FILED

SEPTEMBER 29, 2026

9:35am

PUBLIC NOTICE OF PRESERVED FEDERAL COURT RECORD AND IDENTIFIED DOCKET DISCREPANCY

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

NOTICE DATE	September 29, 2026
RELATED DISTRICT COURT MATTER	Princess Emilily Hotep El and Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust v. Ally Bank et al.
DISTRICT COURT	U.S. District Court, District of Nevada — Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA
RELATED APPELLATE MATTER	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit — Case No. 22-70183
PURPOSE	Public record preservation, chronological accuracy, party-capacity clarification, and identification of an unresolved appellate docket reference.

I. DISTRICT OF NEVADA RECORD — ECF NOS. 51 AND 52

The preserved District of Nevada docket reflects ECF Nos. 51 and 52, each titled "MOTION for all Motions to be Placed back in Docket and Not Stricken." The entries identify Princess Emilily Hotep El and Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust as the filing parties and state that responses were due August 10, 2022.

The preserved historical docket material examined for this Notice does not display an opposition or response specifically directed to ECF No. 51 or ECF No. 52 before that stated deadline. The earlier Justia capture is limited because that service states that its docket was last retrieved on July 28, 2022 and that a more recent docket listing may be available through PACER.

II. NINTH CIRCUIT CASE NO. 22-70183 — SEPARATE APPELLATE PROCEEDING

On August 22, 2022, a Petition for Writ of Mandamus was filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit as Case No. 22-70183. The preserved historical appellate record states that the petition was filed, the cause was docketed, appearances of counsel were entered, and the Real Parties in Interest were notified.

The historical appellate record identifies Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and princess emilily hotep el as **PETITIONERS**; the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas as **RESPONDENT**; and Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as REAL PARTIES IN INTEREST was added later, and the caption for the real party[s] in interest was and is princess emilily hotep el & the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust.

Nevada nor the District of Nevada or Las Vegas was ever listed as Respondents this was later added by the Respondents.

For purposes of accurately describing Case No. 22-70183, this Notice therefore uses "Petitioners." It does not describe the Petitioners as "plaintiffs" in the Ninth Circuit mandamus proceeding.

III. PARTY-CAPACITY AND TERMINOLOGY CLARIFICATION

The District of Nevada proceeding and the Ninth Circuit mandamus proceeding are separate federal matters with different procedural roles. Terminology appearing in the District Court record should not be automatically substituted for the party designations appearing in the appellate record.

The preserved Ninth Circuit record identifies the Trust and Princess Emilily Hotep El as Petitioners. This Notice likewise avoids substituting "pro se litigants" for the actual appellate party designations shown in that historical record. Where another court document uses different terminology, that terminology may be quoted and attributed to that particular document without adopting it as the terminology of this Notice.

Daniel J. Albregts served as a United States Magistrate Judge in the District of Nevada proceeding. This Notice does not identify him as an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ); those are distinct adjudicative roles.

IV. SEPTEMBER 23, 2022 DISTRICT COURT REMAND ORDER

A publicly retrievable September 23, 2022 order entered by United States District Judge Andrew P. Gordon is captioned: "ORDER REMANDING CASE AND DENYING AS MOOT ALL OTHER PENDING MOTIONS [ECF Nos. 16, 17, 51, 52, 60]."

The order granted Ally Bank's Motion to Remand, ECF No. 16. It further stated that ECF Nos. 17, 51, 52 and 60 were denied as moot, that the matter was remanded to the state court from which it had been removed, and that the Clerk was instructed to close the federal case.

Accordingly, the September 23 record establishes that ECF Nos. 51 and 52 were ultimately disposed of as moot in connection with the remand. This Notice does not characterize that disposition as an adjudication of the substantive merits of the relief requested in those motions.

V. SEPTEMBER 2026 REFERENCE TO A SEPTEMBER 21, 2022 APPELLATE ORDER

In September 2026, correspondence received from a generic Ninth Circuit operational email account stated that the court would not accept further filings in Case No. 22-70183 "per the order filed on September 21, 2022 (Docket Entry 2)."

The preserved email does not attach, reproduce, or quote the referenced order and does not identify an individual author by name or personal signature. The historical appellate materials presently preserved establish the existence of Case No. 22-70183 and its August 22, 2022 docketing, but those materials do not themselves supply the text of the September 21, 2022 document later described as "Docket Entry 2."

DOCUMENTARY QUESTION PRESERVED: What document constitutes the September 21, 2022 "Docket Entry 2" referenced in the September 2026 correspondence, and what does its actual text state?

VI. SEPTEMBER 2026 PUBLIC OPINIONS SEARCH

A September 22, 2026 capture from the official Ninth Circuit Opinions search shows a search using the names "MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST, PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL," with Nevada as case origin and Civil as case type. The result displayed was "No matching opinions found."

That result is preserved for what it shows, but it is not represented as a complete search of the Ninth Circuit docket. An opinions search and a complete docket search are different record-retrieval functions.

VII. CHRONOLOGY PRESERVED BY THIS NOTICE

July/August 2022 — ECF Nos. 51 and 52 appear on the District of Nevada docket, each with responses stated as due August 10, 2022.

August 22, 2022 — Ninth Circuit Case No. 22-70183 is filed and docketed as a Petition for Writ of Mandamus; Real Parties in Interest are notified.

September 21, 2022 — A September 2026 Ninth Circuit email later identifies this date as the filing date of an alleged "Docket Entry 2" order.

September 23, 2022 — District Judge Andrew P. Gordon grants remand and denies ECF Nos. 17, 51, 52 and 60 as moot; the federal District Court case is ordered closed.

September 2026 — A generic Ninth Circuit operational email relies upon the referenced September 21, 2022 "Docket Entry 2" as authority for refusing additional filings in Case No. 22-70183.

VIII. LIMITS AND RECORD-PRESERVATION STATEMENT

This Notice does not speculate as to why a particular document may or may not presently appear through a public-facing search system. The absence of a document from a particular search result is not represented as proof that the document never existed, was removed, altered, concealed, or suppressed.

This Notice does not convert the House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal XIII's own findings, notices, defaults, or record-preservation determinations into orders or judgments of a Nevada or federal court.

The purpose is to preserve the documentary discrepancy so that the actual September 21, 2022 appellate docket material, if located or produced, may be compared directly against the historical record.

IX. SOURCE RECORDS

1. Historical public-record capture for Ninth Circuit Case No. 22-70183, updated August 25, 2022.
2. Historical District of Nevada docket capture for Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA.
3. September 23, 2022 District of Nevada remand order reproduced by CaseMine.
4. September 22, 2026 Ninth Circuit Opinions search capture.
5. September 2026 Ninth Circuit email correspondence preserved by House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal XIII.

NOTICE OF PURPOSE


This publication is maintained as a public record-preservation notice. It preserves dates, docket descriptions, party designations, publicly accessible court materials, correspondence, and the historical sequence of events without enlarging the legal effect of any document beyond what that document itself states.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII
Public Records / Judicial Record Preservation

Chief Ali

Chief Justice Ali
UCC 1-308

AMERICA AL MOROCCO · TURTLE ISLAND
CONSULAR COURT 13
HOUSE OF JUDAH
CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL


Safari File Edit View History Bookmarks Window Help
Tue 18 Aug 09:18
dbnft.ai
Facebook
Facebook
Start Page
Start Page
Consular Court Archive | House of...
https://dbnft.ai/court-docs/03_UN...
AARON D. FORD
Attorney General
CRAIG A. NEWBY
First Assistant Attorney General
CHRISTINE JONES BRADY
Second Assistant Attorney General
STATE OF NEVADA
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701
TERESA BENITEZ-THOMPSON
Chief of Staff
LESLIE NINO PIRO
General Counsel
HEIDI PARRY STERN
Solicitor General
May 30, 2023
Prince Ra Hotep El
8237 Fawn Brook Ct
Las Vegas, NV 89149
Claim Number: TC20610
Dear Mr. Hotep El:
The State of Nevada has received your claim against the State of Nevada in the amount of $400,000,000.00.
We are unable to find any acts to which the State of Nevada is liable, and we must deny your claim for damages.
Sincerely,
Nancy Katafias
Nancy Katafias,
Claims Manager
IMG_1362.jpeg
JPEG image - 917 KB
Information
Show Less
Rotate Left
Markup
More...
MOTION TO RECONS...t.ai_QpVN_Case_pyuth4x...cord

View History Bookmarks Window Help

dbnft.ai

Facebook | Start Page | Start Page | Consular Court Archive | House of... | https://dbnft.ai/court-do

Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

VOID AB INITIO NOTICE COLOR OF LAW DEFACTO

Petitioner/Claimant - Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el é
legis Sui Juris
(Name)

8461 West Farm Rd Ste 120 #163
(Mailing address)

Las Vegas NV Republic near [89131]
(City, state, zip code)

[661]412-2998
(Telephone number)

consulatecourtT13@gmail.com
(E-mail address)

2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA

CONSULATE COURT TRIBUNAL

princess emilily hotep el ,
Petitioner(s),

vs.

ALLY BANK et, al,... ,
Respondent(s).

Aldridge Pite Haan llp et.al,...

Case No.: New CL/21/531289
Dept 31
Dept. No.: 13

Date of Hearing: HELD IN CHAMBERS BY FOUR JUDICIAL OFFICIALS
Time of Hearing: N/A

VOID AB INITIO CASE NUBERS - Former 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA 22-70183 A-21-843907-C

NOTICE COLOR OF LAW DEFACTO & EX PARTE HEARINGS HELD BY THE NINTH CIRCUIT COURT FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT OF NEVADA & STATE COURT WHOM USED COLOR OF LAW TACTICS WITH NOW LAW WHICH DO NOT OUTWEIGH THE CONSTITUTION AND TREATIES OF THIS LAND INCLUDING MAGISTRATES AND JUDGES NOT LIMITED TO CLERKS WHOM DID NOT SIGN THE ORDERS NOR IDENTIFIED THEMSELVES CC: ARTICLE VI VI ch.III SUPREMACY CLAUSE, ALL ORDERS WRITTEN SIGNED OR UNSIGNED ARE NOW VOID BY LAW Cc: ORDERS FROM THE DISTRICT COURT AND 9TH CIRCUIT COIRTS VOID BY LAW OF THE LAND SUPREMACY CLAUSE DOCUMENTS FOUND IN PROMOTIONS FOLDER OF THE CONSULATE COURT NOW ALL CASES IN YOUR COURTS ARE DISMISSED!

FILED

SEPTEMBER 29, 2026

9:35am

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII
COURT OF RECORD / PUBLIC RECORD PRESERVATION

PUBLIC NOTICE OF DISTINCT CASE CAPTIONS, PARTY CAPACITIES, FEDERAL APPELLATE RECORD, AND IDENTIFIED RECORD DISCREPANCIES

Related Records: A-22-849976-C | A-21-843907-C | 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA | Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183

Notice Date: September 29, 2026

I. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THIS NOTICE

This Notice consolidates the preserved record concerning separate Nevada state-court, federal district-court, and Ninth Circuit proceedings. Its purpose is to prevent distinct captions, parties, case numbers, subject matters, and later electronic party classifications from being treated as though they were interchangeable.

The central method of this Notice is documentary comparison: what did the contemporaneous filed document actually say, and when did any different caption, party designation, date, or docket description first appear?

This Notice distinguishes the contents of original source documents from later docket/database descriptions. It does not treat a later electronic roster as proof that the same wording or party classification appeared in the original filing.

II. ORIGINAL COUNTY RECORDER / PROPERTY-INSTRUMENT CASE

The April 28, 2022 electronically filed Complaint/Claim in Nevada Case No. A-22-849976-C identifies the filer at the top of page 1 as:

“Petitioner/Claimant - Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el ens legis Sui Juris”

The caption on that same filed page separately identifies princess emilily hotep el as Petitioner and “Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder” as Respondent. It identifies Department 27 and a June 16, 2022 hearing date.

The pleading describes its subject as a “CLAIM FOR REMOVAL OF INSTRUMENTS FROM PROPERTY” and identifies the property, parcel information, Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust, and a recorded instrument sought to be removed.

The certificate of mailing/electronic service likewise identifies Debbie Conway D.B.A. County Recorder at the County Recorder address. This confirms that the County Recorder/property-record matter had its own caption, respondent, subject matter, and service record.

III. “LAS VEGAS” IN THE COUNTY RECORDER PAPERS IS ADDRESS INFORMATION - NOT THE RESPONDENT FIELD

The original County Recorder filing contains “Las Vegas” in address information. The Respondent field, however, identifies Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder. The appearance of “Las Vegas” in an address therefore should not be converted into a party designation.

This distinction is material when comparing the original papers against later public-facing federal or appellate party rosters.

IV. EXACT SPELLING, TITLE, AND CAPACITY IN THE SOURCE DOCUMENT

The source record uses specific spelling, punctuation, titles, and capacities. Among the formulations appearing in the filed County Recorder matter are “Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el ens legis Sui Juris” and, in the signature block, “Consul and Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el.”

Whatever legal effect a receiving court assigns to those descriptions, they are part of the source document. A later record using materially different spelling, capitalization, titles, or capacities should therefore be identified as a later formulation rather than silently presented as a verbatim reproduction of the original filing.

V. SEPARATE ALLY BANK / FEDERAL / NINTH CIRCUIT RECORD

The Ally Bank-related litigation and the subsequent federal proceedings are separate from Nevada Case No. A-22-849976-C. The preserved materials identify the related federal matter as District of Nevada No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA and the related appellate proceeding as Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183, a Petition for Writ of Mandamus.

The historical appellate record states that on August 22, 2022 the Petition for Writ of Mandamus was filed, the cause was docketed, appearances were entered, and the Real Parties in Interest were notified.

A later preserved appellate party roster displays Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and Princess Emilily Hotep El as Petitioners; the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas as Respondent; and Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as Real Parties in Interest. That later roster establishes what the later roster displayed. Standing alone, it does not establish that Petitioners themselves supplied those exact classifications in their original mandamus papers.

VI. CORRECTION CONCERNING LATER PARTY CLASSIFICATIONS

This Notice therefore does not state that Petitioners originally designated the United States District Court for the District of Nevada or “Las Vegas” as Respondent unless the original August 22, 2022 Petition for Writ of Mandamus itself establishes that designation.

Likewise, the later classification of Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as Real Parties in Interest must be traced to the underlying appellate filing or docket entry before that classification is attributed to Petitioners.

Petitioners maintain that the later party classifications do not accurately reproduce the capacities stated in their original papers. That position is preserved here as Petitioners’ position pending direct comparison with the original mandamus filing and the intervening docket entries.

VII. DISTINCT RECORDS SHALL NOT BE COLLAPSED INTO ONE CASE

Record	Parties / Classification Shown	Subject Matter / Procedural Role
A-22-849976-C	princess emilily hotep el v. Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder	Claim for removal of instruments from identified property / County Recorder record
A-21-843907-C	Ally Bank-related Nevada proceeding	Separate Ally Bank matter reflected in preserved Nevada records
2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA	Related federal District of Nevada matter	Federal proceeding; later remanded September 23, 2022
22-70183	Petitioners shown historically as Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and Princess Emilily Hotep El; later roster shows District of Nevada as Respondent and Ally Bank/ Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as Real Parties in Interest	Separate Ninth Circuit Petition for Writ of Mandamus filed August 22, 2022

VIII. DISTRICT OF NEVADA FILINGS 51 AND 52 AND LATER REMAND

The preserved District of Nevada docket material identifies Filings 51 and 52 as motions by Petitioners seeking to place motions back on the docket and not have them stricken, with responses due August 10, 2022. The material presently preserved does not display a response to Filings 51 and 52 before that deadline.

A later September 23, 2022 District Court order remanded the case and denied pending Filings 17, 51, 52, and 60 as moot. Accordingly, Filings 51 and 52 should not be described as never having received a later disposition; the September 23 order disposed of them as moot following remand rather than adjudicating their merits.

IX. SEPTEMBER 21, 2022 NINTH CIRCUIT "DOCKET ENTRY 2" REFERENCE

In September 2026, a Ninth Circuit operational email stated that the court would not accept further filings in Case No. 22-70183 "per the order filed on September 21, 2022 (Docket Entry 2)." The email did not attach or quote that order.

The presently preserved historical appellate capture, updated August 25, 2022, predates September 21, 2022. It therefore cannot establish what the docket contained on September 21. The referenced September 21 order should be treated as an asserted later docket item unless and until the underlying order itself is produced and compared with the preserved record.

X. PUBLIC-DISCOVERABILITY AND RECORD-CONTINUITY ISSUE

A September 2026 Ninth Circuit Opinions search using the names Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and Princess Emilily Hotep El returned no matching opinions. That search was an opinions search, not a complete appellate docket search, and therefore does not establish that Case No. 22-70183 or its docket never existed.

The preserved historical appellate capture nevertheless confirms that Case No. 22-70183 was docketed in August 2022. The difference between the preserved historical record and present public discoverability is therefore preserved as a record-continuity question, not as proof of motive or intentional concealment.

XI. NEVADA DOI RANSOMWARE INCIDENT AND HISTORICAL RECORD RELIABILITY

The House of Judah Nevada DOI cover-sheet/exhibit record concerning Nevada DOI Case/File No. 25-TA-66134 states that on August 24, 2025 the Nevada Division of Insurance and other state agencies were taken offline following a ransomware attack; it further states that certain DOI systems, including consumer complaint intake and communications, were disrupted and that data was moved outside the State's network while investigation continued.

That same House of Judah record states that the presenters anticipated that some documents might be deleted or unavailable because of the ransomware incident and therefore emphasized preservation of physical copies and independent archival records. This is the presenters' stated concern; the cited material does not itself establish that every historical DOI file was deleted or that Nevada has no authentic historical records.

The incident is nevertheless relevant to record continuity. Where a later electronic record conflicts with a contemporaneous file-stamped pleading, certified copy, original email, e-filing receipt, USPS record, or other independently preserved document, both versions and their dates should be retained and compared rather than silently rewriting the earlier document to conform to the later database.

Accordingly, differences in dates, captions, spellings, party capacities, missing documents, later-added classifications, or chronological sequences should be evaluated against the contemporaneous source documents. A ransomware-related outage or reconstruction risk can explain why independent backups matter, but it does not by itself establish the cause of any particular discrepancy.

XII. DOCUMENTARY QUESTIONS PRESERVED FOR THE RECORD

- When was the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas first classified as "Respondent" in Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183?
- What filing, docket entry, clerk action, or party submission produced that classification?
- Who supplied the information used to create or modify the later appellate party roster?
- When were Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP classified as "Real Parties in Interest"?
- Did those classifications reproduce the capacities stated in Petitioners' original mandamus papers, or were they subsequently entered or modified?
- Were any names, titles, capacities, respondents, or case descriptions drawn from or confused with a separate proceeding, including the County Recorder/property-instrument litigation?
- What is the complete text and provenance of the September 21, 2022 "Docket Entry 2" referenced in the September 2026 Ninth Circuit email?
- Where later electronic dates or descriptions differ from contemporaneous source documents, which record was created first and what intervening event explains the change?

XIII. RECORD-PRESERVATION FINDINGS AND LIMITS

For purposes of this archive, Nevada Case No. A-22-849976-C shall be identified according to its own original filing and subject matter; the Ally Bank Nevada proceeding shall remain separately identified; District of Nevada No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA shall be identified according to its federal record; and Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183 shall be identified according to its original mandamus papers and subsequent appellate docket history.

No later database description should be used to rewrite the contents of an earlier source document. Later classifications may be reported, but they should be labeled as later classifications unless the original filing itself establishes them.

This Notice documents observable differences and preserves Petitioners' stated positions. It does not, without corresponding source evidence, determine that any discrepancy resulted from fraud, fabrication, intentional alteration, a "fake proceeding," or an undisclosed administrative-law proceeding. Daniel J. Albregts served as a United States Magistrate Judge; that office is distinct from an Administrative Law Judge.

The House of Judah tribunal's own determinations are preserved as its determinations and are not represented in this Notice as orders authored, adopted, or enforced by the Nevada state courts, the United States District Court, or the Ninth Circuit unless a separate source document establishes such adoption.

XIV. CHRONOLOGY OF THE PRESERVED RECORD

Date	Preserved Event
April 28, 2022	County Recorder Complaint/Claim electronically filed in A-22-849976-C; caption identifies Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder as Respondent.
August 10, 2022	Responses shown due for District of Nevada Filings 51 and 52.
August 22, 2022	Ninth Circuit Petition for Writ of Mandamus filed in No. 22-70183; historical record states cause docketed, appearances entered, and Real Parties in Interest notified.
September 21, 2022	Date of the order/Docket Entry 2 referenced by a Ninth Circuit operational email in September 2026; underlying order not reproduced in that email.
September 23, 2022	District Court entered remand order and denied Filings 17, 51, 52, and 60 as moot.
August 24, 2025	Date identified in the House of Judah DOI exhibit record for the Nevada ransomware incident and resulting system disruption.
September 2026	Ninth Circuit communications and public-search comparisons renewed the record-continuity and party-classification questions.
September 29, 2026	Consolidated public-record notice prepared to distinguish the separate captions and preserve identified discrepancies.

XV. SOURCE RECORDS RELIED UPON

- Complaint/Claim, Nevada Case No. A-22-849976-C, electronically filed April 28, 2022 (44-page uploaded source; page 1 caption and pages 1-5 relevant to identity, respondent, property-instrument claim, and service).
- Historical Ninth Circuit Case No. 22-70183 capture showing the August 22, 2022 mandamus docketing and later-preserved party roster.
- District of Nevada docket materials for 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA, including Filings 51 and 52 and the September 23, 2022 remand/mootness disposition.

- September 2026 Ninth Circuit operational email referring to a September 21, 2022 order identified as Docket Entry 2.
- September 2026 Ninth Circuit Opinions search result.
- House of Judah / Nevada DOI cover-sheet and exhibit record concerning DOI Case/File No. 25-TA-66134 and the August 24, 2025 ransomware incident.

XVI. NOTICE OF PURPOSE

This document is intended as a consolidated public-record preservation notice. Its purpose is to keep separate proceedings separate, preserve the exact language of contemporaneous source documents, identify later classifications as later classifications, and provide a transparent chronology for comparison against subsequent electronic records.

Where a proposition is identified as Petitioners' or the House of Judah's position, it is preserved as that position rather than represented as an independent adjudication by a Nevada or federal court.

Respectfully preserved for the record,

Chief Ali

Chief Justice Ali
UCC 1-308

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

AMERICA AL MOROCCO · TURTLE ISLAND
CONSULAR COURT 13
HOUSE OF JUDAH
CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL

Electronically Filed
4/28/2022 2:34 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

COMP

Petitioner/Claimant - Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el ens legis Sui Juris
(Name)
7500 West lake mead blvd Ste C9 #309
(Mailing address)
Las Vegas NV Republic near [89128]
(City, state, zip code)
[661]412-2998
(Telephone number)
consulatecourtT13@gmail.com
(E-mail address)

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

princess emilily hotep el , Petitioner(s),	Case No.: A-22- 849976-C
	Dept. No.: 27
vs.	
Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder , Respondent(s).	Date of Hearing: June 16th, 2022
	Time of Hearing: 9:30am

COMPLAINT

CLAIM FOR REMOVAL OF INSTRUMENTS FROM PROPERTY Longitude 36.283029 Latitude -115.276186 125-21-311-121 LOT 325 MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST PPHT 98-6083693 NV BUSINESS LICENSE

Comes now sui juris, the Petitioner or Consular Officers Motion for princess emilily hotep el on behalf of the MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST for removal of INSTRUMENT NUMBER 20210527-0001754 & ALL OTHERS instruments from property Longitude 36.283029 Latitude -115.276186 125-21-311-121 LOT 325 8237 Fawn Brook Court MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST PPHT 98-XXXXXX NV BUSINESS LICENSE No. E1537992020-0 . NRS Sec. 108.2415 NRS Instruments of Public Utilities; Mortgages; Deeds of

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

Trust; Other Liens or Instruments, by appearance as beneficiary and by consul and through Trustee. This matter can be public record herein the Court located in Clark County as record.

I. PARTIES

1. MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST PPEHT beneficiary princess emilily hotep el. The beneficiary is not a resident nor a citizen of Clark County and such the like but an Al Moroccan Moor American. As such this Trust is registered with exempt status therein Nevada Secretary of State as public record.

2. Defendant or counter party seemingly not in opposition just doing their job is Debbie Conway an agent officer of and D.B.A. Clark County Recorder 500 S Grand Central Pkwy Suite 2nd Floor Box 551510 Las Vegas, NV Republic near [89106] (702)455-4336 eRecording@cscglobal.com.

3. The Property is held in Allodial Title as all other documents filed are unlawful. Returned all payments and checks thereto recording as well the Notice of Hearing for 06/16/2022 at 9:30am Department 27. Certified Court Order NRS 1.190[3]]. The Instrument 20210527-0001754 was filed May 25th, 2021 when it was by law to be done on July 22nd 2018 if there were truth to their filings. Several proof of service has been done on multiple parties included in the removing of instruments and have done so except the instrument no. 20210527-0001754.

4. All other banks minus one herein with the instrument recorded on May 25th, 2021 which is not relevant to my private and allodial indigenous property herein listed above as Longitude 36.283029 Latitude -115.276186 125-21-311-121 LOT 325 8237 Fawn Brook Court. The parties that filed the instrument no. No wet signatures no original contract has ever been produced. As stated by the County the Recording is certified in the Eighth Judicial Court Clark County as Public Record. No one has declared ownership other than Al Moroccan Moor American ;princess emilily hotep el paid in full. Tender of payment NRS 104.3603, UCC 3-603[b]. act of 1933 1934 HJR 192.... Filings made after June 28th, 2018 are fraudulent and misleading. NRS 1.190[3]]

5. Article VI clause 1. All debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the adoption of this Constitution shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation. This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

II. FACTS

6. **Petitioner in sui juris known as plaintiff in this matter is princess emilily hotep el ex relations monica rene padgett/hall** - is the owner as an aboriginal with allodial title to this property paid in full by tender of payment since March 24th, 2019. No one has challenged these facts. **NRS Sec. 108.2415** NRS Instruments of Public Utilities; Mortgages; Deeds of Trust; Other Liens or Instruments.

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

7. **4th Amendment ,** The Lien[s] Filed w/Secretary of State NV are Lawful and Valid Due to Newly Created Contract, The Following Nevada Revised Statutes Respondents Breached 207.200; NRS 207.200 Nev. Rev. Stat.104.9315 § 8 US CODE 1101(9) Article VI Paragraph 2 8. Evoked Herein! Claimant is the Secured Party by Tender Of Payment To Respondents 858. FRAUDULENT TRANSFER OR CONCEALMENT—18 U.S.C. § 152(7) 11 U.S. Code § 548 Fraudulent transfers and obligations 18 U.S. Code § 287

9. **NRS 108.2437 Discharge of notice of lien:** Recording by lien claimant; form; liability for failure to record. 1. As soon as practicable, but not later than 10 days after a notice of lien upon the property pursuant to NRS 108.221 to 108.246, inclusive, is fully satisfied or discharged, the lien claimant shall cause to be recorded a discharge or release of the notice of lien in substantially the following form: Assessor's Parcel Numbers DISCHARGE OR RELEASE OF NOTICE OF LIEN NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT: The undersigned did, on the 24th day of the month of March of the year 2019, recorded in the office of the county recorder of Clark County, Nevada, its Notice of Lien, or has otherwise given notice of his or her intention to hold a lien upon the following described property or improvements, owned or purportedly owned by MONICA RENE HALL ESTATE TRUST beneficiary princess emilily hotep el located in the County of Clark State of Nevada, to wit: (Legal Description or Address of the Property or Improvements) NOW, THEREFORE, for valuable consideration the undersigned does release, satisfy and discharge this notice of lien on the property or improvements described above by reason of this Notice of Lien. Cc: Exhibit registered allodial title for this parcel 125-21-311-121 lot 325.

10. Please Cc: exhibits filed in this court as the Tender of proof of payment in full with the Demand payoff letter[s] tax tendered in full for ten years, paid in full, and proof of service thereto all parties without any response nor opposition. Sec. 108.2415 Security Instruments of Public Utilities Mortgages with Certified Court Order of Removal. Tender of payment & Surety Bonds paying in full all debts. NRS 104.3603, UCC 3-603[b]. Clerk was notified of these Motions and Orders and returned all documents on March 30, 2022. Stating that clark county denies for reasons of not required by law to record. The instrument filed was filed in vain as to deceive outside parties for the debt was tendered in full according to the instrument filings demands.

11. **Nev. Rev. Stat. 104.9313** by way of the tender of payment the secured party of the assets and or Collateral is now the property of the claimant herein known as Envoy ;princess emilily hotep el therefore now NRS codes have been breached by claimant for the collateral is hers alone. As shown within their own contract they created a hidden trust to transfer title and debt.

12. Based upon the Contract[s] presented by the Respondents herein makes the liens filed by the Consul not the claimant and the State Department of MTTOI #13, upholds the States NRS codes for filing as it shows clearly within their own documents letters etc, Al the following Securities agreement No 201901030002362 and the second No. 201903110001520 have been released and removed.

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

13. The Claimant is the Secured party with the UCC 1 filings due to the tender of payment ownership of said property paid in full as to the Respondents harassing notions claiming a debt is still owed rather the State Dept[s] agencies and others have removed the Respondents as the lender and states paid in full with no credit history shown. Moreover there is no MONICA HALL ALL CAPS INCORPORATION this false, and where did the party[s] whom filed the instrument gain information as such? As to that the law states as its within the UCC filings 18 U.S.code 1341 sub sec 1342 is clear illegal usage of fictitious name etc,.. please visit www.mld.nv.gov for information about public records.

14. **8 US CODE 1101(9)** The term "consular officer" means any consular, diplomatic, or other officer or employee of the United States designated under regulations prescribed under authority contained in this chapter, for the purpose of issuing immigrant or nonimmigrant visas or, when used in subchapter III, for the purpose of adjudicating nationality.

III. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

15. Payment made in full tendered therefore all alleged security instruments must be released from said property preventing further or future crimes or identity theft and fraud.

Taxes on property - tendered for ten years as the beneficiary princess emilily hotep el has paid the taxes for the past four years or so.

IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

16. There is no compensatory damages at this time, as long as the instruments are removed there is not need for relief not limited to the Judgments and liens filed that shall be implemented as relief. Prayer for relief is for removal of all instruments that have been paid in full based upon the laws of this land as real money. There is no interest at the statutory rate as long as the instruments are removed. No general damages at this time. For such other and further relief as this court deems just and equitable. I declare under penalty of perjury un the law and equal thereto law as ens legis sui juris a creature of law at law that the foregoing is true. DATED this [28rst] day of [April], 20[22].

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Constitution and Treaties at the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Signature) ;princess emilily hotep el UCC1-308

Consular Court Tribunal #13

Consul and Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el

(Print name) ;princess emilily hotep el UCC1-308

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING & ELECTRONIC SERVICE eRecording@cscglobal.com

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on [COMPLAINT CLAIM FOR REMOVAL OF INSTRUMENTS FROM PROPERTY Longitude 36.283029 Latitude -115.276186 125-21-311-121 LOT 325.] on the 28th Day of April 2022 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER OR JUDGMENT in the United States Mail, with first-class postage prepaid, addressed to the following: NRCP 5(b), by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail in Las Vegas, Nevada, postage prepaid, to the address listed below *the mailing addresses of opposing parties' attorneys, or opposing parties.*

C/O Debbie Conway D.B.A.
County Recorder
500 S Grand Central Pkwy Suite 2 nd Floor
Box 551510
Las Vegas, NV Republic near [89106]
(702) 455-4336
eRecording@cscglobal.com

DATED this [28rst] day of [April], 20[22].

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Constitution and Treaties at the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Signature) ;princess emilily hotep el vccl-308

Consular Court Tribunal #13
Consul and Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el

(Print name) ;princess emilily hotep el vccl-308

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

IN THE TRIBUNAL CONSULAR
COURT #13

THE MOORISH NATIONAL REPUBLIC MOORISH DIVINE AND NATIONAL MOVEMENT OF THE WORLD

Prince Emilily - Hotep : El d/b/a PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL, *ens legis d/b/a MONICA RENE HALL/PADGETT Estate Trust* d/b/ a Moslem Theocratic Temple #13, Authorized Representative, Natural Person, All Rights Reserved: U.C.C. 1-207/ 1-308; U.C.C. 1-103 Not a Corporate Person or Entity, Misrepresented PRINCESS R H EL by Fraudulent Construct of ALL CAPITAL LETTERS Nevada Territory C/o 8237 Fawn Brook Ct Las Vegas Republic [89149] Non-Domestic Exempt Charitable Estate Trust Based out of 1 Expo Wanchai Hong Kong China EIN 33-1231226	§	Case No: DOCKET/20/192274
	§	18 USC 1341
	§	18 USC 1342
	§	18 USC 1343
	§	18 USC 1344
	§	18 USC 1345
	§	18 USC 1346
	§	18 USC 1347
	§	18 USC 1348
	§	18 USC 1349
	§	18 USC 1350
	§	18 USC 1351
	§	18 U.S. Code § 241 - Conspiracy
	§	U.C.C. Article 9
	§	Cease and Desist
Vs	§	Identity theft
	§	Article VI, Paragraph 2
UNKNWOWN CORPORATION ENTITY	§	Federal & CA State Constitution[s]
	§	Treaty of 1836/1787
	§	NRS 207.337[2019]
	§	2-206. Offer and Acceptance
TO BE PLACED IN THE MASTER FILE	§	12USCode 411 Issuance to F.R.B.
	§	18 USCode 8 Obligations or other
	§	Pecuniary Compensation
	§	NAC 360.175 and NRS233[b].135
	§	31 CFR § 1.32
	§	Treaty ART. 2 - 25
	§	NV Constitution Bill of rights…
	§	Minnesota Rule 220 Age of….
	§	Canon Law 3132, Sovereign Juris..
	§	Canon Law 3124 Nationality Juri..
	§	Fee Schedule Consular Court
	§	Color of Law 18 U.S. Code § 242

NOTICE TO SOLICITOR, THE ALLEGED TRUST AND ASSESSOR ASSIGNS NOT LIMITED TO PARENT CO. CEASE AND DESIST OF CLAIM[S], & LIENS, & OTHER FORMS OF ACTIONS OF 18 USC 1341-1351

Dear _____ Corporation Entity LLC Trust and Insurance Co.[s] This is a notice to cease and desist all attempt to mail, communicate, contact, create an ALL CAPS entity in my name. As for the spelling and attempts to contract by your mailings with misspelled names titles and all caps. It can be assumed that you are attempting to create a fictitious account using a similar name with my Temple Domicile and or Consulate location. Stop! As such was placed within your PRSRT STD US POSTAGE. I have no business with you, nor have I ever consented with you or gave you permission to mail email nor contact me in any way or form, you have obtained my private information or what looks lime my title and appellation illegally without my knowledge or consent.

If there has been any creation as to a contract by way of your mailings email or other communications, and other illegal ways it is now a canceled contract unilateral contract created by you now canceled. My title name appellation is my private property with a nine billion dollar lien on such titles names within my off shore Estate Trust. Cease and Desist from any and all forms of communications or you'll be subject to the Consulate COurt Tribunal actions of liens claims and such the like.

I have RTS aka returned to sender on multiple occasions rather your persist in sending mailings to my place of ministry, worship domicile and private property. We have heavily suggested that the United States Postal Service to refuse mail from you at this time at the local offices not far form the zip code therein. The spelling you placed in your prepaid STD mailing is not myself but appears to be my property.

I have no need for your alleged credit cards, loans, life insurance policies and such the like….. This was your warning….

§ 18 USC 1341 - Frauds and Swindles
§ 18 USC 1342 - Fictitious name or address
§ 18 USC 1343 - Fraud by wire or radio or television emails etc,…
§ 18 USC 1344 - Bank Fraud
§ 18 USC 1345 - Injunctions against frauds
§ 18 USC 1346 - Definitions of Schemes or artifice to defraud
§ 18 USC 1347 - Injunctions against frauds
§ 18 USC 1348 - Securities and Commodities fraud
§ 18 USC 1349 - Attempt and Conspiracy
§ 18 USC 1350 - Failure of Corporate officers to certify financial reports
§ 18 USC 1351 - Fraud in foreign labor contracting
§ 18 U.S. Code § 241 - Conspiracy
§ U.C.C. Article 9
§ Identity theft
§ Article VI, Paragraph 1,2,&3

8 US Code 1101 1-56 USC Title 22, Foreign Relations and Intercourse Chapter 11...

; princess [illegible] UCC1-308

IN THE TRIBUNAL CONSULAR
COURT #13

CERTIFICATE PROOF OF SERVICE VIA USPS REGISTERED CERTIFIED USPO MAIL AND ELECTRONIC SERVICE

PRIEST PRINCE RA HOTEP EST TRUST
Forwarding Address
8237 Fawn Brook Ct
Las Vegas Republic [89149]
Non-Domestic Exempt Charitable E Trust
1 Expo Wanchai Hong Kong China

Electronically Filed
4/21/2022 3:35 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

0054

Al Moroccan Moor American Envoy :prince ra el Consul
(NAME)
Al Moroccan Moor American Envoy ;princess emilily hotep el
(ADDRESS)
8237 Fawn Brook Ct
(CITY, STATE, ZIP)
Las Vegas NV Republic near [89149
(TELEPHONE)
[✔] Plaintiff [ ] Defendat, *Pro Se*

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

princess emilily hotep el

Plaintiff,

vs.

Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder

Defendant.

Case No.: A-22-849976-C
Dept. No.: 27

Hearing Date: June 16, 2022
Hearing Time: 9:30 AM
Hearing requested

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to NRCP 56, [✔] ~~Plaintiff~~ Sui Juris [ ] Defendant, MONICA RENEE HALL ESTATE TRUST , appearing *pro se*, submits this motion to demonstrate to the Court that there is no genuine issue of material fact in this case and that [✔] Plaintiff [ ] Defendant is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

This Motion is based upon and supported by the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the pleadings and papers on file, the affidavits and exhibits attached hereto, and any argument that the Court may allow at the time of hearing.

DATED this 21 day of April, 2022

Respectfully submitted by:

princess emilily hotep el UCC1-308
[✔] ~~Plaintiff~~ Sui Juris [ ] Defendant, *Pro Se*

///

///

II. STANDARD FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

NRCP 56(c) states in relevant part that "[t]he judgment sought shall be rendered forthwith if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." (Emphasis added.) *Schmidt v. Washoe County*, 159 P.3d 1099, 1103 (Nev. 2007) ("Summary judgment is only appropriate if the pleadings and other evidence on file, viewed in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party, demonstrate that no genuine issue of material fact remains in dispute and the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.").

III. ARGUMENT

In the present case, there is no genuine issue of material fact, as discussed below, and [✔] ~~Plaintiff~~ Sui Juris [ ] Defendant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law because:

;princess emilily hotep el tendered payment in full according to respondents pennymac leader one and others...

tender of payment NRS 104.3603, UCC 3-603[b]. act of 1933 1934 HJR 192....

Filings made after June 28th, 2018 are fraudulent and misleading. NRS 1.190[3]]

Article VI clause 1. All debts contracted and engagements entered into, before the adoption of this Constitution...

shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation.

This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made,

or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges

in every state shall be bound thereby, anything in the Constitution or laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the members of the several state legislatures,

and all executive and judicial officers, both of the United States and of the several states,

shall be bound by oath or affirmation, to support this Constitution;

but no religious test shall ever be required as a qualification to any office or public trust under the United States.

Discharge or release of notice and lien NRS 108.2437 Authenticated Demand Notice of Removal, NRS 10104.9513[3]][d]

31 USCode 822, 8 USCode 1101, NRS 205.520, NRS 104.9625[2]] NRS 225.085 bill of exchange act 112 ....

Statute at Large Ch 48 HJR 192-06/1933 NRS 205.520 proof of Sperintendant of Banks no record...


U.C.C. Article 9 609 Remedy due for liens and UCC Judgments against Respondent not responding.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, [✔] Plaintiff [ ] Defendant is entitled to judgment in his/her favor in the amount of $ N/A @ this moment.

DATED this 21 day of April, 2022.

Respectfully submitted by:




[✔] ~~Plaintiff~~ [ ] Defendant, *Pro Se*
Sui Juris

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 21 day of April, 2022 I placed a true and correct copy of the foregoing **MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT** in the United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, with first-class postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

Debbie Conway D.B.A. County Recorder
500 S. Grand Central Recorder
Box 55150 Las Vegas NV Republic
near [89155-1510]

Respectfully submitted by:



[✔] ~~Plaintiff~~ [ ] Defendant, *Pro Se*
Sui Juris

Electronically Filed
3/22/2022 7:45 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

NOTC

Petitioner/Claimant - Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el ens legis Sui Juris
(Name)

7500 West lake mead blvd Ste C9 #309
(Mailing address)

Las Vegas NV Republic near [89128]
(City, state, zip code)

[661]412-2998
(Telephone number)

consulatecourtT13@gmail.com
(E-mail address)

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

princess emilily hotep el , Petitioner(s),

vs.

Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder , Respondent(s).

Case No.: A-22- 849976-C

Dept. No.: 27

Date of Hearing:

Time of Hearing:

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER & OR JUDGMENT

INSTRUMENT NUMBER 20210527-0001754

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-entitled Court entered the following Order or Judgement Court Order Authenticated Demand of Removal of all financial statements UCC liens mortgage assignments and such the like from property 125-21-311-121 lot 325 Longitude 36.283029 Latitude -115.276186 8237 Fawn Brook Ct Las Vegas NV Republic of Al Morocco near [89149].

VI paragraph 1 All Debts contracted and Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation.As such the Petitioner Claimant has provided proof of Tender of Payment to this Court filed on March 18, 2022 at 3:20pm Therefore this property is free and clear from any alleged debts in the near future. Article Chapter 108 Statutory Liens NRS 108.2437 Discharge of notice of lien NRS 108.2437 Discharge of notice of lien: Recording by lien claimant; form; liability for failure to record. No Contract, by way of "Tender Of Payment" Nevada Revised Statutes NRS §18.080 Effect of Tender,..As to the

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

Constitutional & Treaty laws N.R.S. §104.9509 (1)(a) N.R.S. §104.9509[2] Article VI Clause 2. This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land; and the Judges in every State shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.N.R.S. §104.9509(3) N.R.S. §104.9513[3][d] N.R.S. §104.9513[4] N.R.S. §104.9625[2] N.R.S. §225.085 Bill of Exchange Act 112 Statute at Large Ch48[HJR192-06/1933 Act NRS 205.520 Issue of document of title for goods not received. [Effective through June 30, 2020.] Failure to show proof of loaned credit funds and or Money! 31 U.S.Code § 822 8 US Code 1101 1-56 USC Title 22, Foreign Relations and Intercourse Chapter 11… Cc: Consular Notification And Access Manuel NRS for Tender of Payment[s] UCC3-603[b].

On the 22nd day of March, 2022. A copy of the Court's Order & or Judgment is attached. DATED this day of , 20 . Per NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Constitution and Treaties at the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

princessemilyhotepel ucc1-308

(Signature)

Al Moroccan Moor American ;princess emilily hotep el

(Print Name princess emily hotep el

Other, Diplomat Petitioner Claimant Sui Juris

Respectfully Submitted



© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

COURT SEAL

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING & ELECTRONIC SERVICE

eRecording@cscglobal.com

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on [NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER & OR JUDGMENT To Be enforced.] on the 22nd Day of March 2022 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER OR JUDGMENT in the United States Mail, with first-class postage prepaid, addressed to the following: NRCP 5(b), by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail in Las Vegas, Nevada, postage prepaid, to the address listed below *the mailing addresses of opposing parties 'attorneys, or opposing parties*

March 24, 2022

C/O Debbie Conway D.B.A.
County Recorder
500 S Grand Central Pkwy Suite 2nd Floor
Box 551510
Las Vegas, NV Republic near [89106]
(702) 455-4336
eRecording@cscglobal.com

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA DISTRICT COURT OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COUNTY OF CLARK STATE OF NEVADA

CERTIFIED COPY
ELECTRONIC SEAL (NRS 1.190(3))

Per NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED this [22nd] day of [March], 20[22].

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the Constitution and Treaties at the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

(Signature) ~~Prince Ra El~~ UCC 1-308

Consular Court Tribunal #13
Consul and Diplomat ;prince ra el

(Print name) Prince Ra El

© 2014 Civil Law Self-Help Center

Electronically Filed
3/21/2022 1:48 PM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

Register's Certificate, No. 325 Acres 40.00

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

To all to whom These Presents shall Come, Greeting:

Whereas, Oliver Cromwell of County, Nevada has deposited with the **Register** of the State Land Office, at Carson City, the **State Treasurer's Receipt,** whereby it appears that full payment has been made by the said Oliver Cromwell according to the provisions of the Act of the Legislature, approved March 5th, 1875, entitled "An Act to provide for the selection and sale of lands that have been, or may hereafter be granted by the United States to the State of Nevada," for the South half of Lot number two in the South West fractional Quarter of Section Thirty one, in Township Sixteen North of Range Twenty East

Mount Diablo base and meridian, containing forty and four hundredths acres, according to the Official Plat of the survey of the public lands, as made by the **United States Surveyor General** for the District of Nevada which said tract has been purchased by the said Oliver Cromwell

Wherefore, Know Ye, That the State of Nevada, in consideration of the premises, and in conformity with the Act of the Legislature in such case made and provided, has given and granted, and by these presents does give and grant unto the said

Oliver Cromwell

and to His heirs, the said tract above described, **TO HAVE AND TO HOLD** the same, together with all rights, privileges, immunities and appurtenances of whatsoever nature thereunto belonging, unto the said Oliver Cromwell and to His heirs and assigns forever

In Testimony Whereof, I, L. R. Bradley, Governor of the State of Nevada, have caused these letters to be made Patent, and the Great Seal of the State to be hereunto affixed. Given under my hand, at Carson City, this the Twelfth day of October 1875

L. R. Bradley.

THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE OF NEVADA.

By the Governor:

J. D. Miner
Secretary of State.
By Charles Martin, Deputy.

Recorded October 12, 1875. John Kay
By Taylor Talbot Deputy
Land Register.

J. D. Miner
Secretary of State.
By Charles Martin
Deputy.

Assignee's Update of Patent (use to bring patent current)

RECORDING REQUESTED BY Consulate Court #13

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO Envoy)

princess emililly hotep el)

8237 Fawn Brook Ct)

Las Vegas NV Republic Al Morocco)

near [89149])

RECORDER'S USE

DECLARATION OF ASSIGNEES UPDATE OF PATENT

PATENT NUMBER 325

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: THAT princess emililly hotep el

AND prince ra hotep el DO SEVERALLY CERTIFY AND DECLARE THAT

_____(WE/I) BRING UP THIS LAND PATENT IN _____(OUR/MY) NAME(S).

(1) THE CHARACTER OF SAID PROPERTY SO SOUGHT TO BE PATENTED, AND LEGALLY DESCRIBED AND REFERENCED UNDER PATENT NUMBER LISTED ABOVE IS:

125-21-311-121 lot 325 Allodial title within Color of Title proof and evidence of ownership. near the jurisdiction of but outside without the United States of American [89149] as addressed thereof temple no #13 Domicile land above and below 500 feet 8237 Fawn Brook Ct, Las Vegas NV Republic near [89149] near longitude 36.1147 N, Latitude 115.1728 W.

(LEGAL DESCRIPTION)

(2) NOTICE OF PRE-EMPTIVE RIGHT. PURSUANT TO THE DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE [1776], THE TREATY OF PEACE WITH GREAT BRITAIN (8 STAT. 80) KNOWN AS THE TREATY OF PARIS [1793, AN ACT OF CONGRESS [3 STAT. 566, APRIL 24,1824], THE OREGON TREATY [9 STAT. 869 , JUNE 15, 1846], THE HOMESTEAD ACT [12 STAT. 392,1862] AND 43 USC SECTIONS 57, 59, AND 83; THE RECIPIENT HEREOF IS MANDATED BY ART. VI SECTIONS 1, 2, AND 3; ART. IV SECTIONS I CL. 1, &. 2; SECTION 2 CL. 1 8t 2 ; SECTION 4; THE 4TH, 7TH, 9TH, AND 1OTH AMENDMENTS [U.S. CONSTITUTION, 1781-91J TO ACKNOWLEDGE ASSIGNEE'S UPDATE OF PATENT PROSECUTED BY AUTHORITY OF ART. III SECTION 2 CL. 1 &2 AND ENFORCED BY ORIGINAL/EXCLUSIVE JURISDICTION THEREUNDER AND IT IS THE ONLY WAY A PERFECT TITLE CAN BE HAD IN OUR NAMES, WILCOX vs. JACKSON, 13 PET. (U.S.) 498, 101. ED. 264; ALL QUESTIONS OF FACT DECIDED BY THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE ARE BINDING EVERYWHERE. AND INJUNCTIONS AND MANDAMUS PROCEEDINGS WILL NOT LIE AGAINST IT, LITCHFIELD vs. THE REGISTER, 9 WALL. (U.S.) 575, 19 L. ED. 681. THIS DOCUMENT IS INSTRUCTED TO BE ATTACHED TO ALL DEEDS AND/OR CONVEYANCES IN THE NAMES) OF THE ABOVE PARTY(IES)

AS REQUIRING RECORDING OF THIS DOCUMENT, IN A MANNER KNOWN AS **NUNC PRO TUNC** [AS IT SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE IN THE BEGINNING], BY ORDER OF UNITED STATES SUPREME LAW MANDATE AS ENDORSED BY CASE HISTORY CITED.

(3) NOTICE AND EFFECT OF A LAND PATENT. A GRANT OF LAND IS A PUBLIC LAW STANDING ON THE STATUTE BOOKS OF THE Nevada Republic (YOUR STATE), AND IS NOTICE TO EVERY SUBSEQUENT PURCHASER UNDER ANY CONFLICTING SALE MADE AFTERWARD; WINEMAN vs. GASTRELL, 54 FED 819, 4 CCA 596, 2 US APP 581. A PATENT ALONE PASSES TITLE TO THE GRANTEE; WILCOX vs. JACKSON, 13 PET (U.S.) 498, 10. L. ED. 264. WHEN THE UNITED STATES HAS PARTED WITH TITLE BY A PATENT LEGALLY ISSUED, AND UPON SURVEYS LEGALLY MADE BY ITSELF AND APPROVED BY THE PROPER DEPARTMENT, THE TITLE SO GRANTED CANNOT BE IMPAIRED BY ANY SUBSEQUENT SURVEY MADE BY THE GOVERNMENT FOR ITS OWN PURPOSES; CAGE vs. DANKS, 13, LA.ANN. 128. IN THE CASE OF EJECTMENT, WHERE THE QUESTION IS WHO HAS THE LEGAL TITLE. TILE PATENT OF THE GOVERNMENT IS UNASSAILABLE. SANFORD vs. SANFORD, 139 US 642. THE TRANSFER OF LEGAL TITLE (PATENT) TO PUBLIC DOMAIN GIVES THE TRANSFEREE THE RIGHT TO POSSESS AND ENJOY THE LAND TRANSFERRED, GIBSON vs. CHOUTEAU, 80 US 92. A PATENT FOR LAND IS THE HIGHEST EVIDENCE OF TITLE AND IS CONCLUSIVE AS EVIDENCE AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT AND ALL CLAIMING UNDER JUNIOR PATENTS OR TITLES, UNITED STATES vs. STONE, 2 US 525. ESTOPPEL HAS BEEN MAINTAINED AS AGAINST A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION (COUNTY). BEADLE vs. SMYSER, 209 US 393. UNTIL IT ISSUES, THE FEE IS IN THE GOVERNMENT, WHICH BY THE PATENT PASSES TO THE GRANTEE, AND HE IS ENTITLED TO ENFORCE POSSESSION IN EJECTMENT, BAGNELL vs. BRODERICK, 13 PETER (US) 436. STATE STATUTES THAT GIVE LESSER AUTHORITATIVE OWNERSHIP OF TITLE THAN THE PATENT CAN NOT EVEN BE BROUGHT INTO FEDERAL COURT, LANGDON vs. SHERWOOD, 124 U.S. 74, 80. THE POWER OF CONGRESS TO DISPOSE OF ITS LAND CANNOT BE INTERED WITH, OR ITS EXERCISE EMBARRASSED BY ANY STATE LEGISLATION; NOR CAN SUCH LEGISLATION DEPRIVE THE GRANTEES OF THE UNITED STATES OF THE POSSESSION AND ENJOYMENT OF THE PROPERTY GRANTED BY REASON OF ANY DELAY IN THE TRANSFER OF THE TITLE AFTER THE INITIATION OF PROCEEDINGS FOR ITS ACQUISITION. [GIBSON vs. CHOUTEAU.13 WAL. (U.S.) 92, 93.

(4) LAND TITLE AND TRANSFER THE EXISTING SYSTEM OF LAND TRANSFER IS A LONG AND TEDIOUS PROCESS INVOLVING THE OBSERVANCE OF MANY FORMALITIES AND TECHNICALITIES, A FAILURE TO OBSERVE ANY ONE OF WHICH MAY DEFEAT THE TITLE. EVEN WHERE THESE HAVE BEEN MOST CAREFULLY COMPLIED WITH. AND WHERE THE TITLE HAS BEEN TRACED TO ITS SOURCE, THE PURCHASER MUST BE AT HIS PERIL, THERE ALWAYS BEING IN SPITE OF THE UTMOST CARE AND EXPENDITURE- THE POSSIBILITY THAT HIS TITLE MAY TURN OUT BAD: YEAKLE, TORRENCE SYSTEM. 209. PATENTS ARE ISSUED (AND THEORETICALLY PASSED) BETWEEN SOVEREIGNS LEADING FIGHTER vs COUNTY OF GREGORY, 230 N. W.2d 114, 116.

THE PATENT IS PRIMA FACIE CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE OF TITLE, MARSH vs BROOKS, 49 U.S. 223,233.

AN ESTATE IN INHERITANCE WITHOUT CONDITION. BELONGING TO THE OWNER AND ALIENABLE BY HIM, TRANSMISSIBLE TO HIS HEIRS ABSOLUTELY AND SIMPLY, IS AN ABSOLUTE ESTATE IN PERPETUITY AND THE LARGEST POSSIBLE ESTATE A MAN CAN HAVE. BEING IN FACT ALLODIAL IN ITS NATURE, STANTON vs SULLIVAN, 63 R.I. 216 7 A. 696. THE ORIGINAL MEANING OF A PERPETUITY IS AN INALIENABLE, INDESTRUCTIBLE INTEREST. BOUVIER'S LAW DICTIONARY, VOLUME III P. 2570, (1914).

IF THIS LAND PATENT IS NOT CHALLENGED, AS STATED ABOVE, WITHIN 60 DAYS IT THEN BECOMES OUR/MY PROPERTY, AS NO ONE ELSE HAS FOLLOWED THE PROPER STEPS TO GET LEGAL TITLE, THE FINAL CERTIFICATE OR RECEIPT ACKNOWLEDGING THE PAYMENT IN FULL BY A HOMESTEADER OR PREEMPTOR IS NOT LEGAL EFFECT A CONVEYANCE OF LAND. U.S. vs STEENERSON. 50 FED 504,1 CCA 552,4 U.S. APP. 332.

A LAND PATENT IS A CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE THAT THE PATENT HAS COMPLIED WITH THE ACT OF CONGRESS AS CONCERNS IMPROVEMENTS ON THE LAND, ETC JANKINS vs GIBSON, 3 LA ANN 203.

(5) LAW ON RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES, AND IMMUNITIES; TRANSFER BY PATENTEE ....."TITLE AND RIGHTS OF BONA FIDE PURCHASER FROM PATENTEE.....WILL BE PROTECTED". UNITED STATES vs DEBELL, 227 F 760 (C8 SD 1915), UNITED STATES vs. BEAMON, 242 F 876, (CA8 COLO. 1917): STATE vs HEWITT LAND CO., 74 WASH 573, 134 P 474. FROM 43 USC & 15 n 44. AS AN ASSIGNEE, WHETHER HE BE THE FIRST, SECOND OR THIRD PARTY TO WHOM TITLE IS CONVEYED SHALL LOSE NONE OF THE ORIGINAL RIGHTS, PRIVILEGES OR IMMUNITIES OF THE ORIGINAL GRANTEE OF LAND PATENT. "NO STATE SHALL IMPAIR THE OBLIGATIONS OF CONTRACTS". UNTIED STATES CONSTITUTION ARTICLE I SECTION 10.

(6) EQUAL RIGHTS: PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES ARE FURTHER PROTECTED UNDER THE I4TH AMENDMENT TO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, " NO STATE.... SHALL DENY TO ANY PERSON WITHIN ITS JURISDICTION THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS".

IN CASES OF EJECTMENT, WHERE THE QUESTION IS WHO HAS THE LEGAL TITLE THE PATENT OF THE GOVERNMENT IS UNASSAILABLE. SANFORD vs. SANFORD, 139 U.S. 642, 35 L ED 290 IN FEDERAL COURTS THE PATENT IS HELD TO BE THE FOUNDATION OF TITLE AT LAW. FENN vs. HOLMES, 21 HOWARD 481.

IMMUNITY FROM COLLATERAL ATTACK: COLLINS vs. BARTLETT, 44 CAL 371; WEBER vs. PERE MARQUETTE BOOM CO.,62 MICH 626, 30 N. W. 469; SURGET vs. DOE, 24 MISS 118; PITTSMONT COPPER CO. vs. VANINA, 71 MONT. 44, 227 PAC 45; GREEN vs. BARKER 47 NEB 934 66 NW 1032

(7) DISCLAIMER; ASSIGNEE'S SEIZEN IN DEED, AND LAWFUL ENTRY IS INCLUSIVE OF SPECIFICALLY THAT CERTAIN LEGALLY DESCRIBED PORTION OF THE ORIGINAL LAND GRANT OR PATENT NO 128-21-311-127-325 Trust AND NOT THE WHOLE THEREOF, INCLUDING HEREDITAMENT, TEMEMENTS, PRE-EMPTION RIGHTS APPURTENANT THERETO. THE RECORDING OF THIS INSTRUMENT SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO DENY OR INFRINGE UPON ANY OTHERS RIGHT TO CLAIM THE REMAINING PORTION THEREOF. ANY CHALLENGES TO THE VALIDITY OF THIS DECLARATION & NOTICE ARE SUBJECT TO THE LIMITATIONS REFERENCED HEREIN. ADDITIONALLY; A COMMON COURTESY OF SIXTY (60) DAYS IS STIPULATED FOR ANY CHALLENGES HEERETO. OTHERWISE. LACHES/ESTOPPEL SHALL FOREVER BAR THE SAME AGAINST ALLODIAL FREEHOLD ESTATE; ASSESSMENT LIEN THEORY TO THE CONTRARY (ORS 275.130), INCLUDED.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE ATTACHED TO THIS DECLARATION, CERTIFIED COPY OF ORIGINAL LAND GRANT OR PATENT, DECLARATION OF HOMESTEAD (STRIKE OUT IF NOT APPLICABLE), LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PORTION OF SAID GRANTOR PATENT.

X ; Princes Envoy notice of UCC 1-308

X ; Princes Envoy UCC 1-308

ASSIGNEE(S)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of Nevada Republic)

(Al Morocco) ss.

County of Clark)

On 08/20/2021 before me, Jonathan Escala personally appeared Prince En Bey Bey II and Princes El personally known to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in his

authorized capacity, and that by his signature on the instrument the person or the entity upon behalf of which the person acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my hand and official seal _____

Signature of Notary

When Recorded, Return To:

Envoy ;prince ra hotep el

7500 W. Lake Mead Blvd Ste C9 #309

Las Vegas NV Republic near [89149]

JONATHAN ESCAPA
Notary Public - State of Nevada
County of Clark
APPT. NO. 14-14771-1
My App. Expires Sept. 17, 2022

Request Letter For Certified Copy of Original Land Patent (get certified copy!)

REQUEST FOR CERTIFIED COPY OF ORIGINAL LAND PATENT

John-Frank: Smith
c/o (Street address etc.) Your City, near [ZIP CODE] Washington state

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
(SEE ADDRESSES ON ONE OF THE FOLLOWING PAGES)

DATE:

DEAR SIR,

PLEASE SEND ME A CERTIFIED COPY OF THE ORIGINAL LAND PATENT COVERING THE BELOW DESCRIBED LAND.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: Parcel 125-21-311-121 lot 325

STATE: Nevada Republic

COUNTY: America \ Al Morocco

BASE/MERIDIAN :

TOWNSHIP:

RANGE:

SECTION :

ENCLOSED IS A US POSTAL MONEY ORDER FOR $20 TO COVER EXPENSES.

THANK YOU,

(John-Frank: Smith)

enclosure: $20.00 Postal Money Order

Tax Bill Refusal Letter

DATE:

[John-Frank: Smith]
c/o [Street Address]
Your City; near [ZIP CODE]
Washington state

COUNTY CLERK COUNTY COURT HOUSE [COUNTY SEAT, STATE]

DEAR [NAME OR TITLE]

ON [DATE OF RECORDING], I RECORDED A DECLARATION OF LAND PATENT WITH THE RECORDER'S OFFICE AS INSTRUMENT # 7019014000099/9981 SEE ENCLOSED COPY.

RECENTLY, I RECEIVED A TAX BILL FOR THE AMOUNT OF $ 772.56 . SEE ENCLOSED COPY. THIS MUST BE A MISTAKE. MY DECLARATION OF LAND PATENT IS SUPERIOR TITLE TO THAT HELD BY THE STATE.

> "THAT THE PATENT CARRIES THE FEE AND IS THE BEST TITLE KNOW TO A COURT OF LAW IS THE SETTLED DOCTRINE OF THE COURT."
> **MARSHALL vs. LADD. 74 U.S. 106.**
>
> "A PATENT IS THE HIGHEST EVIDENCE OF TITLE, AND IS CONCLUSIVE, AGAINST THE GOVERNMENT AND ALL CLAIMING UNDER JUNIOR TITLE, UNTIL IT IS SET ASIDE OR ANNULLED BY SOME JUDICIAL TRIBUNAL." **STONE vs. US.; 67 US. 765.**
>
> "ISSUANCE OF A GOVERNMENT PATENT GRANTING TITLE TO LAND IS 'THE MOST ACCREDITED TYPE OF CONVEYANCE KNOWN TO OUR LAW'"
> **US. vs. CREEK NATION. 295 US. 103.111.**
> **US. vs. CHEROKEE NATION 474 F.2d 628. 634.**

LAND CANNOT BE TAXED IF A LAND PATENT IS CURRENT. I AM NOT A TENANT. I HEREBY REVOKE YOUR POWER OF ATTORNEY AND WITHDRAW MY CONSENT FOR YOU TO TAX ME BASED UPON THE VALUE OF MY LAND. PLEASE LOOK INTO THIS MATTER IMMEDIATELY.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED WITHOUT PREJUDICE UCC 1--207

SINCERELY,

(John-Frank: Smith)

Short Form Declaration of Land Patent

[This form is for the future, when we have county officials trained to no contest and for attaching to other documents.]

PREPARED BY, AND
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:
YOUR NAME
ADDRESS
CITY, STATE ZIP

DECLARATION OF LAND PATENT

UNITED STATES LAND PATENT NUMBER 325 ISSUED ON THE 12th DAY OF October 1875 BY Ulysses S. Grant, PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS:

THAT WE, princess-Envoy UCC1-308 AND, princess-Royal-envoy DO JOINTLY CERTIFY AND DECLARE THAT WE BRING UP THIS LAND PATENT IN OUR NAME. PROPERTY SO SOUGHT TO BE PATENTED, AND LEGALLY DESCRIBED AND REFERENCED UNDER PATENT NUMBER 325 page 364 LISTED ABOVE IS: 125-21-311-125 COUNTY RECORDS BEING APART OF THE SECTION _____, TOWNSHIP N/A, RANGE N/A, MERIDIAN Al-Morocco COUNTY Clark.

NO CLAIM IS MADE HEREIN THAT CLAIMANT HAS BEEN ASSIGNED THE ENTIRE TRACT OF LAND DESCRIBED IN THE ORIGINAL PATENT. THIS ASSIGNMENT IS INCLUSIVE ONLY OF THE ABOVE LEGAL DESCRIPTION. THE FILING OF THIS DECLARATION OF LAND PATENT SHALL NOT DENY OR INFRINGE ON ANY RIGHT PRIVILEGE OR IMMUNITY OF ANY OTHER ASSIGNEE TO ANY OTHER PORTION OF LAND COVERED IN THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LAND PATENT NUMBER

WE moorish a/ moroccan royals, AND consulate Court of Al Moracco DO SWEAR AND STATE THAT THE ABOVE IS TRUE OR IS BELIEVED, BY US TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITY AND KNOWLEDGE.

X princess family hotpel-envoy UCC1-308, CLAIMANT

X Prince Ra hotpel-Envoy UCC1-308, CLAIMANT

STATE OF Nevada, COUNTY OF Clark,

ON 8/2/1 2024, BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED, A NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE, PERSONALLY APPEARED Prince Ra hotpel, AND Princess emily hotpel KNOWN BY ME TO BE THE INDIVIDUALS WHOSE NAMES ARE SUBSCRIBED TO THE WITHIN INSTRUMENT, AND ACKNOWLEDGED TO THAT THEY EXECUTED THE SAME.

WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL

JONATHAN ESCAPA
Notary Public - State of Nevada
County of Clark
APPT. NO. 14-14771-1
My App. Expires Sept. 17, 2022

NOTARY PUBLIC IN & FOR SAID STATE

Declaration Of Homestead

1. I/We moorish a/ moroccan princess family hotpel-envoy UCC1-308 UCC1-308, Do Hereby Declare:

2. That My/Our Mailing Address For My/Our Homestead is:

Domicile
8237 Fawn Brook CT
Las Vegas NV republic of al morocco
near [89149]

3. I/We Am/Are Now Residing On The Land And Premises Located In The City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada republic of al morocco Known And Legally Described As Follows:

4. I/We Hereby Declare And Claim Said Premises As A Homestead.

5. No Further Declaration Of Homestead Has Been Made By Me/Us Except As Has Be Abandoned.

Date: August 20th 2021 X princess emily hotepel - Envoy UCC 1-308

X Prince Ra hotepel - Envoy UCC 1-308

State of Nevada)
) ss.
County of Clark)

I/We, Prince Ra hotepel, princess emily hotepel, Being Duly Sworn On Oath, Deposes And Says: That As Signer To This Declaration Of Homestead, All Statements Made Herein Are True And Correct, To The Best Of My/Our Knowledge And Belief.

Subscribed And Sworn To Before Me,
This 20th Day Of August 2021

JONATHAN ESCAPA
Notary Public - State of Nevada
County of Clark
APPT. NO. 14-14771-1
My App. Expires Sept. 17, 2022

Notary Public

My Commission Expires 09-17-2022

NOTICE TO THE COURT: Tax Bill Counter Demand

Date: August 20th, 2021

Name of entity you are counter-demanding
Address
City, state, zip

RE: NOTICE TO THE COURTS AND ALL EMPLOYEES OF THE STATE OF (STATE) AND ANY OF ITS COUNTIES AND ANY OF ITS CITIES:

COUNTER-DEMAND

DEAR SIR:

YOU ARE HEREBY INSTRUCTED BY THIS CITIZEN TO PROVIDE ME WITH THE FOLLOWING REQUIRED INFORMATION PURSUANT TO UCC 3-505.4 AND RETURN IT TO ME, IN THE ENCLOSED STAMPED ENVELOPE.

(A) EXHIBITION OF THE INSTRUMENT THAT CREATED THE LIABILITY.
(B) REASONABLE IDENTIFICATION OF THE PERSON MAILING PRESENTMENT AND EVIDENCE OF HIS AUTHORITY TO MAKE IT. IF MADE FOR ANOTHER; AND,

(C) THAT THE INSTRUMENT BE PRODUCED FOR ACCEPTANCE OR PAYMENT AT A PLACE SPECIFIED IN IT, OR IF THERE BE NONE, AT ANY PLACE REASONABLE IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES; AND,
(D) A SIGNED RECEIPT OF THE INSTRUMENT FOR ANY PARTIAL OR FULL PAYMENT AND ITS SURRENDER ON FULL PAYMENT.

FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ANY SUCH REQUIREMENT INVALIDATES THE PRESENTMENT. THE PERSON PRESENTING HAS A REASONABLE TIME IN WHICH TO COMPLY AND THE TIME FOR ACCEPTANCE OR PAYMENT RUNS FROM THE TIME OF COMPLIANCE.

UCC 3-505.5

THE PRESENTER OR HIS AUTHORIZED AGENT, MAY TREAT THE PRESENTMENT AS DISHONORED IF THE PERSON TO WHOM THE PRESENTMENT IS MADE [YOUR NAME] MAKES COUNTER-DEMANDS WHICH ARE NOT AUTHORIZED BY UCC 3-505.4 OR PLACES UNREASONABLE CONDITIONS ON DEMANDS AUTHORIZED BY THIS SECTION.

IF THE COUNTER DEMANDS [BY YOUR NAME] ARE PROPER, THE PRESENTER MUST COMPLY WITH THEM, AND THE CODE GIVES A REASONABLE TIME IN WHICH TO RESPOND. CORRESPONDINGLY; UNTIL THERE IS SUCH COMPLIANCE, THERE IS NO FURTHER DUTY UPON THE OTHER PERSON TO WHOM PRESENTMENT IS MADE [YOUR NAME] AND THE TIME FOR ACCEPTANCE OR PAYMENT RUNS FROM THE TIME OF COMPLIANCE.

YOU HAVE (10) CALENDAR DAYS FROM RECEIPT OF THIS COUNTER-DEMAND IN WHICH TO RESPOND TO THIS COUNTER DEMAND. OTHERWISE I WILL CONSIDER THE MATTER CLOSED AND THE PRESENTMENT VOID AB INITIO. IF YOU DO NOT ANSWER THIS COUNTER DEMAND THEN YOU HAVE CONCURRED WITH MY DECLARATIONS AND TESTIMONY IN THIS MATTER. THIS COUNTER DEMAND SHALL BE ENTERED INTO THE OFFICIAL RECORD OF ANY AND ALL PROCEEDINGS ARISING OUT OF THIS MATTER, AND SHALL BE PRESENTED AS EVIDENCE IN A COURT OF LAW.

THANK YOU,
princess emily hoseyel-envoy
Your Name ([redacted])
c/o Address 8237 Fawn Brook Ct
City; near [ZIP] Las Vegas NV republic [89149]
[redacted] state NV

Indenture in the form of a Grant Deed

When Recorded, return to:
princess emily el
8237 Fawn Brook Ct
Las Vegas NV republic [89149]

Addresses of Bureau Of Land Management Offices

The address listed below are where you can get a certified copy of the original land patent for you legal description. It usually costs about a buck twenty five but I just sent twenty dollars and they returned the change. Be sure you ask for a certified copy as that is the only thing courts will accept as evidence of patent.

All Eastern States,All land patents are located here but it will take longer.U.S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLM Eastern States Office 7450 Boston Blvd. Springfield, Virginia 22153 (703) 440-1605

Washington & OregonU.S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLM 825 Multnomah St. Box 2965 Portland, Oregon 97208 **Idaho**U. S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLM Federal Bldg. 550 West Fort St.Boise, Idaho

CaliforniaU.S. Dept. Of The Interior; BLM Federal Office Bldg. Room E-28412800 Cottage Way Sacramento, California 95825 **Montana, N. Dakota, S. Dakota**U.S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLMGranite Tower222 N. 32nd St. Box 30157 Billings, Montana 59107

ArizonaU. S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLMBox 165633707 N. 7th. St.Phoenix, Arizona 85011

Wyoming, NebraskaU. S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLMBox 18282515 Warren Ave Cheyenne, Wyoming 82003

UtahU. S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLMUniversity Club Bldg. 136 East South Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 **New Mexico, Oklahoma**U. S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLMJoseph Montoya Fed. Bldg. South Federal PlaceP.O. Box 1449Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

NevadaU. S. Dept. Of The Interior, BLMFederal Bldg. Rm. 3008 300 Booth St. Box 12000 Reno, Nevada 89520 **Alaska**U.S. Dept. of The Interior, BLM Anchorage Federal Office Bldg. 701 "C" St. Box 13 Anchorage, Alaska 99513

RE: Link to the Ralph Winterowd website.
Below is the link that works:

http://www.jusbelli.com/Frameset.html



1864 Nevada Constitution **(as ratified without subsequent amendments), ARTICLE I. –** BILL OF RIGHTS. - SECTION I. - Par. XIX:

"The civil authority shall be superior to the military."

Notice of Special Restricted Appearance

ENVOY PRINCESS EMILILY - HOTEP : EL Living Beneficiary d/b/a MONICA R. HALL®, Estate

---

	§	
Princess Emiliy - Hotep : El	§	
d/b/a PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL. *ens legis*	§	Case Number: OTH / 21 / 581187
d/b/a MONICA R. HALL. *ens legis*	§	
d/b/a MONICA RENE. PADGETT. *ens legis*	§	
	§	NOTICE OF MOTION AND
vs.	§	MOTION TO INTERVENE
	§	WITH AN INJUNCTION
STATE OF NEVADA	§	
PUBLIC OFFICIALS.	§	
	§	
STEVE SISOLAK d/b/a GOVERNOR	§	
ARRON D FORD d/b/a	§	
ATTORNEY GENERAL	§	
JOE LAMBARDO d/b/a	§	
CLARK COUNTY SHERIFF	§	
Chief Justice at Supreme Court d/b/a	§	
CHIEF JUSTICE	§	
Catherine Byrne CPA d/b/a COMPTROLLER	§	
	§	

NOTICE OF ESTOPPEL AND STIPULATION OF CONSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGE TO ALL NEVADA STATE STATUTES WHERE no general law affecting private rights, shall be varied in any particular case, by special legislation, except with the free consent in writing of all persons to be affected thereby; AND MOTION TO INTERVENE WITH AN INJUNCTION FOR THE NAME Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el d/b/a PRINCESS EMIILILY EL, MONICA.R. HALL, &

MONICA.RENE.PADGETT. NOTICE THAT the registered agent for PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL & MONICA R. HALL or MONICA RENE PADGETT ESTATE TRUST is the Your NEVADA Secretary of State for the STATE OF NEW YORK STATE upon whom a Notice of Claim against the public corporation may be served.

BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary, on this 5th day of July, 2021, personally appeared Diplomat ;princess emilily - hotep: el, known to me to be a credible individual and of lawful age, who being by me first duly sworn on her oath, deposes and says:

TAKE JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT pursuant to theTHE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1864 Nevada Constitution (as ratified without subsequent amendments), ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION IV.

Paragraph I. "Laws of a general shall have uniform operation throughout the State, and no special law shall be enacted in any case for which provision has been made by an existing general law. No general law affecting private rights, shall be varied in any particular case, by special legislation, except with the free consent, in writing of all persons to be affected thereby; and no person under legal disability to contract is capable of such consent."

Par. II. "Legislative acts in violation of this Constitution, or the Constitution of the United States, are void, and the Judiciary shall so declare them."

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT all state and federal statutes are special laws brought forth under a commercial admiralty jurisdiction.

> 27 Code of Federal Regulations (C.F.R.) § 72.11 - Meaning of Terms
> Commercial Crimes
> *"Any of the following types of crimes (Federal or State): Offenses against the revenue laws: burglary: counterfeiting: forgery: kidnapping: larceny: robbery: illegal sale or possession of deadly weapons: prostitution (including soliciting, procuring, pandering, white slaving, keeping house of ill fame, and like offenses): extortion; swindling and confidence games: and attempting to commit, conspiring to commit, or compounding any of the foregoing crimes. Addiction to narcotic drugs and use of marihuana will be treated as if such were commercial crime."*

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT I, a manifestation human – Princess Emilily :Hotep - El, first lien holder of the 14th amendment person, PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL dba PRINCESS EM EL & MONICA R. HALL ESTATE TRUST, & not limited to MONICA RENE PADGETT did not consent for my body or my private property to be pledged as collateral for said 14th amendment citizen of the U.S. or of the STATE OF NEVADA or of any other state in writing or in any other legally binding form of consent.

Since contracts like the birth certificate were constructed AND since a Social Security number was issued in the above-mentioned names at the time when I was a baby, then I would have been under legal disability to contract and incapable of entering into such a contract as the 1864 Nevada Constitution clearly affirms.

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT I, Diplomat ;princess emilily- hotep: el, reserve my right to sue you and all your agencies and contractors for using my name, PRINCESS EMILILY EL & MONICA R. HALL ESTATE TRUST ens legis, or my private property.

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT the material facts giving rise to the constitutional question are as follows:

1. Unalienable rights protected by the 1864 NEVADA Constitution (as ratified without subsequent amendments) and the 1864 BILL OF RIGHTS such as the right of travel have been violated in the past.

2. *Unalienable rights* were violated in the past;
1864 Nevada Constitution (as ratified without subsequent amendments)

SECTION I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - Par. XVI

> *"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated; and no warrant shall issue except upon probable cause, supported by oath, or affirmation, particularly describing the place, or places, to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."*

3. *Unalienable rights* were violated in the past;
1864 Nevada Constitution (as ratified without subsequent amendments)

SECTION I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - Par. XXI

> *"There shall be no imprisonment for debt."*

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT I DEMAND the name, PRINCESS EMILILY EL & MONICA R. HALL, or MONICA RENE PADGETT be added to the DO NOT STOP / DO NOT DETAIN LIST for Your State and all the other states as well. While I explicitly reserve all of my rights protected by the above-said Nevada Constitution and waive no part of any of those rights, you shall abide by your oaths to it.

I also have recorded a lien upon said names which are my property, and there shall be a charge as prescribed in the attached fee schedule or as otherwise prescribed upon invoice, and the claim shall come against you, your agency(ies), and/or your contractors in which this Motion to Intervene with an Injunction shall become a contract.

AND TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT THIS IS A CONTRACT. Failure to respond or to rebut this affidavit within ten **(10)** days shall constitute your acceptance and acquiescence. This contract is law.

FURTHER CONSTITUTIONAL REFERENCES

1. PURSUANT TO the 1864 Nevada Constitution - ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION I. - Par. I.

   "All government, of right, originates with the people, is founded upon their will only, and is instituted solely for the good of the whole. Public officers are the trustees and servants of the people, and, at all times, amenable to them."

2. PURSUANT TO the 1864 Nevada Constitution - ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION I. - Par. X.

"No person shall be deprived of the right to prosecute or defend his own cause in any of the Courts of this State, in person, by attorney, or both."

3. PURSUANT TO the 1864 Nevada Constitution - ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION I. - Par. XIII.

"Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted; nor shall any person be abused in being arrested, while under arrest, or in prison."

4. PURSUANT TO the 1864 Nevada Constitution - ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION I. - Par. XII.

"The writ of HABEAS CORPUS shall not be suspended."

5. PURSUANT TO the 1864 Nevada Constitution - ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION I. - Par. XXI.

"No conviction shall work corruption of blood or forfeiture of estate."

6. PURSUANT TO the 1864 Nevada Constitution - ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION 1. - Par. XVI.

"No bill of attainder, ex post facto law, retroactive law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts, or making irrevocable grants of special privileges or immunities, shall be passed."

7. PURSUANT TO the 1647 Nevada Constitution - ARTICLE I. - BILL OF RIGHTS - SECTION V. - Par. I.

"The people of this State have the inherent, sole and exclusive right of regulating their internal government, and the police thereof, and of altering and abolishing their Constitution whenever it may be necessary to their safety and happiness."

AND TAKE FINAL NOTICE THAT any action to the contrary upon your oaths would be a violation of O.C.G.A. § 16-10-1 that you swore to uphold within admiralty jurisdiction.

Further affiant sayeth not!

Respectfully submitted on this 5th **day of** July, **2021.**

Without prejudice.

UCC § 1-103.6. UCC 1-308. UCC 1-301. UCC 1-107

princess emilily hotepel UCC1-308

Princess Emilily - Hotep : El, Beneficiary d/b/a

PRINCESS EM EL

MONICA R. HALL ESTATE TRUST

c/o P.O. Box 7500 West Lake Mead Blvd

Las Vegas /, Nevada Republic, nearby [89128]

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The use of a notary below is for identification only and not for entrance into any foreign jurisdiction.

STATE OF NEVADA)

) ss.

COUNTY OF CLARK)


On this 5th day of July, 2021, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for Nevada, personally appeared the above-signed, known to me to be the one whose name is signed on this instrument, and has acknowledged to me that he/she has executed the same.

Signed: _____

Notary name: Jonathan Escoto

My commission expires: 09/17/2022

(Seal)



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Diplomat ;princess emilily -hotep: el, "envoy" living manifestation Man/Woman and Executor for PRINCESS EMILILY EL & MONICA R HALL, certify that I have this day served the COUNTY OF YOUR COUNTY JUDGES, SOLICITORS, and SUCCESSORS with this Notice of estoppel and stipulation of Constitutional Challenge to ALL YOUR STATE, STATE STATUTES, etc. by way of U.S.P.S. registered mail and/or by hand delivery to the filing clerk to ensure delivery.

Dated this 5th day of JULY Month, 2021.

Registered Mail Number: RR 9407803699306012841 US

Respectfully submitted without prejudice and with all rights reserved.
UCC § 1-103.6, UCC 1-308, UCC 1-301, UCC 1-207.

princess emilily hotep el UCC 1-308

Princess Emilily - Hotep : El, Beneficiary d/b/a

PRINCESS EM EL

MONICA R. HALL ESTATE TRUST

c/o P.O. Box 7500 West Lake Mead Blvd

Las Vegas /, Nevada Republic, nearby [89128]]

State of Nevada
County of Clark
Affirmed and signed on 7-5-21
by princess emilily hotep el

Jonathan Escamilla



Other Document Registration OTH / 21 / 581187

Common Law Court <noreply@commonlawcourt.com> Sun, Jul 4, 2021 at 7:(
To: washitawmuurselbey@gmail.com

Thank you for submitting your document to the Common Law Court, this document has been added to our records successfully and will be added to our search facility soon.

Reference number: OTH / 21 / 581187

Below is a copy of the data you submitted:

Full Name

princess emilily hotep el

Date Obtained

30th March 1968

Type of Document Submitted

Notice of Special Restricted Appearance Motion to Intervene with Injunction

Document Upload (jpg or pdf)


- Princess-Emilily-Hotep-El-CO-MONICA-R -HALL-MONICA-RENE-RADGETT-ESTATE-TRUST-Injunction.pdf

Email Address


washitawmuurselbey@gmail.com

If you have any issues or queries please do not hesitate to contact us.

Common Law Court



State of Nevada
County of Clark
appeared and signed
7-5-21 by Princess
emilily hotep el
Jonathan Escoba



Second Floor

FILED

SEPTEMBER 29, 2026

9:35am

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

NOTICE OF JUXTAPOSITION OF DISTINCT CASES, PARTY DESIGNATIONS, AND RECORD DISCREPANCIES

Related Records: A-22-849976-C • A-21-843907-C • 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA • Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183

I. PURPOSE OF THIS NOTICE

This Notice is prepared to preserve a clear comparison of distinct source records and to prevent later electronic captions, party classifications, or database descriptions from being attributed retroactively to an earlier filing that did not contain them. The issue addressed here is not merely a spelling difference. It is the juxtaposition of separate cases, separate parties, separate governmental entities, and separate subject matters whose descriptions do not uniformly align in the later record.

II. DEFINITION OF "JUXTAPOSITION" AS USED HERE

Juxtaposition means placing two or more records side by side so their similarities, differences, and inconsistencies can be seen directly.

In this Notice, juxtaposition means: (1) identify what the contemporaneous source document actually says; (2) identify what a later docket, roster, caption, or governmental record says; (3) place the two descriptions next to one another; and (4) identify the precise point of difference without silently rewriting either record.

Stated simply: if Record A names one respondent and Record B later displays a different governmental respondent or party classification, the proper record question is not to assume that both descriptions were always the same. The proper question is when, where, and through what filing or docket action the different designation first appeared.

III. FIRST SIDE OF THE JUXTAPOSITION — COUNTY RECORDER / PROPERTY RECORD MATTER

The preserved April 28, 2022 filing in Nevada Case No. A-22-849976-C identifies the filer as "Petitioner/ Claimant - Diplomat ;princess emilily hotep el ens legis Sui Juris." The caption identifies princess emilily hotep el as Petitioner and "Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder" as Respondent.

The same filing is expressly titled as a Complaint / Claim for Removal of Instruments from Property and identifies the property-record instrument whose removal was requested. The preserved certificate of mailing and electronic service again directs service to Debbie Conway D.B.A. County Recorder.

Material distinction: the source filing does not caption the State of Nevada as Respondent, does not caption Clark County itself as Respondent, and does not caption the United States District Court for the District of Nevada as Respondent. The Las Vegas language appearing in the filing is geographic/address information; it is not the named Respondent.

IV. SECOND SIDE OF THE JUXTAPOSITION — ALLY / FEDERAL / APPELLATE MATTER

The separate Ally-related record proceeded through Nevada matter A-21-843907-C, the related federal matter 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA, and Ninth Circuit mandamus proceeding No. 22-70183. The preserved historical public-facing Ninth Circuit roster later identifies Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and princess emilily hotep el

as Petitioners, the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas as Respondent, and Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP as Real Parties in Interest.

This caption was made up and doesn't exist in any records unless newly created within the past few days.

That later roster is evidence of how the appellate matter was subsequently displayed. It is not, without the underlying original petition or the intervening docket entry, proof that Petitioners themselves supplied every later governmental classification appearing in the roster.

V. THIRD SIDE OF THE JUXTAPOSITION — STATE OF NEVADA CLAIM RESPONSE

A separate May 30, 2023 letter from the State of Nevada Office of the Attorney General addresses Claim No. TC20610. The letter states that the State received a claim against the State of Nevada in the amount of $400,000,000 and then states that the office was unable to find acts for which the State of Nevada was liable and therefore denied the claim for damages.

This letter should be preserved for exactly what it says. It does not establish that the State of Nevada and the federal District Court are the same entity; they are not. It does, however, provide a separate contemporaneous governmental record against which later descriptions can be compared. The State's denial of liability should not be converted into proof that the State was a named respondent in an earlier filing where the original caption does not name it.

VI. THE JUXTAPOSITION — SIDE-BY-SIDE

Record	What the preserved record says	Why the distinction matters
A-22-849976-C	Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder is the named Respondent; property-record removal matter.	Does not support retroactively describing the State of Nevada, Clark County generally, or the federal District Court as the original Respondent in that filing.
2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA / Ally-related federal record	Separate federal proceeding related to the Ally matter.	Must remain distinct from the County Recorder/property-record case unless a particular filing expressly connects the party designations.
Ninth Circuit 22-70183 later roster	Later roster displays U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas as Respondent and Ally/Aldridge Pite as Real Parties in Interest.	Raises a documentary question about when and through what docketing event those classifications first appeared.
Nevada AG letter, May 30, 2023, Claim TC20610	Acknowledges a $400,000,000 claim against the State of Nevada and denies damages because no acts were found for which the State was liable.	A separate State-liability determination; it should not be merged with a federal-court respondent designation or used to rewrite earlier captions.

VII. WHY THE DIFFERENCE IS MATERIAL

The State of Nevada, Clark County, Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder, the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Ally Bank, and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP are not interchangeable names or capacities. A later electronic party roster cannot, by itself, establish that an earlier filer used the same designation. Accordingly, where the original source document and a later electronic roster differ, the original document should be preserved as written and the later description should be separately identified by its own date and source.

VIII. ATTORNEY AND CLERK RECORD QUESTION - The record issue being preserved is whether attorney submissions, clerk docketing actions, later database entries, or some combination of those events produced party descriptions that differ from the original source documents. The present materials demonstrate

the difference in the records; they do not yet identify a specific intervening entry sufficient to establish which individual made each change.

The documentary questions are therefore:

- When did “United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Las Vegas” first appear as Respondent in No. 22-70183?
- What filing, docketing instruction, attorney submission, or clerk entry supplied that classification?
- When were Ally Bank and Aldridge Pite Haan LLP classified as Real Parties in Interest?
- Did those classifications reproduce the original petition, or were they entered during later docket administration?
- Was information from the County Recorder/property matter ever associated with the separate Ally/federal/appellate matter?
- If a caption or party classification was corrected, altered, or reconstructed, where is the dated source entry showing that event?
- If the Attorney General Aaron D. Ford and office clerks and assistants claim they had no part how are they now appearing four years later as respondents or in their words Defendants?

IX. RECORD INTEGRITY AND THE NEVADA RANSOMWARE INCIDENT

The preserved DOI-related materials state that on August 24, 2025 the Nevada Division of Insurance and other state agencies were taken offline following a ransomware attack, that certain DOI systems including consumer complaint intake and communications were disrupted, and that data was moved outside the State's network while investigation was ongoing. The same preserved materials expressed concern that some documents might be deleted or unavailable and emphasized independent physical copies and archival records.

Those materials do not establish that every historical Nevada record was destroyed. They do establish a documented reason not to assume that a later electronic display is necessarily a complete historical archive. Where dates, captions, party descriptions, or document sequences do not align, contemporaneous file-stamped documents, service records, emails, certified copies, and original docket materials should be compared rather than silently harmonized.

X. RECORD-PRESERVATION CONCLUSION

The purpose of this Notice is not to merge the proceedings. It is the opposite: to preserve their separation. A-22-849976-C is preserved according to its own caption and property-record subject matter. The Ally-related state, federal, and appellate matters are preserved according to their own records. The May 30, 2023 Nevada Attorney General letter is preserved according to its own claim number and stated denial of State liability.

The controlling juxtaposition is therefore clear: an original filing naming Debbie Conway D.B.A. Clark County Recorder should not be rewritten as though it originally named the State of Nevada, Clark County generally, or the federal District Court; and a later appellate roster should not be attributed to Petitioners as their original designation unless the source filing or intervening docket entry actually demonstrates that fact.

Any future comparison should identify the source, date, caption, party capacity, and docket event separately. Where the records differ, the difference itself is preserved.

NOTICE OF PURPOSE: This document is a record-comparison and preservation notice. It distinguishes source documents from later docket descriptions and does not represent that a discrepancy, standing alone, proves fraud, fabrication, intent, or the identity of the person responsible for a particular database entry.

Prepared for the preserved record of the House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal XIII