, however they are presented." *United States v. Benabe*, 654 F.3d 753, 767 (7th Cir. 2011).

The Court rejects El's theory that she is entitled to some form of relief through her motions simply because she declares herself a "foreign national" or "sovereign citizen." It also rejects her theory that she is entitled to some form of relief because Defendants have referred to her as the "corporate" entity: Monica Rene Hall. The Court will not entertain future motions based on these theories.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions (ECF Nos. 31, 32, 36, 39, 40, 41, and 42) are **denied.**

DATED: July 22, 2022

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

EXHIBIT B

Hotep El et al v. Ally Bank et al 2:2022cv00874 | U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada | Justia(6).pdf

Original source document - 8 page(s)

JUSTIA

Hotep El et al v. Ally Bank et al

Aldridge Pite Haan LLP
Princess Emilily Hotep El and Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust
Ally Bank
2:2022cv00874
June 1, 2022
U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada
Daniel J Albregts
Andrew P Gordon
Other Statutory Actions
28 U.S.C. § 1332 Diversity-Contract Dispute
Both

Docket Report

RSS Track this Docket

This docket was last retrieved on July 28, 2022. A more recent docket listing may be available from PACER.

Document Text
Filing 54 REPLY to #33 Response to #17 Motion to Dismiss by Defendant

Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Respondent Ally Bank. (Attachments: #1 Certificate of Service)(Nguyen, Cuong) Modified to add underlying link on 7/29/2022 (DRS).

Filing 53 REPLY to #25 and #34 Responses to #16 Motion to Remand by Respondent Ally Bank. (Attachments: #1 Certificate of Service)(Nguyen, Cuong) Modified to add underlying link on 7/29/2022 (DRS).

Filing 52 MOTION for all Motions to be Placed back in Docket and Not Stricken by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/10/2022. (JQC) (misc) (referral)

Filing 51 MOTION for all Motions to be Placed back in Docket and Not Stricken by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/10/2022. (JQC) (misc) (referral)

Filing 50 Rebuttal by Princess Emilily Hotep El re #43 Order. (HAM)

Filing 49 Opposition by Princess Emilily Hotep El re #43 Order. (HAM)

Filing 48 Third Rebuttal in Opposition re #43 Order by Princess Emilily Hotep El. (HAM)

Filing 47 NOTICE of Correction by Princess Emilily Hotep El. (appears duplicative of #45 , #46 Notice - HAM)

Filing 46 NOTICE of Correction by Princess Emilily Hotep El (appears duplicative of #45 Notice - HAM)

Filing 45 NOTICE of Correction by Princess Emilily Hotep El. (HAM)

Filing 44 Opposition to #43 Order by Petitioner Princess Emilily Hotep El. Responses due by 8/8/2022. (HAM) (misc) (nondispositive) Modified title of document on 7/26/2022 (DRS).

Filing 43 ORDER. IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions (ECF Nos. #31 , #32 , #36 , #39 , #40 , #41 , and #42) are denied. Signed by Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts on 7/22/2022. (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - HAM)

Filing 42 MOTION to Strike Pleadings by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/4/2022. (LOE)

Filing 41 MOTION for Counterclaim Debt Tendered by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/4/2022. (LOE)

Filing 40 MOTION to Remove Defendants Pleadings by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/4/2022. (LOE)

Filing 39 MOTION to Remove Defendants Pleadings by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/4/2022. (LOE)

Filing 38 In Agreement with Dismissal of Joinder by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 37 NOTICE of Correction of Contact Address and Numbers by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 36 MOTION for Counterclaim Debt by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/4/2022. (LOE)

Filing 35 NOTICE of Petitioners motion for sanctions by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 34 RESPONSE to #16 Motion to Remand to State Court by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Replies due by 7/28/2022. (LOE)

Filing 33 RESPONSE to #17 Motion to Dismiss by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Replies due by 7/28/2022. (LOE)

Filing 32 MOTION in Agreement for PFJR by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/4/2022. (LOE)

Filing 31 MOTION to Remove Defendants Pleadings by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/4/2022. (LOE)

Filing 30 Response to Defendants Respondents Judicial Review by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 29 Mail Returned as Undeliverable addressed to Princess Emily Hotep. (LOE)

Filing 28 CERTIFICATE of Interested Parties. There are no known interested parties other than those participating in the case, by Aldridge Pite Haan LLP. NOTICE of Corporate Disclosure Statement (Attachments: #1 Certificate of Service)(Nguyen, Cuong) Modified event on 7/12/2022 (SLD).

Filing 27 Mail Returned as Undeliverable addressed to Princess Emily Hotep. (LOE)

Filing 26 Exhibit H4 by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 25 RESPONSE to #16 Motion to Remand to State Court by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Replies due by 7/15/2022. (LOE)

Filing 24 Meet and Confer Attempt by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 23 Fraudulent Identity Created by Aldridge Pite Haan by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 22 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE for #21 Response by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 21 RESPONSE to #16 Motion to Remand to State Court by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Replies due by 7/15/2022. (LOE)

Filing 20 MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS of the Honorable Judge Andrew P. Gordon on 7/8/2022. Regarding the Requirements of Klingele v. Eikenberry and Rand v. Rowland as to #17 Motion to Dismiss. Opposition due 14 days from the date of this Minute Order, and reply due seven 7 days after the filing of the opposition. (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - SLD)

Filing 19 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE for #17 Motion to Dismiss by Defendant Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Respondent Ally Bank.. (Nguyen, Cuong)

Filing 18 REQUEST for Judicial Notice re #17 Motion to Dismiss by Defendant Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Respondent Ally Bank.. (Nguyen, Cuong)

Filing 17 MOTION to Dismiss #7 Amended Complaint by Defendant Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Respondent Ally Bank. by Defendant Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Respondent Ally Bank. Responses due by 7/21/2022. (Nguyen, Cuong)

Attorney update in case: Attorney Eddie R. Jimenez added for Defendant Ally Bank as listed on the #16 Motion to Remand. (SLD)

Filing 16 MOTION to Remand to State Court by Respondent Ally Bank. Responses due by 7/14/2022. (Attachments: #1 Certificate of Service, #2 Corporate Disclosure Statement) (Nguyen, Cuong)

Filing 15 ORDER Denying without prejudice #9 Motion for Joinder. Signed by Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts on 6/29/2022. (Copies have been distributed pursuant to the NEF - LOE)

Filing 14 SUMMONS Returned Executed by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust re #1 Complaint. Aldridge Pite Haan LLP served on 6/4/2022. Ally Bank served on 6/16/2022. (LOE)

Filing 13 NOTICE of Entry of Order/Judgment by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 12 NOTICE of Motion by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 11 NOTICE of Special Appearance by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)

Filing 10 NOTICE of Special Appearance by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)
Filing 9 MOTION for Joinder Adding by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 6/30/2022. (LOE)
Filing 8 NOTICE of Removal of State Court Action by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)
Filing 7 AMENDED COMPLAINT with Jury Demand against Ally Bank by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Removes parties. (LOE)
Filing 6 Consent for Electronic Service of Documents by Petitioner Princess Emilily Hotep El. (LOE)
Filing 5 NOTICE of Entry of Order by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)
Filing 4 NOTICE of Special Appearance by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)
Filing 3 NOTICE of Special Appearance by Prince Ra Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)
Filing 2 NOTICE of Removal of State Court Action by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE)
Filing 1 COMPLAINT against Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Ally Bank by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Proof of service due by 8/30/2022. (Attachments: #1 Receipt, #2 Civil Cover Sheet, #3 Summons) (LOE)
Case randomly assigned to Judge Andrew P. Gordon and Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts. Nature of Suit: 830/835 Patent Case (HAM) Modified from patent case at request of chambers on 6/2/2022 (DRS).

Access additional case information on PACER

Use the links below to access additional information about this case on the U.S. Court's PACER system. A subscription to PACER is required.

Access this case on the Nevada District Court's Electronic Court Filings (ECF) System

- Search for Party Aliases
- Associated Cases
- Attorneys
- Case File Location
- Case Summary
- Docket Report
- History/Documents
- Parties
- Related Transactions
- Check Status

Search for this case: Hotep El et al v. Ally Bank et al

Search News	[Google News | Marketwatch | Wall Street Journal | Financial Times | New York Times]
Search Web	[Unicourt | Legal Web | Google | Bing | Yahoo | Ask]

Petitioner: Princess Emilily Hotep El

Represented By:	Princess Emily Hotep
Search News	[Google News | Marketwatch | Wall Street Journal | Financial Times | New York Times]
Search Finance	[Google Finance | Yahoo Finance | Hoovers | SEC Edgar Filings]
Search Web	[Justia Dockets | Legal Web | Google | Bing | Yahoo | Ask]

Petitioner: Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust

Represented By:	Prince Ra EL
Represented By:	Princess Emily Hotep
Search News	[Google News | Marketwatch | Wall Street Journal |

	Financial Times | New York Times]
Search Finance	[Google Finance | Yahoo Finance | Hoovers | SEC Edgar Filings]
Search Web	[Justia Dockets | Legal Web | Google | Bing | Yahoo | Ask]

Defendant: Aldridge Pite Haan LLP

Represented By:	Cuong Nguyen
Search News	[Google News | Marketwatch | Wall Street Journal | Financial Times | New York Times]
Search Finance	[Google Finance | Yahoo Finance | Hoovers | SEC Edgar Filings]
Search Web	[Justia Dockets | Legal Web | Google | Bing | Yahoo | Ask]

Respondent: Ally Bank

Represented By:	Eddie R. Jimenez
Represented By:	Cuong Nguyen
Search News	[Google News | Marketwatch | Wall Street Journal | Financial Times | New York Times]
Search Finance	[Google Finance | Yahoo Finance | Hoovers | SEC Edgar Filings]
Search Web	[Justia Dockets | Legal Web | Google | Bing | Yahoo | Ask]

Disclaimer: Justia Dockets & Filings provides public litigation records from the federal appellate and district courts. These filings and docket sheets should not be considered findings of fact or liability, nor do they necessarily reflect the view of Justia.

Why Is My Information Online?

EXHIBIT C

Hotep El v. Ally Bank, 2:22-cv-00874(1).pdf

Original source document - 1 page(s)

Reviewed opinion

I've read through the case. Here's an overview:

Motion to Remand
Granting Ally Bank's motion to remand after finding removed case lacked federal question and diversity jurisdiction, and remanding Princess Emilily Hotep El and Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust's removal action against Ally Bank (and related defendants) back to state court while denying other pending motions as moot.

Ask questions below or continue your research with one of our plugins

Claude

jurisdiction over a claim that could have been brought in federal court originally.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, federal district courts have jurisdiction over "all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." Ally's complaint alleges only state law claims, so there is no federal question jurisdiction. See ECF No. 16 at 16-20. Hotep El's federal defenses or counterclaims cannot create federal question jurisdiction for removal. See Holmes Grp., Inc. v. Vornado Air Circulation Sys., Inc., 535 U.S. 826, 831 (2002) (stating that a counterclaim cannot serve as the basis for federal question jurisdiction); Hall v. N. Am. Van Lines, Inc., 476 F.3d 683, 687 (9th Cir. 2007) (stating that "the existence of a defense based upon federal law is insufficient to support jurisdiction" (quotation omitted)).

There is no diversity jurisdiction to support removal in this case either. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1), federal district courts have original jurisdiction over civil actions in diversity cases "where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of $75,000" and where the matter is between "citizens of different States." Ally seeks far below the jurisdictional amount in controversy in the underlying action. See ECF No. 16 at 16-20. Again, Hotep El cannot rely on the amount she seeks on any counterclaims to support removal.

As the party seeking to invoke this court's jurisdiction, Hotep El bears the burden of proving this court has jurisdiction. Corral v. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc., 878 F.3d 770, 773 (9th Cir. 2017). Hotep El has not done so. I therefore remand this action to the state court from which it was removed.

Because I remand the case, I deny all pending motions as moot. miss, which dridge Pite is No. 8.

We use cookies to enhance your experience, analyze site traffic, and enable live chat support. Read our cookies policy.

Decline Accept

EXHIBIT D

Case No. 22-70183 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals August 25, 2022 (4).pdf

Original source document - 5 page(s)

This case was last updated from **U.S. Courts Of Appeals** on 08/25/2022 at 05:09:49 (UTC). Update This Case

Princess Hotep El, et al v. USDC-NVL

Case Summary

On 08/22/2022 **Princess Hotep El**, filed a Civil Procedure - Writ Of Mandamus lawsuit against **USDC-NVL.** This case was filed in U.S. Courts Of Appeals, U.S. Court Of Appeals, Ninth Circuit. The case status is Open.

Case Details

Case Number:
22-70183

Filing Date:
08/22/2022

Case Status:

UniCourt Solutions Products Coverage Contact
Log In Sign Up

Court:
U.S. Courts Of Appeals

Courthouse :
Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit

Petitioners

MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST

PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL

Respondent

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS

Real Party In Interests

ALLY BANK

ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN LLP

Unknown

In re: PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL

Attorney/Law Firm Details

Petitioner Attorney

Princess Emilily Hotep El

Real Party In Interest Attorneys

Eddie R. Jimenez

Cuong Nguyen

Court Documents

#1	1 #2	1 #3
Docketing Letter and Briefing	Petition for Writ of Mandamus	Notice to All Parties and Counsel
Download	Download	Download

Docket Entries

08/22/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#1) FILED PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS. DOCKETED CAUSE AND ENTERED APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL. NOTIFIED REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST OF FILING. [12523133] (BY) [Entered: 08/22/2022 03:50 PM]



Dig Deeper

Get Deeper Insights on Court Cases

Latest cases where ALLY BANK is a litigant

Ally Bank vs. Mason Express Corporation et al

Latest cases represented by Lawyer EDDIE ROY JIMENEZ

Donald Runnals v. PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION, PHH

Ally Bank vs. Grady J. Mccoy Aka Grady James Mccoy

Texas Justice of the Peace Courts | Commercial and Trade - Debt Collection | 2026-08-27

Ally Bank vs. Courtney L. Mcmillion Aka Courtney Lynn Mcmillion

Texas Justice of the Peace Courts | Commercial and Trade - Debt Collection | 2026-08-27

COAST TO COAST CUSTOM DESIGNS, INC., A CALIFORNIA STOCK CORPORATION VS JOANNE KO, AN INDIVIDUAL, ET AL.

Los Angeles County Superior Courts | Commercial and Trade - Contract | 2025-08-19

Lucchesi v. Aldridge Pite LLP et al.

California Courts of Appeal | Civil | 2025-07-10

Why is this public record being published online?



© 2026 UniCourt Inc. All rights reserved.


EXHIBIT E

C Gonzalez stated that Ally Bank has its own inhouse law firm they never hired Aldridge Pite Haan LLP copy(5).pdf

Original source document - 3 page(s)

Exhibit A1

C. Gonzalez works for Ally Bank & They never hired Aldridge Pite LLP et. al,...



Electronically Filed
1/26/2023 6:32 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

EXHIBIT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLERK OF THE COURT
REGIONAL JUSTICE CENTER
200 LEWIS AVENUE 3RD FL
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89155-1160
(702) 671-4554

Steven D. Grierson
Clerk of the Court

Anntoinette Naumec-Miller
Court Division Administrator

February 8, 2022

Consul Prince Rael
7500 West Lake Mead Blvd Ste 9
Las Vegas NV 89128

I'm returning all your documents with the Check $223.00 #431 because you are not a party on this Case A-21-843907-C; the only party is Monica Hall.

Thank you.

Josefina San Juan, Deputy Clerk
8th Judicial District Court Clerk's office

This incident has been reported to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department and is pending approval

Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
400 S. Martin L. King Blvd
Las Vegas, NV 89106
702-795-3111

General Information

Incident Type	Malicious Damage to Property
Tracking Number	T23008418
Report Date	05/27/2023 07:34 PM

Reporting Person Information

Name	El, Princess Emility
Home Address	8237 FAwn Brook Court, Las Vegas, NV 89149, US
Home Phone	702-406-7134
Mobile Phone	702-406-7134
Email	princessemel97@proton.me
Race	Unknown
Ethnicity	Unknown
Resident Status	Unknown
Sex	Female
DOB	03/30/1968
Age	55

Incident Information

Incident Location	8237 FAwn Brook Court, Las Vegas, NV 89149
Incident Time (start)	05/10/2023 01:15 PM
Incident Time (end)	05/27/2023 02:00 PM
Location Type	Residence/Home

Property Information

No 1

Type	Misc. (Cell Phones, Ammo, Worthless Doc, items not listed)
Brand	n/a
Model	n/a
Color	Black
Serial No	n/a
Quantity	2
Damaged Value ($)	1000
Description	Black fence and Moroccan Flag

Narrative

Incident Description

On May 10 around 1:15 pm my husband and were illegally forced from our home with no warrant or search warrant -the 4th amendment violation ,from 3 unknown man dressed in highway clothing driving what appeared to be a highway patrol bike and truck and a lock smith. They said we were being evicted. They claimed that we had to leave or would take us by force. None of the men would gave their name nor did anyone have a body cam. When asked for warrant they refused to give anything but made threats of unpleasant events if we did not cooperate. The other two refused and were very intimating holding there hands on there hips on there guns. When my husband ask for the Sheriff they laughed and stated he was not in town " He is in D.C" Due to fear of being killed we left the home.
During that time of removal the HOA President and VP was at the seen. Unknowning to them they did not know that the house has multiple cameras that have recorded and captured them plotting to do damage to our home. On or around May 17 the camera captured them taking down part of or gate. A few days later one of them was on the property again but saw people looking at him and walked away. On May 26 the camera captured them on the property again taking down the Moroccan flag.
18 USC 1341-18 USC 1351.

EXHIBIT F

Exhibit princess emilily hotep el is not a party to this matter Heard by Joanna S. Kishner copy(5).pdf

Original source document - 2 page(s)

Electronically Filed
1/26/2023 6:32 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

A-21-843907-C

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

EXHIBIT

Other Civil Matters **COURT MINUTES** **June 23, 2022**

A-21-843907-C Ally Bank, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Monica Hall, Defendant(s)

June 23, 2022 **3:00 AM** **Minute Order**

HEARD BY: Kishner, Joanna S. **COURTROOM:** Chambers

COURT CLERK: Stephanie Rapel

JOURNAL ENTRIES

On May 25, 2022, a proposed Order/Document titled Order Regarding Exemption was forwarded to the Department from the Clerk's Office. The document sets forth that it was submitted by an individual identifying herself as Princess Emilily Hotep el. On May 26, 2022, an Order/Document titled Proposed Order Nev. Civ. IC-2-2(f) tender of Payment UCC3-603(b) NRS 104.3603, Imprpoper [sic] Service Nev. R Civ P. 4.2 Article VI ch. 1, 4 USCode 101, 4 USCode 102, 15 US Code 1692g, 11 US Code 1325-Confrimation [sic] Res Judicata Nev. R. Civ P. 60 Nunc Pro Tunc was forwarded to the Department from the Clerk's Office which was also stated to have been submitted by a person identifying herself as Princess Emilily Hotep el. However, the instant case is captioned Ally Bank, Plaintiff v. Monica Renee Hall, Defendant and does not include anyone with the name of the person who submitted the proposed documents.

The proposed Orders cannot be signed for the following reason(s): NRCP 7(b) provides that a request for a court order must be made by motion. Pursuant to NRCP 17, an action must be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest. Pursuant to EDCR 7.23 every paper presented for filing, must bear the signature, name . . . of the party presenting or filing the same. Finally, pursuant to EDCR 7.21, any party obtaining any order, judgment or decree must furnish the form of the same to the clerk, judge, or judicial officer within 14 days after being notified of the ruling. Here, the person who submitted the proposed Orders is identified as Princess Emilily Hotep el. The proposed Orders do not arise from any motion set for hearing or any ruling made by the Court. Additionally, the person identified as Princess Emilily Hotep el is not an individual party to the case nor listed as an attorney on the instant case which is titled in the caption as Ally Bank, Plaintiff v. Monica Renee Hall, Defendant. Additionally, it was contended that the instant case was removed to federal court as a Notice of Removal filed. However, as addressed at the hearing on June 14, 2022, the documents attached to that filing do not match the case name of the instant case and has other

issues. Given that the instant facts, the Court finds it appropriate to issue the instant minute order.

Therefore, as detailed above, the Documents/Orders are unable to be signed, as they do not arise from any court ruling nor are they submitted by a party to the case and have no force or effect.

CLERK'S NOTE: This Minute Order has been electronically served to all registered parties for Odyssey File & Serve.

EXHIBIT G

Exhibit_Representation_Knowledge_Identity_Notice_Matrix(1).pdf

Original source document - 4 page(s)

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

EXHIBIT - REPRESENTATION, KNOWLEDGE, IDENTITY-NOTICE, AND SOURCE-PROVENANCE MATRIX

HOA • COUNSEL • PROPERTY RECORD • TRADE-NAME / NAME-CHANGE RECORD • LATER REPRESENTATION CHAIN

I. PURPOSE AND EVIDENTIARY LIMIT

This Exhibit organizes the presently documented notice and representation chain concerning the Hotep El identities, the Monica Rene Hall property record, trade-name/name-change records, the Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association, and later counsel/creditor/foreclosure matters. Its purpose is to identify who demonstrably received or possessed particular information, on what date, through what document, and in what stated capacity. It does not presume that every HOA director, lawyer, lender, servicer, bank, governmental employee, or other actor personally knew every fact known by another person or institution.

II. JANUARY 5, 2021 HOA COUNSEL LETTER - DIRECT NOTICE

The January 5, 2021 letter from John E. Leach of LKG (Leach | Kern | Gruchow | Anderson | Song) states that the firm served as legal counsel for Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association. The letter is addressed separately to "Princess Emilily Hotep El" and "Prince Ra Hotep El," and addresses them in the body as "Mr. & Mrs. Hotep El." It further states that the Association's Board of Directors supplied counsel with a copy of the submitting parties' November 11, 2020 letter addressed to Nevada Community Management, the Association's community-management company.

The same letter states that counsel reviewed Clark County Assessor records and understood the property at 8237 Fawn Brook Court to be owned of record by "Monica Rene Hall." Counsel then expressly compared that record-owner name against the Hotep El identities and requested documentation showing a conveyance of title. Whatever the correctness of counsel's legal conclusion, the letter is significant evidence that the Association and its counsel were simultaneously dealing with the Hotep El names and the Monica Rene Hall property record by January 5, 2021.

III. DOCUMENTED KNOWLEDGE / NOTICE MATRIX

Date / Source	Actor / Recipient	Stated Capacity	Information Demonstrably in Record	Evidentiary Significance
Nov. 11, 2020 letter (referenced by Jan. 5 letter)	Timberlake HOA Board / Nevada Community Management	HOA Board and community-management company	Hotep El communication existed and was supplied by the Board to HOA counsel.	Supports notice pathway from submitting parties -> management/Board -> counsel. Exact contents should be proved by the Nov. 11 letter itself.
Jan. 5, 2021 LKG letter	John E. Leach / LKG	Expressly states firm serves as legal counsel for Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association	Addresses Princess Emilily Hotep El and Prince Ra Hotep El; discusses 8237 Fawn Brook Court; compares those identities with Clark County Assessor record naming Monica Rene Hall.	Direct documentary evidence that HOA counsel had both identity presentations before it and was attempting to reconcile them.
Apr. 20, 2020 Ohio SOS certificate/record	Ohio Secretary of State	Government business-record custodian	Trade-name registration for PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL, Document No. 202010803788.	Independent governmental business record predating the Jan. 2021 HOA-counsel letter.
2021 passport/name-change packet	U.S. Department of State / Charleston Passport Center	Federal passport-processing record	Correspondence uses Prince Ra Hotep El and requests certified court order authorizing name change; packet contains Nevada name-change order.	Shows federal possession/processing of identity and name-change documentation; does not by itself prove knowledge by unrelated agencies.
Oct. 7/11, 2022 Ohio SOS record/certificate	Ohio Secretary of State	Government business-record custodian	Trade-name registration associated with SULTAN PRINCE RA HOTEP EL INSURANCE FUNDRAISING, Document	Additional governmental trade-name record; relevant to later capacity reconciliation.

			No. 202228004378.	
Later federal / Nevada record-correction materials	Courts / records custodians / publishers identified in the particular filings	Varies by filing	Records distinguish lowercase name/capacity presentations and dispute the "sovereign citizen" characterization.	Supports later notice/preservation chronology only to the extent receipt, filing, or service is independently shown.

IV. HOA BOARD KNOWLEDGE - WHAT MAY BE STATED NOW

Based on the January 5, 2021 letter, the record supports stating that the Association's Board of Directors possessed or received the November 11, 2020 communication sufficiently for the Board to provide a copy to its legal counsel. The record also supports stating that HOA counsel responded using the Hotep El names while discussing the Monica Rene Hall assessor record. The stronger phrase "everyone knew" should be reserved for persons whose individual receipt, participation, email, meeting, signature, service, or other evidence establishes notice.

The submitting parties further state that, except for one member, persons serving on the HOA board were retired law-enforcement, sheriff, police, or constable personnel. That assertion should be paired with the board roster and employment/background records before it is presented as an independently established fact. Prior public-service employment, standing alone, also does not establish that later HOA conduct was governmental action or action under color of law.

V. COUNSEL / REPRESENTATION CHAIN TO BE RECONCILED

The January 5, 2021 document identifies LKG and John E. Leach as HOA counsel at that time. The submitting parties separately identify Aldridge Pite LLP and attorney Richard S. Ehlers as appearing in later records involving foreclosure/property proceedings and other parties, including matters involving lenders or creditors. The representation chain should therefore be proved document by document rather than collapsed into a single relationship.

Law Firm / Attorney	Client or Party Allegedly Represented	Matter / Case	Document Needed to Prove Relationship	Status in This Exhibit
LKG / John E. Leach	Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association	HOA/property correspondence	January 5, 2021 counsel letter	DOCUMENTED
Aldridge Pite LLP / R. Samuel or Richard S. Ehlers	Federal National Mortgage Association / successors or assigns	Justice Court Case No. 23C006611	Filed temporary-writ/order papers and counsel caption	DOCUMENTED IN EXISTING RECORD; attach exact source
Aldridge Pite LLP / Ehlers	HOA	Any claimed HOA representation	Appearance, engagement letter, correspondence, pleading, notice, or service record	TO BE PROVED BY SOURCE DOCUMENT
Aldridge Pite LLP / Ehlers	Foreclosure trustee / servicer / lender	Foreclosure chain	Recorded notice, trustee correspondence, pleading, engagement/appearance or authenticated communication	TO BE PROVED BY SOURCE DOCUMENT
Aldridge Pite LLP / Ehlers	Ally Bank	Ally-related litigation/collection matter	Filed appearance, pleading, correspondence, docket entry or engagement evidence	TO BE PROVED BY SOURCE DOCUMENT

VI. WHY THE REPRESENTATION CHAIN MATTERS

If the same attorney or law firm is documented as representing different participants at different stages, that fact may be relevant to notice, information flow, conflicts analysis, source provenance, or the chronology of disputed identity/capacity characterizations. It does not, by itself, establish conspiracy, fraud, collusion, an ethical violation, or that confidential information was improperly shared. Those conclusions require the underlying engagements, communications, duties, timing, and applicable conflict rules to be established.

VII. SOURCE-PROVENANCE QUESTIONS FOR EACH ACTOR

For each HOA director, management company, attorney, law firm, lender, servicer, foreclosure participant, bank, court publisher, or governmental custodian appearing in the record, the submitting parties request a source-specific reconciliation: identify the exact name/capacity received; date and method of receipt; source document; persons or systems to whom it was routed; any alias, trade-name,

debtor, owner, or party field created from it; any later modification; and the source of any "sovereign citizen" or other disputed identity characterization.

VIII. PRESERVATION

Preserve the November 11, 2020 letter; January 5, 2021 LKG correspondence; HOA board and management communications; attorney-client identity and engagement records to the extent lawfully discoverable and not privileged; nonprivileged routing metadata; court appearances; foreclosure communications; lender/servicer instructions; Ally-related appearances; trade-name/name-change records; docket metadata; and records reflecting creation, transmission, correction, or republication of disputed identity characterizations. No request in this Exhibit seeks privileged communications merely because an attorney appears in the representation chain.

IX. CONCLUSION

The present documentary record already establishes a meaningful notice point: by January 5, 2021, the HOA's counsel expressly used the Hotep El names while simultaneously discussing the Monica Rene Hall assessor record, after receiving the submitting parties' earlier communication through the HOA Board. The next evidentiary step is to map each later representation by Aldridge Pite LLP / Ehlers to the exact client, date, matter, filing, and source document. That approach permits the record to show the pattern, if one exists, without assuming facts that the documents have not yet established.

EXHIBIT • REPRESENTATION CHAIN • ACTUAL NOTICE • IDENTITY RECONCILIATION • SOURCE PROVENANCE • PRESERVATION

Certified Article Number
9314 8699 0430 0078 1210 38
SENDER'S RECORD

Certified Article Number
9314 8699 0430 0078 1210 45
1/19/2022 6:47 PM
CLERK OF THE COURT

LKG LEACH | KERN | GRUCHOW ANDERSON | SONG

John E. Leach jleach@lkglawfirm.com

January 5, 2021

Via Email: Washitawuurselbey@gmail.com

Via Certified Mail
Return Receipt Requested
and U.S. Mail

Princess Emilily Hotep El
4461418
7500 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite C9 #309
Las Vegas, NV 89128

Prince Ra Hotep El
4462299
7500 West Lake Mead Blvd., Suite C9 #309
Las Vegas, NV 89128

Re: Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Hotep El:

Please be advised that this office serves as legal counsel for the Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association ("Association"). The Association's Board of Directors has provided this office with a copy of your November 11, 2020 letter, addressed to Nevada Community Management, the Association's community management company.

The purpose of your letter is unclear but seems to suggest you are the owners of the property located at 8237 Fawn Brook Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89149 (the "Property"). However, after reviewing the records of the Clark County Assessor's Office, the Association has determined that you are not the owners of the Property. According to the Clark County Assessor's Office, the Property is owned by Monica Rene Hall. The letter you provided to the Association did not include any documents transferring title to the Property from Ms. Hall to you. Therefore, Ms. Hall remains the owner of record and you are not entitled to any of the rights, powers, and privileges associated with ownership of the Property or membership in the Association.

To the extent that you believe you are the lawful owners of the Property, please provide this office with a copy of the deed conveying title that is fully executed and notarized by Ms. Hall. In the absence of such documentation, the Association will continue to recognize Ms. Hall as the owner of the Property.

Sincerely,

John E. Leach, Esq.

JEL:mc
cc: Board of Directors
Alicia Smith

[redacted]

EXHIBIT H

FannieMae vs MONICA R HALL DOE Occupants Case no. 23C006611(4).pdf

Original source document - 2 page(s)

Las Vegas Justice Court
Electronically Filed
7/18/2023 4:01 PM
Cynthia Cruz
CLERK OF THE COURT

JUSTICE COURT, LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, its successors and/or assigns, Plaintiffs vs. MONICA R. HALL and DOE Occupants I through X, inclusive, Defendant	**CASE NO.: 23C006611** **DEPT. NO.: 6** **ORDER SETTING HEARING**

This matter came before the Court during an Administrative Review following Remittitur from District Court. The Court having reviewed all the papers and pleadings on the case, and good cause appearing;

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that the following documents have been filed:

1. Motion to Rescind Writ of Restitution Order and Eviction et, al..., filed 5/17/23.
2. Motion to Set Aside Cancel Order for Summary Eviction and Seal Case, filed 5/17/23.
3. Notice of Order and Bond Claim for Constable Officers Brown, S. Edwards P. #15524, T. Simmons P#5523, & Sergeant Longo[1], filed 5/17/23.
4. Notice of Order and Bond Claim for Constable Officers Brown, S. Edwards P. #15524, T. Simmons P#5523, & Sergeant Longo[2], filed 5/17/23.
5. Motion to Place on Calendar, filed 5/25/2023.
6. Amendment I, filed 6/14/23.
7. Notice of Title Theft NRS 205.0832, filed 6/14/23. "notice"
8. Notice of Request for Hearing Demand for Trial by Jury, filed 6/14/23. "notice 2"

[1] Identified by barcode number 15987192.

[2] Identified by barcode number 15987197.

Case Number: 23C006611

9. Notice of Order to Record NRS 247.120 Manner of Recording Specified Documents, filed 6/14/23 "notice 3" and "notice 4"

10. Notice of Title Theft NRS 205.0832 Trial Demand Hearing Demand, filed 6/14/23 "notice 5"

11. FBI Claim No: IC# Cyber Crimes Claim Number TC2016 Notice of Title Theft NRS 205.0832 Theft of property, filed 6/14/23. "notice 6"

12. Notice of Title Theft NRS 205.0832 Theft of Property Motion to Retrieve Property..., filed 7/10/23.

13. Notice of Allodial Title Nevada Revised Statute 361.920, filed 7/10/23.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that these matters will be heard on the 22 day of August, 2023 at 9:30a.m.in **Courtroom 6B** Regional Justice Center, 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas NV 89155.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Court may consider striking the improperly filed motions and notices for failure to comply with JCRCP.

DATED 7/18/2023

Electronically signed by
Justice of the Peace
Department 6, Las Vegas Justice Court

JESSICA GOODEY
Justice of the Peace

EXHIBIT I

FBI princess IC3(3).pdf

Original source document - 5 page(s)

Victim Information

Name:	princess emilily hotep el
Are you reporting on behalf of a business?	
Business Name:	Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust BT
Is the incident currently impacting business operations?	Yes
Age:	50 - 59
Address:	8237 Fawn Brook Ct
Address (continued):	
Suite/Apt./Mail Stop:	
City:	Las Vegas
County:	Clark
Country:	United States of America
State:	Nevada
Zip Code/Route:	89149
Phone Number:	7028594949
Email Address:	princessemel97@gmail.com
Business IT POC, if applicable:	
Other Business POC, if applicable:	

Financial Transaction(s)

Transaction Type:	CreditCard
If other, please specify:	Title Theft on my property held within my Estate
Transaction Amount:	399000.00
Transaction Date:	5/10/2023
Was the money sent?	No

Victim Bank Name:	
Victim Bank Address:	
Victim Bank Address (continued):	
Victim Bank Suite/Mail Stop:	
Victim Bank City:	
Victim Bank Country:	
Victim Bank State:	
Victim Bank Zip Code/Route:	
Victim Name on Account:	
Victim Account Number:	

Recipient Bank Name:	
Recipient Bank Address:	
Recipient Bank Address (continued):	
Recipient Bank Suite/Mail Stop:	
Recipient Bank City:	
Recipient Bank Country:	
Recipient Bank State:	
Recipient Bank Zip Code/Route:	
Recipient Name on Account:	
Recipient Bank Routing Number:	
Recipient Account Number:	
Recipient Bank SWIFT Code:	

Description of Incident

Provide a description of the incident and how you were victimized. Provide information not captured elsewhere in this complaint form.

On May 10, 2023 my family and I were forced from our home by three armed alleged Constable officers, none were wearing body cameras nor protective body armor, this made me feel very unsafe and I didnt believe they were on duty. They would not give their names or identification.The men were accompanied by the HOA Board member Jimmy H. Secretary and Ronal C. President. Also a man named Jim Hastings of Hastings Ltd. They claimed we were being evicted. I asked for a warrant they couldn't provide one. The gave me a letter from the man outside now known as Jim Hastings claiming he was working for and with Aldridge Pite Haan LLP aka Aldridge Pite LLP and FannieMae. Without verification nor a warrant and neither a 24 hour notice they proceeded to force me and my family from our abode home without a 24 hour notice warning, not allowing us to gather clothing food cars etc,.. from the home. Rather this issue began June 22, 2018.

We purchased the property June 22, 2018 and thereafter made attempts to file in the county the Clerk Recorder and Assessor refused our records for the public to prevent any identity theft, further attempts to claim our Title and home. The HOA Issues began the day we moved in, since being in the home we've experience hate words such as the N-Word, Monkeys guerrillas and was stated by board members that we should go back to Africa. Also recently we've been called Muslims when we are not rather our Religious beliefs and history is that of the Hebrew Kemetic people we ascend from.

Damages - Our home have been broken into multiple times, we've been chased from our own home three times as we've tried to gather belongings personal and private. Our locks have broken keys inside them and a lock and chain on our front gate driveway. Paint that appears to be a swastika or similar thereto which appears when it rains or when water hits the driveway. Many men and women since being in the property our home, have tried to enter the home. When we asked who are they looking for they all would say "Corey said I can come live here!"

Another man would appear attempting to try the key code on the front door claiming the owner said he could come and stay in our home. The people whom were creating this story is the HOA BOARD MEMBERS since being fully teamed all the men all four [4] of them with a surveillance team that drive around the neighborhood 24 7. Parking on the side of our home for more that four hours at a time.

Our pool have been damaged for the past five years, we've had to have the pool pump replaced, three times, our front door and windows and the back have been egged and dead rodents placed in our pool and around our home on many occasions since living in the home. We are the original owners since June 22, 2018. We have the property placed in a private off shore trust.

Our mail stolen and redirected, identity used to collect checks from the IRS which we have had to dispute for the past three years. For the past five years we've gotten fraudulent mail claiming it was from the IRS when it wasn't. They also schemed into previous employers information claiming to be the IRS and took more than $104,000.00 between the years 2020 and 2023, when we found out it was the law firm Aldridge Pite LLP doing this along with the HOA.

The entire time they were setting up a Title theft on our property with the assistance from former employees of our title company Fidelity National Tittle Insurance Company FNF. We contacted the Title Company March 15, 2021 and they responded in letter. We demanded and requested that they release the title insurance so that we could move the property into a private Estate Trust and get our own Title insurance in the case that someone would attempt to make theft of the Title on the property, they suggested that that could not honor the demand and request and two of their employees would leave the company join American Title Co... and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP law firm just after the letter dated April 5, 2021.

CYBER CRIMES HATE CRIMES - Since being in the home our wifi routers and computers have been hacked by the HOA BOARD OF DIRECTORS or those in pursuit of being on the board of the HOA. They have access to a Stingray Cell Tower Simulator and other spying instruments. They could access our cameras wifi and computers, changing files and deleting files as well altering files. They also had access to our private documents social security numbers cards and other legal and lawful identifying documents filed and stored on our hard drives and backup drives.

The Cyber Threat Malicious - Cyber activity threatened our right to privacy and our safety and our national and economic security. We have made attempts to report this to the FBI's cyber strategy plan which is to impose risk and consequences on cyber adversaries. The FBI's goal is to change the behavior of criminals and nation-states who believe they can compromise U.S. networks, steal financial and intellectual property, and put critical infrastructure at risk without facing risk themselves. In able for them to do this, they would use our unique mix of authorities, capabilities, and partnerships to impose consequences against our cyber adversaries.

The FBI is the lead federal agency for investigating cyber attacks and intrusions. We collect and share intelligence and engage with victims while working to unmask those committing malicious cyber activities, wherever they are. We know they are all locally within the Jurisdiction of Nevada Clark County.

Notice of Title Theft - Takes place when a Home title theft is a type of real estate fraud where someone uses a homeowner's personal information to forge a deed and steal their home. The thief may apply for a home equity loan or line of credit in the homeowner's name and then fail to make payments exposing the owner to foreclosure and credit damage. In the case of unoccupied homes, like secondary residences, the fraudster might sell the house without the owner's knowledge or rent out the property without permission.

This was committed by home title theft by phishing schemes, malware, data breaches, unsecured Wi-Fi networks and mail theft. Theft of sensitive documents like their deed or a mortgage statement. Here's how home title theft typically happens: Phishin. Phishing occurs when a criminal pretends to be a legitimate company or individual to get a homeowner's personal information.

They may do this by sending an email or letter that looks like it's from a bank or government agency or by calling the homeowner and pretending to be someone they're not. This was not done by Leader One, PennyMac nor FannieMae but by R. Samuel Ehlers and Jim Hastings. It has been reported that the HOA had been phishing the home owners wifi and taking information from the computers hard drives.

We have several hard drives in the home that Jim Hastings the HOA Board and R. Samuel Ehlers want to duplicate copy or theft in order to cover up this matter.

Signs that we made complaints and claims that our home was the target of title theft.

- Receiving bills or collection notices for accounts you didn't open
- Being denied credit because of information on your credit report you don't recognize

Receiving a notice from the IRS that you have unpaid taxes
Getting calls from debt collectors for debts you don't owe
Discovering your home has been rented out or sold

TITLE THEFT WAS THE REASON - The reason for the hate crimes was to cover up the title theft.
We made the Federal Government aware and gave notice of Hate Crimes Against Indigenous people, Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141 - Pattern and Practice This civil statute was a provision within the Crime Control Act of 1994 and makes it unlawful for any governmental authority, or agent thereof, or any person acting on behalf of a governmental authority, to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration of juvenile justice or the incarceration of juveniles that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a violation has occurred, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may in a civil action obtain appropriate equitable and declaratory relief to eliminate the pattern or practice. Types of misconduct covered include, among other things: Excessive ForceDiscriminatory Harassment False Arrest Coercive Sexual Conduct, Unlawful Stops, Searches, or ArrestsFederal Civil Rights Statutes Title 18, U.S.C., Section 249 - Matthew Shepard and James Byrd, Jr., Hate Crimes Prevention Act... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 245 - Federally Protected Activities... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 247 - Church Arson Prevention Act of 1996... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 844(h) - Federal Explosives Control Statute... Title 42, U.S.C., Section 3631 - Criminal Interference with Right to Fair Housing...
Protected Classes - The FHAA and CCRA establish "protected classes" of people making it unlawful to treat persons differently due to their protected class. Protected classes under the FHAA include : race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status and national origin. Remember the petitioners herein a True Class A-1 " The Plaintiffs/Petitioners herein are Diplomats. True A1 freehold by inheritance exempt and immune Registration No. AA222141 with the U.S.Department of Justice and the American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples executive order 13173 by President William J Clinton and with full support of the laws of this land the Constitutions and Treaties the United Nations Articles and the Treaties of 1836.
The Civil Rights Division enforces federal laws that protect you from discrimination based on your race, color, national origin, disability status, sex, religion, familial status, or loss of other constitutional rights. Denied housing, a permit, or a loan based on personal characteristics like race, sex, and/or having children under 18 years old Harassment by a landlord or another tenant, including sexual harassment Challenges with terminating a lease due to military status change Denied an accommodation for a disability, including not being allowed to have a service or assistance animal in public housing . As in this matter the law firm has stated that the petitioners do not and should not have rights to property as to call them slaves or property of another. As to alleged criminal actions have been adjudicated and having no such evidence of such. The fact that the petitioners are Al Moroccan Indigenous man and woman and their pets the very fact that someone namely the HOA and Board tied to the law firm has made complaints to the community it serves by generating public notice and record on a app called the NEXT DOOR APP as to assume the property owners or petitioners are tied or linked to the site or app. To be clear of the app and the discrimination and violations of the HOA Property management all incited violently and slanderously by the law firm Aldridge Pite Haan llp aka Aldridge Pite llp, by hiring persons within the community to slander defame, harras stalk and many other civil rights violations in the hopes to force the petitioners to walk away from their home so that they the law firm the HOA and others may take and benefit from property within and the adobe itself.

Information About The Subject(s) Who Victimized You

Name:	Jim Hastings
Business Name:	Jim Hastings Ltd.
Address:	2575 SOUTH CIMARRON ROAD
Address (continued):	B.0043423.CORP
Suite/Apt./Mail Stop:	SUITE 102
City:	Las Vegas
Country:	United States of America
State:	Nevada
Zip Code/Route:	89117
Phone Number:	7022405448
Email Address:	jim@huckle.com
Website:	https://www.realtor.com/realestateagents/56ba7e537e54f70100217a0b
IP Address:	

Other Information

If an email was used in this incident, please provide a copy of the entire email including full email headers.

My email was compromised as I was communicating with the FHA/HUD and other Federal Goverment Agencies A matter of Civil Rights violations, discrimination and racism violations of the petitioners Constitutional Rights by Treaties
Al Moroccan Consulate for indigenous peoples <consulatecourtt13@gmail.com>
AttachmentsTue, May 23, 2:30 PMto fheowebmanager, docketclerk, answers, angelat, AOCustomerServiceRequests, RecWeb, Info, bcc: Marlon, bcc: jim

A matter of Civil Rights violations, discrimination and racism violations of the petitioners Constitutional Rights by Treaties Violations of the FDCPA Bank Fraud etc,...
From: The Al Moroccan Consulate
on Behalf of Prince Ra Hotep El
a Foreign Official a ambassador and
wife cohort Diplomat ;Princess Emilily Hotep El
8237 Fawn Brook Ct Al Morocco
Las Vegas NV Republic [89149]
phone (202) 514-3847
1-855-856-1247 (toll-free)
Kristen Clarke
Assistant Attorney General
Kristen Clarke serves as the Assistant Attorney General
(AAG) for the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice.
To: Headquarters Las Vegas US Attorneys Office Jason M Frierson
501 Las Vegas Boulevard South Ste 1100
Las Vegas, NV 89101
[702]388-6338 [800]539-8002
Reno Branch Office
U.S. Attorneys Office 400 South Virginia Street
Reno, NV 89501
[775]784-5438 [800]303-5545
Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141 - Pattern and Practice This civil statute was a provision within the Crime Control Act of 1994 and makes it unlawful for any governmental authority, or agent thereof, or any person acting on behalf of a governmental authority, to engage in a pattern or practice of conduct by law enforcement officers or by officials or employees of any governmental agency with responsibility for the administration of juvenile justice or the incarceration of juveniles that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States. Whenever the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a violation has occurred, the Attorney General, for or in the name of the United States, may in a civil action obtain appropriate equitable and declaratory relief to eliminate the pattern or practice. Types of misconduct covered include, among other things: Excessive ForceDiscriminatory Harassment False Arrest Coercive Sexual Conduct, Unlawful Stops, Searches, or ArrestsFederal Civil Rights Statutes Title 18, U.S.C., Section 249 - Matthew Shepard and James Byrd, Jr., Hate Crimes Prevention Act... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 245 - Federally Protected Activities... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 247 - Church Arson Prevention Act of 1996... Title 18, U.S.C., Section 844(h) - Federal Explosives Control Statute... Title 42, U.S.C., Section 3631 - Criminal Interference with Right to Fair Housing...
Protected Classes - The FHAA and CCRA establish "protected classes" of people making it unlawful to treat persons differently due to their protected class. Protected classes under the FHAA include : race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status and national origin. Remember the petitioners herein a True Class A-1 " The Plaintiffs/Petitioners herein are Diplomats. True A1 freehold by inheritance exempt and immune Registration No. AA222141 with the U.S.Department of Justice and the American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples executive order 13173 by President William J Clinton and with full support of the laws of this land the Constitutions and Treaties the United Nations Articles and the Treaties of 1836.
The Civil Rights Division enforces federal laws that protect you from discrimination based on your race, color, national origin, disability status, sex, religion, familial status, or loss of other constitutional rights. Denied housing, a permit, or a loan based on personal characteristics like race, sex, and/or having children under 18 years old Harassment by a landlord or another tenant, including sexual harassment Challenges with terminating a lease due to military status change Denied an accommodation for a disability, including not being allowed to have a service or assistance animal in public housing . As in this matter the law firm has stated that the petitioners do not and should not have rights to property as to call them slaves or property of another. As to alleged criminal actions have been adjudicated and having no such evidence of such. The fact that the petitioners are Al Moroccan Indigenous man and woman and their pets the very fact that someone namely the HOA and Board tied to the law firm has made complaints to the community it serves by generating public notice and record on a app called the NEXT DOOR APP as to assume the property owners or petitioners are tied or linked to the site or app. To be clear of the app and the discrimination and violations of the HOA Prope

Are there any other witnesses or victims to this incident?

Prince Ra Hotep El

If you have reported this incident to other law enforcement or government agencies, please provide the name, phone number, email, date reported, report number, etc.

This was reported to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Case No... ID # 232019-10413939, 230313-10580559 230313-10580753 190515-4070350 190607-4130888 190607-4131200 190607-4131201 190611-4138116 190611-4138138 190611-4138139 190716-4229300 190804-4280668 190804-4280694 200207-4734432 200207-4734510 200207-4734511 200716-5256226 200716-5256227 200716-5256228 200716-5256572 200716-5256669 200716-5256670 200716-5256671 200716-5257660 201202-5744610 201202-5744818 210323-6284607 230219-10413939
https://complaint.ic3.gov/# Page 3 of 4

IC3 Complaint Referral Form 11/6/2023, 13:50
221115-9765387 Company ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN, LLP ALLY BANK TRANSUNION INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, INC. EXPERIAN TRANSUNION INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, INC. EQUIFAX, INC. Equifax Information Services LLC TRANSUNION EXPERIAN Equifax Information Services LLC Experian Information Solutions Inc., The Federal Trade Commission Case No..., The LVMPD Constable Case No.... 23LVTC010469, Department of Justice....., FBI,.... FHA Office of inspector General File # H-23-4050, NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL CASE NO. 9114, FRB Complaints,

Check here if this an update to a previously filed complaint: □

Who Filed the Complaint

Were you the victim in the incident described above? Yes
Name:
Business Name:
Phone Number:
Email Address:

Digital Signature

By digitally signing this document, I affirm that the information I provided is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that providing false information could make me subject to fine, imprisonment, or both. (Title 18, U.S.Code, Section 1001)

Digital Signature: princessemililyhotepel
Thank you. Your complaint was submitted to the IC3. Please save or print a copy of your complaint before closing this window.
This is the only time you will have to make a copy of your complaint.

EXHIBIT J

FBI IC3 Complaint 2 MPHLLC(2).pdf

Original source document - 4 page(s)

Victim Information

Name:	prince ra hotep el
Are you reporting on behalf of a business?	Yes
Business Name:	Melchizedeck Priesthood El LLC
Is the incident currently impacting business operations?	Yes
Age:	50 - 59
Address:	8237 Fawn Brook Ct
Address (continued):	
Suite/Apt./Mail Stop:	
City:	Las Vegas
County:	Clark
Country:	United States of America
State:	Nevada
Zip Code/Route:	89149
Phone Number:	7028594949
Email Address:	princerakingel@gmail.com
Business IT POC, if applicable:	
Other Business POC, if applicable:	

Financial Transaction(s)

Transaction Type:	CreditCard
If other, please specify:	Title Theft on property
Transaction Amount:	399000.00
Transaction Date:	2/23/2023
Was the money sent?	No

Victim Bank Name:
Victim Bank Address:
Victim Bank Address (continued):
Victim Bank Suite/Mail Stop:
Victim Bank City:
Victim Bank Country:
Victim Bank State:
Victim Bank Zip Code/Route:
Victim Name on Account:
Victim Account Number:

Recipient Bank Name:
Recipient Bank Address:
Recipient Bank Address (continued):
Recipient Bank Suite/Mail Stop:
Recipient Bank City:
Recipient Bank Country:
Recipient Bank State:
Recipient Bank Zip Code/Route:
Recipient Name on Account:
Recipient Bank Routing Number:
Recipient Account Number:
Recipient Bank SWIFT Code:

Description of Incident

Provide a description of the incident and how you were victimized. Provide information not captured elsewhere in this complaint form.

On May 10, 2023 my family and I were forced from our home by three armed alleged Constable officers. They would not give their names or identification.The men were accompanied by the HOA Board member Jimmy H. Secretary and Ronal C. President. Also a man named Jim Hastings of Hastings Ltd. They claimed we were being evicted. I asked for a warrant they couldn't provide one. The gave me a letter from the man outside now known as Jim Hastings claiming he was working for and with Aldridge Pite Haan LLP aka Aldridge Pite LLP and FannieMae. Without verification nor a warrant and neither a 24 hour notice they proceeded to force me and my family from our abode home without a 24 hour notice warning, not allowing us to gather clothing food cars etc,.. from the home. Rather this issue began June 22, 2018.

We purchased the property June 22, 2018 and thereafter made attempts to file in the county the Clerk Recorder and Assessor refused our records for the public to prevent any identity theft, further attempts to claim our Title and home. The HOA Issues began the day we moved in, since being in the home we've experience hate words such as the N-Word, Monkeys guerrillas and was stated by board members that we should go back to Africa. Also recently we've been called Muslims when we are not rather our Religious beliefs and history is that of the Hebrew Kemetic people we ascend from.

Damages - Our home have been broken into multiple times, we've been chased from our own home three times as we've tried to gather belongings personal and private. Our locks have broken keys inside them and a lock and chain on our front gate driveway. Paint that appears to be a swastika or similar thereto which appears when it rains or when water hits the driveway. Many men and women since being in the property our home, have tried to enter the home. When we asked who are they looking for they all would say "Corey said I can come live here!"

Another man would appear attempting to try the key code on the front door claiming the owner said he could come and stay in our home. The people whom were creating this story is the HOA BOARD MEMBERS since being fully teamed all the men all four [4] of them with a surveillance team that drive around the neighborhood 24 7. Parking on the side of our home for more that four hours at a time.

Our pool have been damaged for the past five years, we've had to have the pool pump replaced, three times, our front door and windows and the back have been egged and dead rodents placed in our pool and around our home on many occasions since living in the home. We are the original owners since June 22, 2018. We have the property placed in a private off shore trust.

Our mail stolen and redirected, identity used to collect checks from the IRS which we have had to dispute for the past three years. For the past five years we've gotten fraudulent mail claiming it was from the IRS when it wasn't. They also schemed into previous employers information claiming to be the IRS and took more than $104,000.00 between the years 2020 and 2023, when we found out it was the law firm Aldridge Pite LLP doing this along with the HOA.

The entire time they were setting up a Title theft on our property with the assistance from former employees of our title company Fidelity National Tittle Insurance Company FNF. We contacted the Title Company March 15, 2021 and they responded in letter. We demanded and requested that they release the title insurance so that we could move the property into a private Estate Trust and get our own Title insurance in the case that someone would attempt to make theft of the Title on the property, they suggested that that could not honor the demand and request and two of their employees would leave the company join American Title Co... and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP law firm just after the letter dated April 5, 2021.

CYBER CRIMES HATE CRIMES - Since being in the home our wifi routers and computers have been hacked by the HOA BOARD OF DIRECTORS or those in pursuit of being on the board of the HOA. They have access to a Stingray Cell Tower Simulator and other spying instruments. They could access our cameras wifi and computers, changing files and deleting files as well altering files. They also had access to our private documents social security numbers cards and other legal and lawful identifying documents filed and stored on our hard drives and backup drives.

The Cyber Threat Malicious - Cyber activity threatened our right to privacy and our safety and our national and economic security. We have made attempts to report this to the FBI's cyber strategy plan which is to impose risk and consequences on cyber adversaries. The FBI's goal is to change the behavior of criminals and nation-states who believe they can compromise U.S. networks, steal financial and intellectual property, and put critical infrastructure at risk without facing risk themselves. In able for them to do this, they would use our unique mix of authorities, capabilities, and partnerships to impose consequences against our cyber adversaries.

The FBI is the lead federal agency for investigating cyber attacks and intrusions. We collect and share intelligence and engage with victims while working to unmask those committing malicious cyber activities, wherever they are. We know they are all locally within the Jurisdiction of Nevada Clark County.

Notice of Title Theft - Takes place when a Home title theft is a type of real estate fraud where someone uses a homeowner's personal information to forge a deed and steal their home. The thief may apply for a home equity loan or line of credit in the homeowner's name and then fail to make payments exposing the owner to foreclosure and credit damage. In the case of unoccupied homes, like secondary residences, the fraudster might sell the house without the owner's knowledge or rent out the property without permission.

This was committed by home title theft by phishing schemes, malware, data breaches, unsecured Wi-Fi networks and mail theft. Theft of sensitive documents like their deed or a mortgage statement. Here's how home title theft typically happens: Phishin. Phishing occurs when a criminal pretends to be a legitimate company or individual to get a homeowner's personal information.

They may do this by sending an email or letter that looks like it's from a bank or government agency or by calling the homeowner and pretending to be someone they're not. This was not done by Leader One, PennyMac nor FannieMae but by R. Samuel Ehlers and Jim Hastings. It has been reported that the HOA had been phishing the home owners wifi and taking information from the computers hard drives.

We have several hard drives in the home that Jim Hastings the HOA Board and R. Samuel Ehlers want to duplicate copy or theft in order to cover up this matter.

Signs that we made complaints and claims that our home was the target of title theft.

- Receiving bills or collection notices for accounts you didn't open
- Being denied credit because of information on your credit report you don't recognize
- Receiving a notice from the IRS that you have unpaid taxes

Getting calls from debt collectors for debts you don't owe
Discovering your home has been rented out or sold

Information About The Subject(s) Who Victimized You

Name:	R. Samuel Ehlers Esq.
Business Name:	Aldridge Pite LLP
Address:	4375 Jutland Drive
Address (continued):	
Suite/Apt./Mail Stop:	Ste 200
City:	San Diego
Country:	United States of America
State:	California
Zip Code/Route:	92117
Phone Number:	
Email Address:	sehlers@aldridgepite.com
Website:	http://www.aph-law.com
IP Address:	

Other Information

If an email was used in this incident, please provide a copy of the entire email including full email headers.

Al Moroccan Consulate for indigenous peoples <consulatecourtt13@gmail.com>
Attachments
Tue, Jun 6, 8:00 AM (5 days ago)

to fheowebmanager, Info, docketclerk, Marlon, jim, Mary, info, Nancy, bcc: kduke

The Original Lender PennyMac Paid in full Cc R. Samuel Ehlers former employer Fidelity National Title
There are a lot of inconsistencies and coincidences with the Law Firm and the alleged Hatings Jim FannieMae partnership. It seems he has training but he is not affiliated

Jim Hastings
Hastings Brokerage, Ltd.
B.0043423.CORP
(702) 240-5448
2575 SOUTH CIMARRON ROAD, SUITE 102
LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

R. Samuel Ehlers
374 East 720 South
Orem, UT 84058
sehlers@aldridgepite.com
In-House Litigation Attorney at Fidelity National Law Group at Fidelity National Title
Fidelity National Title
Sep 2021 - Dec 20214 months
Las Vegas, Nevada, United States

LeaderOne Financial Corporation
Mortgage lender
Lighton Plaza 7500 College Blvd #1150,
Overland Park, KS 66210

Are there any other witnesses or victims to this incident?

princess emilily hotep el

If you have reported this incident to other law enforcement or government agencies, please provide the name, phone number, email, date reported, report number, etc.

This was reported to the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Case No... ID # 232019-10413939, 230313-10580559 230313-10580753 190515-4070350 190607-4130888 190607-4131200 190607-4131201 190611-4138116 190611-4138138 190611-4138139 190716-4229300 190804-4280668 190804-4280694 200207-4734432 200207-4734510 200207-4734511 200716-5256226 200716-5256227 200716-5256228 200716-5256572 200716-5256669 200716-5256670 200716-5256671 200716-5257660 201202-5744610 201202-5744818 210323-6284607 230219-10413939

221115-9765387 Company ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN, LLP ALLY BANK TRANSUNION INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, INC. EXPERIAN TRANSUNION INTERMEDIATE HOLDINGS, INC. EQUIFAX, INC. Equifax Information Services LLC TRANSUNION EXPERIAN Equifax Information Services LLC Experian Information Solutions Inc., The Federal Trade Commission Case No..., The LVMPD Constable Case No.... 23LVTC010469, Department of Justice....., FBI,.... FHA Office of inspector General File # H-23-4050, NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL CASE NO. 9114, FRB Complaints,

Check here if this an update to a previously filed complaint: □

Who Filed the Complaint

Were you the victim in the incident described above? Yes
Name:
Business Name:
Phone Number:
Email Address:

Digital Signature

By digitally signing this document, I affirm that the information I provided is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that providing false information could make me subject to fine, imprisonment, or both. (Title 18, U.S.Code, Section 1001)

Digital Signature: princerahotepel-bey
Thank you. Your complaint was submitted to the IC3. Please save or print a copy of your complaint before closing this window.
This is the only time you will have to make a copy of your complaint.

EXHIBIT K

Hotep El et al v Ally Bank et al(1).pdf

Original source document - 14 page(s)

UniCourt Solutions Products Coverage Contact

Log In Sign Up

This case was last updated from **U.S. District Courts** on 07/31/2022 at 08:46:04 (UTC). Update This Case

Hotep El et al v. Ally Bank et al

Case Summary

On 06/01/2022 **Hotep El** filed a Civil lawsuit against **Ally Bank.** This case was filed in U.S. District Courts, Nevada District. The Judges overseeing this case are Andrew P. Gordon and Daniel J. Albregts. The case status is Open.

Case Details Parties Documents Dockets

Case Details

Case Number:
2:22-cv-00874

Filing Date:
06/01/2022

Case Status:
Open

Case Type:
Civil

Court:
U.S. District Courts

Courthouse :
Nevada District

Judge Details

Presiding Judge

Andrew P. Gordon

Referral Judge

Daniel J. Albregts

Party Details

Petitioners

Princess Emilily Hotep El

Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust

Defendant

Aldridge Pite Haan LLP

Respondent

Ally Bank

Attorney/Law Firm Details

Petitioner Attorneys

Princess Emily Hotep

Prince Ra EL

Defendant and Respondent Attorneys

Cuong Nguyen Eddie R. Jimenez

Court Documents

54 #1 Certificate of Service Download	54 #54 Main Document Download	53 #1 Certificate of Service Download	53 #53 Main Document Download
#52 (#52) MOTION for all Motions to be Download	#51 (#51) MOTION for all Motions to be Download	#50 (#50) Rebuttal by Princess Emilily Download	#49 (#49) Opposition by Princess Emilily Download

#48	#6	#5	#4
(#48) Third Rebuttal in	(#6) Consent for Electronic Servic	(#5) NOTICE of Entry of Order h	(#4) NOTICE of Special Appearan
Download	Download	Download	Download

#3	#2	1 #3	1 #2
(#3) NOTICE of	(#2) NOTICE of	Summons	Civil Cover Sheet
Download	Download	Download	Download

1 #1	1 #1
Receipt	Main Document
Download	Download

50 More Documents Available

View All Documents

Docket Entries

07/28/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#54) REPLY to #33 Response to #17 Motion to Dismiss by Defendant Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Respondent Ally Bank. (Attachments: #1 Certificate of Service)(Nguyen, Cuong) Modified to add underlying link on 7/29/2022 (DRS)....

07/28/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#53) REPLY to #25 and #34 Responses to #16 Motion to Remand by Respondent Ally Bank. (Attachments: #1 Certificate of Service)(Nguyen, Cuong) Modified to add underlying link on 7/29/2022 (DRS). (Entered: 07/28/2022)

07/27/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#52) MOTION for all Motions to be Placed back in Docket and Not Stricken by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/10/2022. (JQC) (misc) (referral) (Entered: 07/27/2022)

07/27/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#51) MOTION for all Motions to be Placed back in Docket and Not

Stricken by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 8/10/2022. (JQC) (misc) (referral) (Entered: 07/27/2022)

07/25/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#50) Rebuttal by Princess Emilily Hotep El re #43 Order. (HAM) (Entered: 07/25/2022)

07/25/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#49) Opposition by Princess Emilily Hotep El re #43 Order. (HAM) (Entered: 07/25/2022)

07/25/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#48) Third Rebuttal in Opposition re #43 Order by Princess Emilily Hotep El. (HAM) (Entered: 07/25/2022)

07/25/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#47) NOTICE of Correction by Princess Emilily Hotep El. (appears

duplicative of #45 , #46 Notice - HAM) (Entered: 07/25/2022)

07/25/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#46) NOTICE of Correction by Princess Emilily Hotep El (appears duplicative of #45 Notice - HAM) (Entered: 07/25/2022)

07/25/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#45) NOTICE of Correction by Princess Emilily Hotep El. (HAM) (Entered: 07/25/2022)

36 More Docket Entries

View All Docket Entries

06/16/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#9) MOTION for Joinder Adding by Petitioners Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Responses due by 6/30/2022. (LOE) (Entered: 06/16/2022)

06/16/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#8) NOTICE of Removal of State Court Action by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE) (Entered: 06/16/2022)

06/16/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#7) AMENDED COMPLAINT with Jury Demand against Ally Bank by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Removes parties. (LOE) (Entered: 06/16/2022)

06/01/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#6) Consent for Electronic Service of Documents by Petitioner Princess Emilily Hotep El. (LOE) (Entered: 06/01/2022)

06/01/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#5) NOTICE of Entry of Order by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE) (Entered: 06/01/2022)

06/01/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#4) NOTICE of Special Appearance by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE) (Entered: 06/01/2022)

06/01/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#3) NOTICE of Special Appearance by Prince Ra Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE) (Entered: 06/01/2022)

06/01/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#2) NOTICE of Removal of State Court Action by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. (LOE) (Entered: 06/01/2022)

06/01/2022

View Court Documents

Docket (#1) COMPLAINT against Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, Ally Bank by Princess Emilily Hotep El, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust. Proof of service due by 8/30/2022. (Attachments: #1 Receipt, #2 Civil Cover Sheet, #3 Summons)...

06/01/2022

Docket Case randomly assigned to Judge Andrew P. Gordon and Magistrate Judge Daniel J. Albregts. Nature of Suit: 830/835 Patent Case (HAM) Modified from patent case at request of chambers on 6/2/2022 (DRS). (Entered:...



Dig Deeper

Get Deeper Insights on Court Cases

Latest cases where ALLY BANK is a litigant

ALLY BANK VS ANAHIT HOVSEPYAN
Los Angeles County Superior Courts | Commercial and Trade - Contract | 2026-09-21

Ally Bank vs. Lifestyle Transportation Inc.
New York Supreme Courts | Civil | 2026-09-18

Ally Bank v. Lourdes Altagracia Gonzalez
New York Supreme Courts | Commercial and Trade - Debt Collection | 2026-09-18

Latest cases represented by Lawyer EDDIE ROY JIMENEZ

Donald Runnals v. PHH MORTGAGE CORPORATION, PHH
U.S. Bankruptcy Appellate Panel | Civil | 25-1233 | 2025-12-09

COAST TO COAST CUSTOM DESIGNS, INC., A CALIFORNIA STOCK CORPORATION VS JOANNE KO, AN INDIVIDUAL, ET AL.
Los Angeles County Superior Courts | Commercial and Trade - Contract | 2025-08-19

Lucchesi v. Aldridge Pite LLP et al.
California Courts of Appeal | Civil | 2025-07-10

Latest cases represented by Lawyer CUONG MINH NGUYEN

TRUIST BANK VS ROGER N. MAJANO, ET AL.
Los Angeles County Superior Courts | Civil - Real Property | 2024-11-07

TANIA BLANKENSHIP VS LAKEVIEW LOAN SERVICING, LLC, ET AL.
Los Angeles County Superior Courts | Personal Injury and Torts - Fraud | 2024-10-02

UMB BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION NOT IN ITS INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, BUT SOLELY AS LEGAL TRUSTEE FOR PRL TITLE TRUST I VS WALTER E. HELLER WESTERN, INC., ET AL.
Los Angeles County Superior Courts | Civil - Real Property | 2024-07-11

Why is this public record being published online?

FOR LAW FIRMS

Docket Research

Litigation Strategy

Docket Tracking

Experience Management

FOR INSURANCE

Litigation Risk and Strategy

Master Data Management

PRODUCTS

UniCourt DART

UniCourt DEEP

Integrations

OTHER

Coverage

Contact

Pricing

Blog

RESOURCES

UniCourt vs PACER

PACER Data API

Careers

Federal Court Records

State Court Records

API Documentation

LEGAL

General Disclaimer

Terms of Service

Cancellation and Refund Policy

Privacy Policy

Public Records Policy

CONNECT WITH US

© 2026 UniCourt Inc. All rights reserved.

UniCourt uses cookies to improve your online experience, for more information please see our Privacy Policy. By continuing to use this website, you agree to UniCourt's General Disclaimer, Terms of Service, Cancellation and Refund Policy, Privacy Policy, and Public Records Policy. If you do not agree with these terms, then do not use our website and/or services.

I Agree

EXHIBIT L

AFFIDAVIT OF FACT, WRONGFUL SEIZURE, UNLAWFUL ENTRY, AND FRAUDULENT CLAIM OF PROPERTY AUTHORITY (dragged)(5).pdf

Original source document - 1 page(s)

ALDRIDGE PITE, LLP
R. Samuel Ehlers (NV Bar # 009313)
9205 West Russell Road, Suite 240
Las Vegas, NV 89148
Telephone: (702) 991-4638
Facsimile (858) 412-2680
E-mail: udstatus@aldridgepite.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff, FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, its successors and/or assigns,

FILED
2023 MAY -8 A 9:57
LAS VEGAS NEVADA
BY

JUSTICE COURT - LAS VEGAS TOWNSHIP

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, its successors and/or assigns, Plaintiff, vs. MONICA R. HALL and DOE Occupants I through X, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 23C006611 Dept.: 6 ORDER GRANTING TEMPORARY WRIT OF RESTITUTION DATE: 4/27/2023

This matter having come on for hearing on 4/27/2023, in the above-entitled Court, appearances as stated on the record and based upon all the pleadings and papers on file herein, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Temporary Writ of Restitution shall issue upon Plaintiff paying the sum $250.00 to the aforementioned court. This Writ shall be effective on May 4, 2023 at 5:00 am/pm.

Executed this 27th day of April, 2023.

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE

Submitted by:

EXHIBIT M

Cover Letter to DHS – Nationality & Status Recognition and Police Report accepted 2(1).pdf

Original source document - 4 page(s)

Fw: Your Online Report T25011687 Has Been Approved

From [redacted]

To [redacted]

Date Friday, 19 September 2025 at 09:01

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: <TnMetropolitanNashvillePd@coplogic.com>
Date: On Mon, Sep 15, 2025 at 7:32 PM
Subject: Fw: Your Online Report T25011687 Has Been Approved
To: <[redacted]
Cc:
*** PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL ***

Your online report has been approved and your complaint number is T25011687. If your crash involved another vehicle(s), please share this reference number with the other involved party.

T.C.A 55-12-104, Tennessee law requires that you must file, or have filed on your behalf, a personal accident report with the Department of Safety if you were involved in a crash as an owner or driver involving death or injury, or in which damage to property was in excess of four hundred dollars ($400) to any person involved. This report is required regardless of who was at fault and in addition to the report filed utilizing DORS. Follow the link below to complete this form if you have not already done so, and mail to TN DEPARTMENT OF SAFETY AND HOMELAND SECURITY, P.O. BOX 945, NASHVILLE, TN 37202 within twenty (20) days from the crash.

Use the TN Driver Services link https://dl.safety.tn.gov/_/#0 to select and submit the Owner Operator Report.

All reports are subject to public release per TCA § 10-7-503.

Crash reports filed are for documentation and insurance purposes only, and no police action or follow up will be taken.

You can obtain a copy of the report by:
- Email MNPDPublic@nashville.gov
- Post office mail
- In person

Please allow at least five business days before requesting your report to allow for processing time.

To mail in your request or visit in person:
MNPD Central Records
811 Anderson Lane Suite 100
Madison, TN 37115
Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If you have any questions please call 615-862-7631.

Thank you for using our online reporting system.

Online Officer
Metropolitan Nashville Police Department
The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.

House of Judah Consular
Court Tribunal 13 בֵּית לִיהוּדָה
נֶאֱמָנוּת קֶרֶן Private
Indigenous Aboriginal Trust
Consular Court Tribunal 13
Report Number T.C.A
55-12-104

Cover Letter to DHS – Nationality & Status Recognition.

To: U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (CRCL)
Washington, D.C.

TN Department of Safety and Homeland Security

PO Box 945

Nashville Tennessee 372020

Subject: Recognition of Nationality & Enforcement of Indigenous Exemption Status

By authority vested in the House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal 13, perfected under UCC-1 Financing Statement No. 32126367 (Filed 08/18/2025, Illinois Secretary of State), we hereby provide formal notice of our standing and nationality as Judaic Choctaw Indigenous Aboriginal Peoples.

This declaration is made pursuant to the Treaty of Peace and Friendship (1787/1836), the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations, the American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples, and UNDRIP Articles 1–25. As Envoy Prince Ra Hotep El and Envoy Princess Emilily Hotep El, we affirm our lineage as Tribe of Judah and Choctaw Nation, with aboriginal Moor heritage, and invoke Exemption Status 001 as Indigenous Government.

We request immediate recognition and enforcement of our nationality and tribunal judgments, including protection against fraud, theft, and obstruction by municipal or state actors, and acknowledgement of our lawful exemption status under Indigenous and International Law. Failure to recognize this standing within five (5) days shall constitute dishonor and acquiescence under UCC 1-308, resulting in further enforcement referrals to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Office of the Inspector General (OIG), and other international enforcement bodies.

Respectfully,

Envoy Prince Ra Hotep El

Envoy Prince Ra Hotep Eultar
All Rights Reserved UCC 1-308

Envoy Princess Emilily Hotep El
Empress
All Rights Reserved UCC1-308

Envoy Princess Emilily Hotep El

House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal 13

SEAL CERTIFICATION

EXHIBIT N

Hotep El v. Ally Bank I 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA I D. Nev...(4).pdf

Original source document - 5 page(s)

Browse cases / United Sta... / 2022 / July / Ho... Translate Text Highlighter Bookmark PDF Share Report a problem

Hotep El v. Ally Bar
United States Distric...
Jul 22, 2022

Subsequent References

CaseIQ (AI Recommendations)

INTRODUCING 'AMICUS – ADVANCED', OUR MOST POWERFUL AI MODEL FOR LEGAL WORK



Citation Codes
Equivalent Citations
citation codes

Docket Number
2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA

Judges
- DANIEL J. ALBREGTS

Smart Summary

Please sign up to generate summary.

PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL; MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST, PLAINTIFFS, V. ALLY BANK; ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN, LLP, DEFENDANTS.

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

ORDER

Hotep El v. Ally Bank 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA , 1 (Jul 22, 2022) Copy Cite

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pro se plaintiff Princess Emilily Hotep El has filed seven motions including four motion to remove or strike Defendants' pleadings (ECF Nos. 31, 39, 40, 42), two motions "for counterclaim debt" (ECF Nos. 36, 41), and a "motion in agreement for PFJR" (ECF No. 32). However, it is unclear what relief these motions seek or the legal grounds on which El seeks relief. Additionally, El's motions appear to be rooted in theories attributable to the "sovereign citizen" movement, which theories courts have rejected. The Court thus denies each of El's motions.

The Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust is listed as a

plaintiff in this action. It appears that El is pur-

Are you a practicing lawyer?
Enhance your digital presence and reach by creating a Casemine profile.
Click here to know more

Upload pleading to use the new AI search
Click here to upload

U.S. V. JAMES
United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit, 14 May 2003

IN RE AMERICA WEST AIRLINES
United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 25 Nov 1994

U.S. V. HIGH COUNTRY BROADCASTING CO., INC
United States Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit, 27 Aug 1993

not represent the trust because corporate defendants must appear in court through an attorney. *See In re Am. W. Airlines*, 40 F.3d 1058, 1059 (9th Cir. 1994); *see United States v. High Country Broad.*, 3 F.3d 1244, 1245 (9th Cir. 1993).

I. *Discussion.*

Not only do El's motions fail to state the grounds for the relief she seeks or even what relief she seeks, El's motions are based on her theory that she is a "foreign national" over which the United States government does not have jurisdiction. A recurring theme throughout these motions is that El-who refers to herself as "Diplomat princess emilily hotep el"-asserts that she is not the same individual as "MONICA RENE HALL," whom El refers to as the "all caps incorporation." (ECF No. 31 at 1, 6).

These motions appear to stem from El's apparent adherence to the "sovereign citizen" anti-government movement. As one court described it:

> Though the precise contours of their philosophy differ among the various groups, almost all antigovernment movements adhere to a theory of "sovereign citizen." Essentially, they believe that our nation is made up of two types of people: those who are sovereign citizens by virtue of Article IV of the Constitution, and those who are "corporate" or "14th Amendment" citizens by virtue of the ratifications of the 14th amendment. The arguments put forth by these groups are generally incoherent, legally, and vary greatly among different groups and different speakers within those groups. They all rely on snippets of 19th Century court opinions taken out of context, definitions from obsolete legal dictionaries and treatises, and misplaced interpretations of original

readily followed-arguments is that there were no United States citizens prior to the ratification of the 14th Amendment. All Americans were merely citizens of their own state and owed no allegiance to the federal government. As a result of that amendment, however, Congress created a new type of citizen-one who now enjoyed privileges conferred by the federal government and in turn answered to that government.

One of the ramifications of this belief is the dependent belief that, unless one specifically renounces his federal citizenship, he is not the type of citizen originally contemplated by the Constitution. And, in their view, the Constitution requires all federal office holders to be the original or sovereign type of citizen, a state citizen rather than a United States citizen. As a result, all federal officers are holding office illegally and their laws and rules are thus constitutionally suspect.

Clarke v. Allen, No. 3:17-cv-00582-MMD-WGC, 2020 WL 3510921, at *1-2 (D. Nev. June 29, 2020) (quoting *United States v. Mitchell*, 405 F.Supp.2d 602, 605 (D. Md. 2005)).

Other courts have described a similar theory based on the belief that passing the Fourteenth Amendment led to fictitious entities:

Supposedly, prior to the passage of the Fourteenth amendment, there were no U.S. citizens; instead, people were citizens only of their individual states. Even after the passage of the Fourteenth Amendment, U.S. citizenship remains optional. The federal

government, however, has tricked the populace into becoming U.S. citizens by entering into "contracts" embodied in such documents as birth certificates and

> U.S. citizen) that represents, but is separate from, the real person. Through these contracts, individuals also unknowingly pledge themselves and their property, through their newly created fictitious entities, as security for the national debt in exchange for the benefits of citizenship.

Id. (quoting *Bryant v. Wash. Mut. Bank*, 524 F.Supp.2d 753, 758 (W.D. Va. 2007)).

However, "[t]he attempt to divide oneself into two separate entities.. .is a legal fiction and has been struck down consistently in courts and around the country." *Id.* (internal citations and quotations omitted). As the Honorable Magistrate Judge William G. Cobb aptly explained, "[t]his court, like others across the country, concludes that 'sovereign citizens,' like all citizens of the United States, are subject to the laws of the jurisdiction in which they reside." *Id.* (internal citations and quotations omitted). "Laws of the United States apply to all persons within its borders." *United States v. James*, 328 F.3d 953, 954 (7th Cir. 2003). "Regardless of an individual's claimed status of descent, be it as a 'sovereign citizen,' a 'secured-party creditor,' or a 'flesh-and-blood human being,' that person is not beyond the jurisdiction of the courts. These theories should be rejected summarily, however they are presented." *United States v. Benabe*, 654 F.3d 753, 767 (7th Cir. 2011).

The Court rejects El's theory that she is entitled to some form of relief through her motions simply because she declares herself a "foreign national" or "sovereign citizen." It also rejects her theory that she

have referred to her as the "corporate" entity: Monica Rene Hall. The Court will not entertain future motions based on these theories.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's motions (ECF Nos. 31, 32, 36, 39, 40, 41, and 42) are *3 **denied.**

Comments

Write your comment

EXHIBIT O

Moor Indian - choctaw Cherokee etc,...(1).pdf

Original source document - 3 page(s)

An official website of the United States government Here's how you know

Value Set and Code System Information

Code System Name	Race & Ethnicity - CDC
Value Set Code	PHVS_Race_CDC
Value Set Name	Detailed Race
Value Set Version	2

Value Set Concept Details

Concept Code	1237-7
Preferred Concept Name	Moor
Value Set Concept Status	Published
Value Set Concept Status Date	12/29/2017
Value Set Concept Description	
Sequence	

Code System Concept Details

Code System Concept Name	Moor Indian
Code System Concept Status	Published
Code System Preferred Concept Name	Moor Indian
Date Created	03/31/2000
Date Revised	05/14/2026

Associated Value Sets

Value Set Name	Version(s)
Detailed Race	2 , 1
Race Value Set	2 , 1

Last Reviewed: November 8, 2024
Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

An official website of the United States government Here's how you know

Value Set and Code System Information

Code System Name	Race & Ethnicity - CDC
Value Set Code	PHVS_Race_CDC
Value Set Name	Detailed Race
Value Set Version	2

Value Set Concept Details

Concept Code	1155-1
Preferred Concept Name	Choctaw
Value Set Concept Status	Published
Value Set Concept Status Date	12/29/2017
Value Set Concept Description	
Sequence	

Code System Concept Details

Code System Concept Name	Choctaw
Code System Concept Status	Published
Code System Preferred Concept Name	Choctaw
Date Created	03/31/2000
Date Revised	05/14/2026

Associated Value Sets

Value Set Name	Version(s)
Detailed Race	2 , 1
Race Value Set	2 , 1
Tribe Name (NND)	2 , 1

Last Reviewed: November 8, 2024
Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

An official website of the United States government Here's how you know

Value Set and Code System Information

Code System Name	Race & Ethnicity - CDC
Value Set Code	PHVS_Race_CDC
Value Set Name	Detailed Race
Value Set Version	2

Value Set Concept Details

Concept Code	1088-4
Preferred Concept Name	Cherokee
Value Set Concept Status	Published
Value Set Concept Status Date	12/29/2017
Value Set Concept Description	
Sequence	

Code System Concept Details

Code System Concept Name	Cherokee
Code System Concept Status	Published
Code System Preferred Concept Name	Cherokee
Date Created	03/31/2000
Date Revised	05/14/2026

Associated Value Sets

Value Set Name	Version(s)
Detailed Race	2 , 1
Race Value Set	2 , 1
Tribe Name (NND)	2 , 1

Last Reviewed: November 8, 2024
Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

EXHIBIT P

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER & OR JUDGMENT copy(3).pdf

Original source document - 3 page(s)

Electronically Filed
3/22/2022 7:45 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NOTC

Petitioner/Claimant - Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el ens legis Sui Juris
(Name)

7500 West lake mead blvd Ste C9 #309
(Mailing address)

Las Vegas NV Republic near [89128]
(City, state, zip code)

[661]412-2998
(Telephone number)

consulatecourtT13@gmail.com
(E-mail address)

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

princess emilily hotep el , Case No.: A-22- 849976-C

Petitioner(s), Dept. No.: 27

vs.

Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder ,

Respondent(s). Date of Hearing:

Time of Hearing:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER & OR JUDGMENT

INSTRUMENT NUMBER 20210527-0001754

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-entitled Court entered the following Order or Judgement Court Order Authenticated Demand of Removal of all financial statements UCC liens mortgage assignments and such the like from property 125-21-311-121 lot 325 Longitude 36.283029 Latitude -115.276186 8237 Fawn Brook Ct Las Vegas NV Republic of Al Morocco near [89149].

VI paragraph 1 All Debts contracted and Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation.As such the Petitioner Claimant has provided proof of Tender of Payment to this Court filed on March 18, 2022 at 3:20pm Therefore this property is free and clear from any alleged debts in the near future. Article Chapter 108 Statutory Liens NRS 108.2437 Discharge of notice of lien NRS 108.2437 Discharge of notice of lien: Recording by lien claimant; form; liability for failure to record. No Contract, by way of "Tender Of Payment" Nevada Revised Statutes NRS §18.080 Effect of Tender,..As to the

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

Constitutional & Treaty laws N.R.S. §104.9509 (1)(a) N.R.S. §104.9509[2] Article VI Clause 2, This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.N.R.S. §104.9509(3) N.R.S. §104.9513[3][d] N.R.S. §104.9513[4] N.R.S. §104.9625[2] N.R.S. §225.085 Bill of Exchange Act 112 Statute at Large Ch48[HJR192-06/1933 Act NRS 205.520 Issue of document of title for goods not received. [Effective through June 30, 2020.] Failure to show proof of loaned credit funds and or Money! 31 U.S.Code § 822 8 US Code 1101 1-56 USC Title 22, Foreign Relations and Intercourse Chapter 11... Cc; Consular Notification And Access Manuel NRS for Tender of Payment[s] UCC3-603[b].

On the 22nd day of March, 2022. A copy of the Court's Order & or Judgment is attached. DATED this day of , 20 . Per NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.


I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Constitution and Treaties at the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

princessemilyhotepel ucc1-308
(Signature)

Al Moroccan Moor American ;princess emilily hotep el
(Print Name princess emily hotepel

Other, Diplomat Petitioner Claimant Sui Juris

Respectfully Submitted



© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

COURT SEAL

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING & ELECTRONIC SERVICE

eRecording@cscglobal.com

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on [NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER & OR JUDGMENT To Be enforced.] on the 22nd Day of March 2022 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER OR JUDGMENT in the United States Mail, with first-class postage prepaid, addressed to the following: NRCP 5(b), by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail in Las Vegas, Nevada, postage prepaid, to the address listed below *the mailing addresses of opposing parties' attorneys, or opposing parties*

C/O Debbie Conway D.B.A.
County Recorder
500 S Grand Central Pkwy Suite 2nd Floor
Box 551510
Las Vegas, NV Republic near [89106]
(702) 455-4336
eRecording@cscglobal.com

March 24, 2022

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DISTRICT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNTY OF CLARK STATE OF NEVADA

CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

Per NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this [22nd] day of [March], 20[22].

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Constitution and Treaties at the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Signature) [redacted] UCC 1-308

Consular Court Tribunal #13
Consul and Diplomat ;prince ra el

(Print name) Prince Ra El

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

EXHIBIT Q

Order & remedy removal of Break in Chain of Title FannieMae Fidelity National title Court Order Property remains in the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust (3).pdf

Original source document - 2 page(s)

Electronically Filed
3/1/2023 8:34 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

PUBLIC RECORD RECORDING AND NOTICE
IT IS ORDERED FOR THE LAWFUL RESOLUTION AND REMEDY

REMEDY - The Court Orders filed with the Consular Court Tribunal are recorded on the Docket Case No. A-22-849976-C A total of three [2] two Court orders for the removal of the instruments and claims on the property by all the parties alleging to be lenders servicers and such the like as well a Affidavit for protection order for the parcel no. 125-21-311-121. This property is recorded online public record as free and clear. Due to the amount of breakage or break in the chain of title or OUTSIDE THE CHAIN OF TITLE : This is caused by the Clark County Recorder - The name of the grantor is wrong and was corrected by the abode property owners Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust princess emililly hotep el beneficiary while the County Clerk Recorder refused and denied this information to be recorded in the County Records, while the grantee is not clear as there are too many breaks in the Chain of Title.

Due to the laws of the Constitution and Treaties all parties must be present during the disclosure and signing of a mutual meeting of the minds agreement contract and only one party appeared on June 22, 2018 that being Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust beneficiary princess emililly hotep el the grantor prince ra el and the real estate broker the property must remain in the possession of the party present.

Due to multiple errors in the Mortgage Assignment that has been challenge since June 22, 2018 the errors have gone uncorrected and ignored. Therefore the property remains in the procession of the Monica Rene Hall Estate and all security instruments including recent filings must be removed and this remains in the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and the beneficiary. In that situation, it is particularly important that the names are correct or the property cannot be located by third parties. If it cannot be located by an examination of the public records, the title of the property is said to be "outside the chain of title." The information has been presented for the past four years and six months to all parties herein by USPS mail Fedex USP and email.

Princess Emililly Hotep El title appellation nobility correction Case No D-21-624115-N name title and appellation correction refused by the Clark County Recorder filed in public record Case No A-22-849976-C. The Consular Court Tribunal finds this matter dismissed with the property remaining in the Estate Trust of Monica Rene Hall beneficiary princess emililly hotep el Grantor prince ra el and Trustee Melchizedek Priesthood El llc managing partner trustee. Documented and recorded with the Clark County Assessor as of June 26, 2018. Due to the failure of the Dallas Capital National Bank under the receivership of the FDIC the property remains remains in the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and the beneficiary. The FDIC has the authority to release the liens filed as do this Court Tribunal and agrees thereto do so.CASE NO. OTH / 22 / 857208.

Due to the amount of changes and the new recorded parcel no. located only in the Clark County Recorders office and not the Clark County Assessors office the documents are void and in abnitio VOID! The payment was tendered as to the direction of FannieMae to PennyMac in the amount of $400,317.19 with the proof of down payments of more than 20% the property remains remains in the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and the beneficiary. Due to all the instruments removed by court orders Cc Case No. Public record A-22-849976-C the property remains in the possession of the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust beneficiary princess emililly hotep el. Cc the letter from LeaderOne Financial Corp stating the documents filed in the County Recorders office if illegal and unlawfully incorrect.

It is orders with prejudice. Chief Justice Official of the

Consular Court Tribunal dated February 28, 2023. 9:15am

Court Seal

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

IT IS SO ORDERED FOR THE REMEDY

JURISDICTION - This matter was initiated within the Consular Court Tribunal June 22, 2018. As each respondent The matter resides within the Consular Court Tribunal with the assistance of the Al Moroccan Consulate and the United Nations American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. The peoples of the Al Moroccan Empire Nation and or Kingdom have their own Tribunal Courts by law and is recognized and acknowledge by the United States Cc American Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and check the Tribunal Courts Act. Also Cc USCode 3002. The parties herein all used process servicer and claimed to work for the Court or State of Nevada without showing licenses or identification. This brings the matter into the Consular Court Tribunal Jurisdiction. The property location is listed as the Melchizedek Priesthood whereas the Consular Court Tribunal is the Court as the Flag flies over the property. As all parties have entered therein the Jurisdiction on many occasions via physically or digitally. The property is that of Al Morocco, the woman herein is an Al Moroccan American not a U.S. citizen, The woman is not a pro se litigant nor a pro per litigant but a Consular Official herself with several Consular Officials working on her behalf. This being the case the matter resides within the Consular Court Jurisdiction. **Leader One Removed due to their evidence.**

REQUIREMENTS OF A REAL ESTATE CONTRACT - There are seven basic requirements, which are mandated by law, that must be present to make a real estate contract valid. When all of these requirements are satisfied, the contract is considered valid and legally enforceable. Below are the seven basic requirements of a real estate contract:

Petitioners: Diplomat Diplomat Princess Emilily Hotep El Empress of Al Morocco Immune Registration No. AA222141 with the U.S. Dept of Justice for property 125-21-311-121 lot 325 Cc: The American Declaration On The Rights Of Indigenous Peoples Executive Order 13173 Cc The United Nations Articles THIS PROPERTY IS NOT FOR SALE NOR IN FORECLOSURE IN LUE OF AN ADVERSE POSSESSION THE ALLEGED DEBT WAS PAID AND NOW IS DISCHARGED BY LAW REQUEST SUPPORT BY THE GOV ENTITIES LISTED HEREIN AS STATE DEPARTMENTS PMI REQUEST TO BE REMOVED BY TENDER OF PAYMENT AND REDUCTION OF PROPERTY SALE FROM OPEN DOOR DOWN TO $410,000.00.

1. **The contract must be in writing and there must be an offer and an acceptance of said offer. In order for a real estate contract to be enforceable by law, it is required to be in writing.** a. No one showed up from FannieMae aka Federal National Mortgage Association, Dallas Capital National Bank NA, NDSC, PennyMac, LeaderOne Corp, et al,... not limited to all parties claiming to be service's, alleged lenders, process servers, debt collectors et, all.

2. The contract must have mutual assent and legal purpose. The contract must reflect a mutual assent, or sometimes referred to as "meeting of the minds." This is when all parties to the contract understand and accept all the essential details, obligations, and rights of the contract. Additionally, the purpose of the contract must fall within legal limitations. A contract that involves any illegal activities is considered void and rendered unenforceable.

b. There was no meeting of the minds in this matter in fact no one appeared on behalf of FannieMae et al, no one signed on behalf of FannieMae or Dallas Capital National Bank et al,.. more over the documents presented in the Clark County Recorders office are forged two years well after the closing on June 22, 2018. Cc the documents named Affidavit of fact proof of tender of payment and failure of response by administrator or Authorization letter from the Board of Directors for the allege lender. There are many herein clamming to be the lender which is failure to disclose.

© 2014 Civil Law SelfHelp Center

EXHIBIT R

State Nevada Municipality $400,000,000.00 damages(3).pdf

Original source document - 10 page(s)

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General

CRAIG A. NEWBY
First Assistant Attorney General

CHRISTINE JONES BRADY
Second Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF NEVADA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701

TERESA BENITEZ-THOMPSON
Chief of Staff

LESLIE NINO PIRO
General Counsel

HEIDI PARRY STERN
Solicitor General

May 30, 2023

Prince Ra Hotep El
8237 Fawn Brook Ct
Las Vegas, NV 89149

Claim Number: TC20610

Dear Mr. Hotep El:

The State of Nevada has received your claim against the State of Nevada in the amount of $400,000,000.00.

We are unable to find any acts to which the State of Nevada is liable, and we must deny your claim for damages.

Sincerely,

Nancy Katafias

Nancy Katafias,
Claims Manager

RE: To Attorney General Aaron D. Ford and Nacy Katafias claims manager Claim Nos.:CLAIM #28-58B2-10Q, CLAIM #28-49N9-59W, CLAIM #28-49N9-69M, CLAIM #28-58B1-89L

From Nancy L. Katafias <NKatafias@ag.nv.gov>

To Consularcourttribunal13@proton.me <ConsularCourtTribunal13@proton.me>

Date Tuesday, 20 February 2024 at 08:57

Good morning,

We have received your several emails containing many documents. As you were notified on May 30, 2023, we were unable to find any acts to which the State of Nevada would be liable. Any claim against the State of Nevada is denied.

Regards,

Nancy Katafias

Tort Claims Manager

Office of the Attorney General

(775) 684-1252

nkatafias@ag.nv.gov

Notice: This e-mail message and any attachments thereto may contain confidential, privileged or non-public

information. Use, dissemination, distribution or reproduction of this information by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately and destroy all copies.

WARNING - This email originated from outside the State of Nevada. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

To Attorney General Aaron D. Ford and Nacy Katafias claims manager

STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

100 North Carson Street Carson City,

Nevada 89701 Nancy Katafias, Claims Manager

To: David Cassetty Deputy Commissioner, Consumer Services

Linda Stratton Supervisory Compliance Investigator

Tanishia Abarrane Compliance Investigator, Las Vegas Office

Consumer Compliance & Licensing

1818 E. College Pkwy., Suite 103

Carson City, NV 89706

Lewis Harley Law Group

4801 Woodway Drive

Suite 300

Houston Texas 77656

consularcourttribunal13@proton.me

For CLAIM #28-58B2-10Q, CLAIM #28-49N9-59W, CLAIM #28-49N9-69M, CLAIM #28-58B1-89L Independent Counsel Harley will be unavailable until April 25, 2024 but please contact Chief El-Dey or Princ e Ra El Consular Official here at this email thanks.

In the United States, bills of attainder are unconstitutional as stated in Article 1 Section 9 and Article 1 Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution. Article 9 prohibits federal bills of attainder and Article 10 prohibits bills of attainder by the states.

It was suggested by the State of Nevada to file a claim or complaint with the Nevada Division of Insurance and the response was the same from the insurance company "youll have to file this in Court. But the State doesn't require a civil complaint or claim to be filed to conduct its investigations and awards of funds. Febuary 5, 2024 Linda Stratton whom name appears to be very familiar did not do an investigation as to sending it to Tanishia Abarrane Compliance Investigator, making the process impossible to receive Justus.

State Farm and its many names subsidiaries are located in Atlanta Georgia and Ohio as their corporate offices. To file the claim and or complaint in Nevada Federal Court it would be a waist of money and time for the filing because they would move to dismiss based upon lack of Jurisdiction. In order for Nevada to hear the civil claims and complaints both parties would need to be located in the State of Nevada neither are at this time. The amount would suffice as it is well over $11,800,000.00, as well the civil claim itself would be admissible as to the federal question.

Federal question jurisdiction is one of the two ways for a federal court to gain subject matter jurisdiction over a case (the other way is through diversity jurisdiction). Generally, in order for federal question jurisdiction to exist, the cause of action must arise under federal law.

The insurance company knows that the parties or the petitioners are targeted as they stated they made communication with court officers such as the attorney whom created the fraud of identity theft, mortgage and deed fraud etc,.. Attorney Samuel Richard Kirk Ehlers former employee of the Fidelity National Title Company that was the title company insuring the title. He was employed with them for four months approximately

February 2021 to March 2022. The exact same time the alleged foreclosure commenced after the petitioner showed and provided the documentation as to the abode estate property 125-21-311-121 lot 325 is held in a private trust sold or transferred to the David Jr Hall Estate Trust on November 1, 2020.

On about January 31, 2024 the company that caused the fake forclosure with help of the attorney and law firm Aldridge Pite Haan llp located in Atlanta GA. The company name is Cross River Bank whom somehow appeared in the Court action case no. A-22-..... on august 10, 2022 the judge while stating that the solar company was foreclosing on the home but when you look at the public records fraudulently recorded it was stated as if FanniMae International filed the action which was apparently a non judicial action and fraudulent auction that never took place.

The tracking company made contact by way of gaining access from State Farm insurance company as they stated, and the State of Nevada removed the lien UCC filed against Cross River Bank whom falsely mislead the State of Nevada claiming there was no contract between the Estate Trust princess emilily hotep el and the property. rather a company whom has served as the debt collector front or face on behalf of Aldridge Pite Haan llp named National Recovery Solutions LLC whom gave incorrect information as the others have which was filed with the Attorney General Office County Assessors office and many other State of Nevada agencies.

When the Consular Official obo the el family spoke with Tina from National Recovery Solution llc the acknowledge they've spoken before concerning the home and the automobile claims filed by Richard Ehlers and Jimmy Jimenez attorneys for Aldridge Pite Haan llp as of November 19, 2021. They made multiple attempts to gain judgements and defaults in the State of California but was denied access as the California Courts knowing the actions were fraud and false claims. Cc audio from DVD or hard drive mailed to you last week.

The insurance company State Farm as you'll hear on the recordings mailed to you, the DOJ, the United States attorney and the FBI/SNCTC and other agencies will find the insurance company knowing the family had to flee the state for their lives and are still being tracked stated that they the petitioners would need to file a lawsuit against them in Nevada.

First, they reinforce the separation of powers by forbidding the legislature to perform judicial or executive functions, as a bill of attainder necessarily does. Second, they embody the concept of due process, which is reinforced by the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution.

Article I, Section 9, Clause 4: No Capitation, or other direct, Tax shall be laid, unless in Proportion to the Census or enumeration herein before directed to be taken. They were accused of not paying taxes while such is voluntarily done by United States citizens the man and woman herein are Indigenous American status White Cc 2020 Census.

The home owners were accused of crimes such as being anti United States without any court claims filed but used such words as a bill of attainer to convince the Court newly hired Judge Jessica Goodey to allow a temporary writ of restitution calling the family muslims and anti Americans while the man and woman are from the Choctaw Cherokee and Seminole tribes the 3 out from the 5 Civilized tribes whom wrote and assisted in the United States Constitution and Treaties.

No Bill of Attainder or ex post facto Law shall be passed. "Bills of attainder . . . are such special acts of the legislature, as inflict capital punishments upon persons supposed to be guilty of high offenses, such as treason and felony, without any conviction in the ordinary course of judicial proceedings. For such accusations are false.

Violation of the Nevada Constitution

Rights of foreigners.

- Judge Goodey stated that the matter was not appealable due to it was a temporary writ. Why then would she issue a temporary writ of restitution upon a property abode that was and still is held in the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust BT and is exempt from such acts filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court Federal Court Nevada and 9th Circuit of Appeals.
-

- Violation of the Nevada Constitution
- Slavery and involuntary servitude prohibited.
- Violation of the Nevada Constitution
- Unreasonable seizure and search; issuance of warrants etc,...
-
- The bill of Attainer or ex post facto law was given light to a temporary writ of restitution based upon false information that
- the man and woman living in the home was squatters
- the man and woman was somehow tenants without a landlord tenant renters contract
- the woman in the home was or is in the US Army Reserve

- there was a debt owed for rent passed due
- there was a foreclosure before the temporary writ of restitution
-
- None of these accusation are true nor accurate and have rendered the El family homeless for over 6 months now, chased out of there home and community by a mob of people on May 30, 2023 and again on June 6, 2023.
-
- Violation of the Nevada Constitution 19. Treason.
- Violation of the Nevada Constitution 20. Rights retained by people. Notice on all documents signed by the original home owners would state all rights reserved including UCC 1-308 and other arr statements over the printed name anything else is a forgery. Title 42, U.S.C., Section 14141.
-
- 18 U.S. Code § 1961 Theft of identity used to maintain the property the sewer utility, water and other utilities. Using AI voice imitation software they have contacted several companies concerning the solar power system on the home and the water filtration system that was supposed to be shipped to their new location was stolen by way of copying prince ra el voice imitation copy software or IA calming to have called several times setting up appointments but never showing up for the appointments.
- Theft of property
- Slander
- Defamation
- Libel
- Mail fraud
- Mail theft
- Terrorism
- Harassment
-
- Aldridge Pite LLP aka Aldridge Pite Haan and the lawyer or firm is the debt collecting entity. As defined by the FDCPA, they are not "debt collectors" under the Act. They themselves stated in the Nevada Courts on the Docket that they were not the debt collector rather their messages emails and voice mail states they are the debt collector. If so when was an alleged debt owed was sold to them and were is the contract.
-
- The law firm has influence and the attorney had access somehow to the Courts in Nevada while operating via San Diego California never appearing in court but directing the court as an court officer and creating their documents the newly employed judge Jessica Goodey January 1, 2023 had given a temporary writ of restitution and allowed such acts to be played out
-
- This was a cover up to the actual legal process filed with the Constable office on April 20, 2023 9am a writ of execution was filed against the law firm to be served and the fees were paid and never refunded. The Deputy had the excuse was not delivering because the attorney wouldn't show up on Zoom video. ZOOM VIDEO they the petitioners paid for a physical delivery service not a zoom call. In return the

Constable made contact that day and the lawyer then countered with the filing on the same day online not in person that afternoon for a temporary writ of restitution while the place is a home not an apartment and there is no landlord tenant contract between parties at the home location.

-
- The starting place is with the definitions of the statute. Remember they told the CFPB they are not involved in the debt collection process. As well in the court documents they claimed to be working for the company fanniemae at the same time no contract exist between the Property owner and FannieMae.
-
- Marlon of Jim Hastings LTD stated the property was transferred over to them by someone at FannieMae but they told the courts that they were the bank! While the original lender shows to be none other than Leader One Financial located in Kansas MO.
-
- Subject to certain limitations, a "debt collector" is defined as "any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another." 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6) (emphasis added).
- If the "principal purpose" of the law firm was or is collecting consumer debts, then the law firm is a "debt collector" under the statute. As they claimed they were not on legal briefs and public records filed with many federal agencies. See, e.g., Scott v. Jones, 964 F.2d 314, 316 (4th Cir. 1992) (where 70-80% of attorney's fees were generated from collection work and attorney filed approximately 4000 collection cases per year during a four year period, the "principal purpose" of his practice was debt collection).
- The more difficult issue is determining when a lawyer or a firm or firm with a relatively small collection practice is still "regularly" collecting consumer debts. This false attacks falls in the jurisdiction of the State of Nevada. While there are multiple claims filed in the Nevada Attorney General Offices as of 2019 to present showing the time line.

Demand Settlement $8,000,000.00 Eight Million USDA

Loss of NFT business cost $2,500,000.00

Loss of employment pecuniary compensation $75,000.00

Loss of business recording studios cost $6000.00

Damaged studio equipment replacement $1,500,000.00

Damaged camera equipment $70,000.00

Missing Compact flash cards 32 gigs 200 cards $8,000.00

Missing Compact flash cards 64 gigs 100 cards $8,000.00

Damaged Stolen lost Mastering speakers stereo system $43,000.00

Damaged or stolen lost lighting equipment $40,000.00

Damaged stolen 6500 Calvin bulbs $25,000.00

Damage or stolen lost Gold silver coins $30,000.00

Damage Stolen lost cash and coins in the home $10,000.00

Damages to Jack Roush Mustang gt $6500.00

Damage to Kia optima $7800.00

Damage or stolen lostShipping Cost for two cars $4500.00

Damage or stolen lostMoving company shipping cost and damages $32,300.00

Damage or stolen lost8237 Fawn Brook Ct property cost sale $619,000.00

other property on the west coast $545,000.00

Damage or stolen lost USPS shipping and mailing cost $5500.00

Damage or stolen lostReplacement for documents filed in Court $10,000.00

including United States Passports Diplomat Visas and World Government Passports and other National Identity cards and documents including nativity records.

Damage or stolen lostJewelry $ 78,000.00

Damage or stolen lost Home security system $5800.00

Damage or stolen lost Smart home set up $3500.00

Damage or stolen lost Gate and fence torn down $12,000.00

Damage or stolen lost Solar power system $28,000.00

Damage or stolen lost Kinetico water system $12,000.00

Damage or stolen lost Generac generator 24/21 kW Air-Cooled Standby Generator, Damage or stolen lost Aluminum Enclosure, 200 SE ATS (not CUL), 24/21 kW Air-Cooled Standby Generator, Aluminum Enclosure, $6,397.00

Damage or stolen lost Refrigerator $1200.00

Damage or stolen lost Washer $899.00

Damage or stolen lost Dryer $899.00

Total here $5,648,795.00

Please Cc the Replacement cost Cc attachments list which adds up to or well over the demanded amount of $8,000,000.00

Please Cc videos photos receipts and replacement value list as well damaged property loss of employment business and sales.

Sincerely your

Chief Justice El-Dey

> **From:** ConsularCourtTribunal13 <ConsularCourtTribunal13@proton.me>
> **Sent:** Friday, February 16, 2024 2:00 PM
> **To:** AGClaims <agclaims@ag.nv.gov>
> **Cc:** AGReferral <AGReferral@ag.nv.gov>; Linda Stratton <lstratton@doi.nv.gov>; statefarmfireclaims@statefarm.com
> **Subject:** To Attorney General Aaron D. Ford and Nacy Katafias claims manager Claim Nos.:CLAIM #28-58B2-10Q, CLAIM #28-49N9-59W, CLAIM #28-49N9-69M, CLAIM #28-58B1-89L

18.89 KB 1 embedded image

image001.jpg 18.89 KB

EXHIBIT S

Hotep El v. Ally Bank (2022)(1).pdf

Original source document - 2 page(s)

Hotep El v. Ally Bank

District Court, D. Nevada · September 23, 2022

TRIAL COURT OPINION

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL and Case No.: 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST, 4 Order Remanding Case and Denying as Plaintiffs Moot All Other Pending Motions 5 v. [ECF Nos. 16, 17, 51, 52, 60] 6 ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN LLP and ALLY 7 BANK,

8 Defendants

9 Princess Emilily Hotep El and Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust (collectively, "Hotep El") 10 removed this action from state court. ECF No. 2; see also ECF Nos. 8 (second notice of 11 removal); 24 at 5 (stating that this "matter is now removed in District Federal Court, the lower 12 court or State Court has no jurisdiction of any kind"); 25 at 1 (stating they removed state case 13 number A-21-843907-C to this court). Although Hotep El also filed something entitled a 14 "complaint" and an "amended complaint," those documents are mistitled and instead appear to 15 be attempts at asserting counterclaims in the underlying lawsuit. Ally Bank is the plaintiff in the 16 underlying state court suit. ECF No. 16 at 16. 17 Ally moves to remand, arguing that there is no subject matter jurisdiction in this court 18 because the underlying lawsuit involves only state law claims valued at under $20,000. Hotep El 19 contends that removal is proper because she is asserting that the defendants violated various 20 federal laws. She also argues the amount in controversy exceeds $75,000 because she seeks 21 several million dollars against Ally. 22 Federal district courts are courts of limited jurisdiction, deriving their power to hear cases 23 from specific congressional grants of jurisdiction. U.S. v. Sumner,

226 F.3d 1005, 1009

(9th Cir. 1 2000). Under

28 U.S.C. § 1441

(a), federal courts have removal jurisdiction over a claim that 2 could have been brought in federal court originally. 3 Under 28 U.S.C.§ 1331, federal district courts have jurisdiction over "all civil actions 4 arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States." Ally's complaint alleges 5 only state law claims, so there is no federal question jurisdiction. See ECF No. 16 at 16-20.

6 Hotep El's federal defenses or counterclaims cannot create federal question jurisdiction for 7 removal. See Holmes Grp., Inc. v. Vornado Air Circulation Sys., Inc.,

535 U.S. 826, 831

(2002) 8 (stating that a counterclaim cannot serve as the basis for federal question jurisdiction); Hall v. N. 9 Am. Van Lines, Inc.,

476 F.3d 683, 687

case either. Under 28 U.S.C. 12 § 1332(a)(1), federal district courts have original jurisdiction over civil actions in diversity cases 13 "where the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of $75,000" and where the matter is 14 between "citizens of different States." Ally seeks far below the jurisdictional amount in

15 controversy in the underlying action. See ECF No. 16 at 16-20. Again, Hotep El cannot rely on 16 the amount she seeks on any counterclaims to support removal. 17 As the party seeking to invoke this court's jurisdiction, Hotep El bears the burden of 18 proving this court has jurisdiction. Corral v. Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.,

878 F.3d 770

, 773 19 (9th Cir. 2017). Hotep El has not done so. I therefore remand this action to the state court from 20 which it was removed. 21 Because I remand the case, I deny all pending motions as moot. This includes Aldridge 22 Pite Haan LLP's motion to dismiss, which appears to be moot for the additional reason that 23 Aldridge Pite is not named in the amended notice of removal at ECF No. 8. 1 I THEREFORE ORDER that Ally Bank's motion to remand (ECF No. 16) is 2]GRANTED. All other pending motions (ECF Nos. 17, 51, 52, 60) are DENIED as moot and 3|| the case is remanded to the state court from which it was removed for all further proceedings. 4!| The Clerk of the Court is instructed to close this case. 5 DATED this 23rd day of September, 2022. 6 OIE. ANDREW P.GORDON g UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9 10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23