HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

COVER PAGE - NEVADA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE

JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT COMPLAINT / SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENTIARY RECORD

RECIPIENT	Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline
MAILING ADDRESS	P.O. Box 18123, Reno, Nevada 89511
TELEPHONE	(775) 687-4017
FAX	(775) 448-9704
EMAIL	ncjdinfo@judicial.nv.gov
RESPONDENT	Hon. Joanna S. Kishner - Eighth Judicial District Court, Department 31
PRIOR COMMISSION FILE	Case No. 2025-324
PRIMARY NEVADA CASE	A-21-843907-C
RELATED FEDERAL CASE	U.S. District Court, District of Nevada - No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA
RELATED APPELLATE CASE	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit - No. 22-70183
COMPLETE COURT RECORD	https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/hoj-consular-court-complete-record-2026.pdf

PURPOSE OF TRANSMITTAL

This cover page accompanies the formal complaint and supplemental evidentiary record concerning alleged judicial conduct, treatment of party identity and capacity, access to the judicial process, handling of the record, and related matters described in the attached complaint. The complete supporting House of Judah court package is available through the direct record link above for source review and retrieval of incorporated exhibits.

REQUESTED REVIEW

Please associate this submission with Commission Case No. 2025-324 where procedurally appropriate, preserve the accompanying materials, and evaluate the alleged conduct under the Nevada Constitution, NRS Chapter 1, the Commission's procedural rules, and the Revised Nevada Code of Judicial Conduct. This submission requests judicial-conduct review; it does not ask the Commission to act as an appellate court or to adjudicate attorney discipline.

IMPORTANT RECORD CHARACTERIZATION

The linked House of Judah record is an originating record and evidentiary archive. Filing, receipt, service, or review by a Nevada or federal office does not by itself mean that office authored, adopted, or adjudicated the House of Judah determinations. The attached complaint identifies the specific conduct and source documents submitted for Commission review.

DATE RECEIVED:	**RECEIVED / ROUTED BY:**
DISPOSITION: [ ] Received [ ] Referred [ ] Additional Information Requested [ ] Other: _____	

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

FORMAL TRANSMITTAL COVER PAGE

TO	State Municipal State of Nevada
SOUTHERN NEVADA ADDRESS	3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
TELEPHONE	(775)687-4017
TOLL-FREE	(775)448-9704
RE	JIB JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE CLAIMS
PRIMARY NEVADA CASE	A-21-843907-C
RELATED FEDERAL CASE	2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA
RELATED APPELLATE CASE	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit — No. 22-70183

RESPONDENT JUDGE/MAGISTRATE CONTEXT

LIMITED PURPOSE AND COMMISSION JURISDICTION - This submission is directed to judicial conduct, impartiality, treatment of litigants and the record, access to the judicial process, and objectively verifiable conduct surrounding Case No. A-21-843907-C. It does not ask the Commission to function as an appellate court, reverse a judgment, determine title, enforce a House of Judah Consular Court instrument, or adjudicate attorney discipline. Attorney names are included as record participants and potential sources of evidence only; any attorney-discipline issue is reserved for the State Bar of Nevada or another competent authority.

DIRECT LINK — CONTINUED COURT ORDER

OPEN: CONTINUED ORDER & FINDING OF VOID-AB-INITIO DEFECTS — 5-PAGE COURT ORDER

https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/Continued_Void_Ab_Initio_Order_5_Page_2026.pdf

COMPLETE SUPPORTING COURT RECORD

OPEN COMPLETE HOUSE OF JUDAH COURT RECORD

https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/hoj-consular-court-complete-record-2026.pdf

PURPOSE OF TRANSMITTAL

This cover page accompanies the attorney-discipline complaint package and supporting exhibits. The linked House of Judah Consular Court order and complete record are supplied as source materials showing the positions, notices, chronology, identity/capacity issues, and evidentiary record relied upon by the complainants. Receipt or review of those materials does not itself constitute adoption or enforcement of the House of Judah determinations by the State Bar of Nevada.

REQUEST

Please associate this cover page with the individual attorney complaints and review each attorney's documented conduct under the Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct. The underlying documents, rather than summaries or characterizations, are intended to control the factual review.

DATE RECEIVED:	**BAR FILE / REFERENCE NO.:**
ROUTING / NOTES: _____	

FILED
Consular Court
House Of Judah
September 14, 2026
8:35 AM

STATE OF NEVADA
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE
FORMAL SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION
PRIOR COMMISSION FILE: CASE NO. 2025-324

RESPONDENT JUDGE	Hon. Joanna S. Kishner, Eighth Judicial District Court, Department 31
PRIMARY NEVADA CASE	A-21-843907-C — Ally Bank v. Monica Hall / Monica Renee Hall
RELATED FEDERAL CASE	2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA
RELATED APPELLATE RECORD	Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183
COMPLAINANTS	Princess Emilily Hotep El; Prince Ra Hotep El; supporting Trust/record materials as identified
PRIOR COMMISSION FILE	2025-324
SUBMISSION TYPE	Supplemental misconduct complaint; alternatively, additional evidence for any procedurally available reconsideration/review

LIMITED PURPOSE AND COMMISSION JURISDICTION

This submission is directed to judicial conduct, impartiality, treatment of litigants and the record, access to the judicial process, and objectively verifiable conduct surrounding Case No. A-21-843907-C. It does not ask the Commission to function as an appellate court, reverse a judgment, determine title, enforce a House of Judah Consular Court instrument, or adjudicate attorney discipline. Attorney names are included as record participants and potential sources of evidence only; any attorney-discipline issue is reserved for the State Bar of Nevada or another competent authority.

The Commission acknowledged and filed the prior complaint as Case No. 2025-324 on December 16, 2025 and stated that it reviews whether a complaint contains objectively verifiable evidence from which a reasonable inference of misconduct may be drawn. The December 16 letter located in the present record is an acknowledgment/process letter, not itself a final dismissal order. If a separate final dismissal was later issued, this submission should also be treated as additional evidence to the extent reconsideration or a new complaint based on later/continuing conduct is procedurally available.

I. DOCUMENTED CASE IDENTITY, PARTY NAMES, AND COUNSEL

The Clark County docket identifies Case No. A-21-843907-C as Ally Bank, Plaintiff, versus Monica Hall, Defendant, with Judge Joanna S. Kishner as the judicial officer. The same docket later identifies the defendant as Monica Renee Hall and lists an alias entry. The complainants contend that the litigation repeatedly failed to distinguish Monica Hall, Monica Renee Hall, Monica Rene Hall, Princess Emilily Hotep El, and the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust in the capacities asserted in their filings.

The misconduct question is not whether every naming error automatically voided the case. It is whether the court fairly and impartially addressed the actual identity, capacity, service, debtor, property, and trust evidence before allowing judgments or enforcement consequences to attach to a person or property.

II. DOCUMENTED ATTORNEYS / COUNSEL PARTICIPANTS

The following list is limited to attorneys whose involvement is supported by the presently located record. It should be used as a source-provenance and witness/participant list, not as an accusation that each attorney committed misconduct.

Attorney / Firm	Documented Client / Role	Matter	Record Status
Richard S. Ehlers, Esq. / Aldridge Pite-related record	Ally Bank — retained counsel shown on docket; appeared for Plaintiff July 19, 2022	A-21-843907-C	DOCUMENTED
Cuong M. Nguyen, Esq.	Ally Bank — retained counsel; appeared for Plaintiff at June 2022 proceedings	A-21-843907-C	DOCUMENTED
Eddie R. Jimenez, Esq.	Ally Bank — lead attorney shown on docket	A-21-843907-C	DOCUMENTED
John M. Becker, Esq.	Ally Bank — retained counsel; appeared for Plaintiff May 2022	A-21-843907-C	DOCUMENTED
John E. Leach / LKG (Leach | Kern | Gruchow | Anderson | Song)	Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association	Jan. 5, 2021 HOA/ property correspondence	DOCUMENTED
R. Samuel Ehlers / Aldridge Pite LLP	Federal National Mortgage Association / successors or assigns, as captioned	Justice Court No. 23C006611	DOCUMENTED IN EXISTING RECORD; attach exact pleading

Other Aldridge Pite attorneys appearing in unrelated Fannie Mae litigation are not listed as participants in this property matter merely because they worked for the same firm. Likewise, any asserted Aldridge Pite representation of the HOA, foreclosure trustee, servicer, or other participant should be added only when the engagement, appearance, pleading, correspondence, recorded instrument, or other source document is attached.

III. NEVADA CONSTITUTION — RIGHTS AND JUDICIAL ACCOUNTABILITY

The complainants previously served Nevada constitutional notices. For this complaint, the controlling source should be the current official Nevada Constitution, while the earlier 1864-form documents are preserved as evidence of what was actually served. The following provisions are directly relevant to the conduct alleged:

- Article 1, § 1 — inalienable rights include enjoying and defending life and liberty and acquiring, possessing, and protecting property. This provision is relevant to the complainants' assertion that trust-held property and personal property were affected through judicial process without adequate identity/capacity reconciliation.
- Article 1, § 8(2) — no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law. The complaint invokes this provision with respect to notice, opportunity to be heard, party identity, service, default procedures, and the treatment of filings concerning ownership and capacity.
- Article 1, § 9 — liberty of speech and of the press. This is preserved where judicial conduct allegedly penalized or prejudged a litigant based on protected expression or terminology rather than adjudicating the evidence actually presented.
- Article 1, § 10 — the people have the right peaceably to assemble and petition for redress of grievances. This is relevant to allegations that filings, motions, objections, and efforts to correct identity/capacity information were struck, rejected, or treated as improper without fair consideration.
- Article 1, § 11 — civil power is supreme. The complainants' earlier Nevada constitutional notices quoted the civil-authority principle. In this complaint it is preserved only to the extent applicable to actual governmental conduct shown by the record.
- Article 1, § 14 — the Constitution expressly contemplates exemption of property from execution as provided by law. The complainants state that an Affidavit of Exemption / property schedule covering the residence and vehicles was served or filed and ignored. The actual affidavit and the applicable statutory exemption must be attached and compared with the enforcement record.
- Article 1, § 18 — protects persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures and regulates warrants. It is relevant to any governmental entry, seizure, removal, or execution shown by competent evidence; it does not by itself establish that every civil property transfer was an unconstitutional search or seizure.
- Article 1, § 20 — enumeration of constitutional rights does not impair or deny other rights retained by the people.
- Article 6, § 21 — establishes the Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline and the constitutional mechanism for discipline of Nevada judicial officers.

IV. EARLIER CONSTITUTIONAL NOTICE ACTUALLY SERVED

The earlier restricted-appearance documents expressly invoked the Nevada Constitution, including a search-and-seizure provision and language concerning civil authority. They also named Nevada public officials including the Governor, Attorney General Aaron D. Ford, Clark County Sheriff, Chief Justice, and Comptroller. Those earlier documents are important here primarily as notice evidence: they show that constitutional objections and identity/capacity positions were not invented after the challenged rulings.

This supplemental complaint does not repeat every legal proposition contained in those older notices. Some propositions in the earlier documents require independent legal support. The Commission is asked to focus on the objectively verifiable conduct, the documents actually filed or served, and whether the judge handled the litigants and record consistently with the Code of Judicial Conduct.

V. DEFAULT, SERVICE, AND THE COURT'S TREATMENT OF IDENTITY

The docket reflects a complaint filed November 9, 2021, proof of service filed January 23, 2022, and default entered February 15, 2022. It later reflects filings challenging service, jurisdiction, identity, default, and the treatment of Princess Emilily Hotep El and the Trust. It also reflects an April 16, 2022 motion seeking to add Princess Emilily Hotep El.

The complainants contend that the court nevertheless proceeded against a name/capacity that did not accurately identify the real woman, Trust, trustees, executor, or beneficiaries whose property interests were affected. The Commission is asked to obtain the original summons, return/proof of service, complaint, contracts, title/DMV records, default papers, hearing recordings, and all orders necessary to determine exactly whom the court treated as the defendant and why.

VI. SATISFACTION / TENDER / PAYMENT AND DEBT-AUTHORITY EVIDENCE

The docket itself contains a March 24, 2022 Satisfaction of Judgment entry and a May 24, 2022 judgment entry described as Satisfaction of Judgment. The complainants also preserved tender/payment materials and challenged whether Ally Bank, Ally Financial, Aldridge Pite, or later actors had authority to collect from the person or Trust in the capacity asserted.

This complaint does not ask the Commission to decide the underlying debt. The conduct issue is whether material evidence concerning satisfaction, tender, creditor identity, debtor identity, and ownership was addressed neutrally or was bypassed while adverse procedural consequences continued.

VII. REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT AND CONTINUED STATE-COURT ACTIVITY

The state docket reflects a June 18, 2022 Notice of Removal identifying federal Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA. The docket also reflects later state-court hearings and filings concerning whether the matter had been removed, including July 2022 proceedings before Judge Kishner. The complainants contend that this chronology raised a serious jurisdictional and court-administration question.

For misconduct purposes, the Commission should distinguish a good-faith legal ruling from conduct that might show prejudgment, disregard of the record, improper motive, retaliation, or failure to perform judicial duties. The complainants therefore request review of the actual removal notice, federal docket, state-court minute orders, hearing recordings, and communications rather than an assumption about the legal effect of removal.

VIII. PROPERTY, TRUST, EXEMPTION, AND LATER ENFORCEMENT CONTEXT

The broader record identifies 8237 Fawn Brook Court, Las Vegas, Nevada, APN 125-21-311-121, and contains filings asserting the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust as owner and Princess Emilily Hotep El as beneficiary. The complainants contend that later possession, foreclosure, execution, or entry onto property therefore required exact identification of the owner, judgment debtor, trustees, and legal authority relied upon.

The complainants also state that an Affidavit of Exemption of Property included the house and automobiles and was ignored. That document should be attached as a separate exhibit and cited by filing date, docket number, and exact property schedule. The Commission should not be asked to infer its contents from a later summary when the original can be supplied.

The later vehicle record identifies a 2015 Kia Optima and a Trust ownership/lien position. That later document is useful for source tracing but does not by itself establish the ownership or exemption status that existed on every earlier enforcement date.

IX. PRIOR NOTICE TO THE NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OTHER STATE ACTORS

The complainants' consolidated record identifies Nevada Attorney General complaint/claim references 9112 and 9114 and later Attorney General correspondence. It also contains later email acknowledgments stating that the Attorney General's office would keep correspondence on file. The complainants further state that notices were served by email and USPS and that delivery/service records are preserved.

The significance of these materials is notice and chronology. Receipt by the Attorney General does not establish the truth of every allegation or impose automatic liability on the State. It does, however, allow the Commission to compare what state institutions had actually received with later statements that a matter, identity issue, or constitutional objection had not been presented.

X. PRIOR COMMISSION CASE NO. 2025-324

The Commission's December 16, 2025 letter confirms that the prior complaint was received, filed, and assigned Case No. 2025-324. It states that Commission staff may obtain relevant court documents, briefs, and recordings and that the Commission applies the objectively-verifiable-evidence standard in NRS 1.4657.

The same letter explains a one-time reconsideration process if a complaint is dismissed, requiring a timely written request and additional evidence not previously reviewed. Because the presently located December 16 letter is not itself a final dismissal, this filing does not characterize that letter as a denial. If the complainants possess a later final-disposition/dismissal letter, it should be placed immediately behind this section so the procedural posture can be stated precisely.

XI. OBJECTIVELY VERIFIABLE MISCONDUCT QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether Judge Kishner treated materially different identities and legal capacities as interchangeable without an adequate record basis, and whether that treatment affected service, default, standing, property, or participation.
- Whether the court afforded a fair opportunity to present and correct identity, trust, ownership, satisfaction, tender, exemption, and service evidence.
- Whether the court's handling of filings after the June 18, 2022 Notice of Removal reflected ordinary legal decision-making or objectively verifiable conduct implicating impartiality, prejudgment, retaliation, or failure to perform judicial duties.
- Whether the court record, hearing recordings, minute orders, and clerk communications show materially inconsistent treatment of the complainants or their filings.
- Whether any characterization of the complainants by ideology, status, or terminology substituted for individualized analysis of the actual documents and parties.
- Whether any undisclosed relationship, conflict, or extrajudicial source of information materially affected the proceedings. No unverified relationship is alleged as established fact; disclosure and investigation are requested only where supported by evidence.

XII. REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION AND PRESERVATION

The complainants respectfully request that the Commission obtain and preserve the complete A-21-843907-C record, including all pleadings, rejected/nonconforming filings, summonses, proofs of service, default papers, satisfaction entries, hearing recordings, remote-appearance records, minute orders, judicial notes or administrative records available to the Commission, and any materials relevant to the identity/capacity and removal issues described above.

The complainants further request that the Commission evaluate the evidence under NRS 1.4657 and the Revised Nevada Code of Judicial Conduct, including the duties of independence, impartiality, fairness, proper performance of judicial duties, and disqualification where required. The requested review is of conduct, not merely the correctness of a judicial ruling.

XIII. EXHIBIT SCHEDULE

- A — Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline December 16, 2025 acknowledgment, Case No. 2025-324.
- B — Clark County docket for A-21-843907-C showing caption, Judge Kishner, attorney roster, default, satisfaction entries, removal notice, and later proceedings.
- C — Earlier Nevada constitutional Notices of Special Restricted Appearance for Prince Ra Hotep El and Princess Emilily Hotep El.
- D — Current official Nevada Constitution excerpts: Art. 1 §§ 1, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 18, 20; Art. 6 § 21.
- E — Original summons, complaint, proof of service, and default papers identifying the exact defendant and capacity.
- F — Satisfaction, tender/payment, and creditor/debtor-identity materials concerning Ally Bank / Ally Financial.
- G — Trust/title/homestead/property records for 8237 Fawn Brook Court, APN 125-21-311-121.
- H — Original Affidavit of Exemption / property schedule covering the house and vehicles, with filing/service proof.
- I — June 18, 2022 Notice of Removal and federal docket for 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA; related Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183 materials as relevant.
- J — Attorney / representation source-provenance matrix and the exact appearances or correspondence supporting each listed attorney.
- K — Nevada Attorney General complaint/claim records 9112 and 9114 and authenticated email/USPS service/receipt evidence.
- L — Later property/restoration/foreclosure records offered only to show continuing consequences and evidence-preservation needs.

XIV. ATTORNEY / PARTICIPANT SOURCE-PROVENANCE APPENDIX

This appendix is intentionally separated from the misconduct allegations because the Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline does not discipline attorneys. The names below identify counsel whose records may be relevant to what information was presented to the court, when it was presented, and in what capacity.

Richard S. Ehlers, Esq. — Listed as retained counsel for Ally Bank in A-21-843907-C and shown as appearing for Plaintiff on July 19, 2022.

Cuong M. Nguyen, Esq. — Listed as retained counsel for Ally Bank and shown appearing for Plaintiff in June 2022.

Eddie R. Jimenez, Esq. — Listed on the docket as lead attorney for Ally Bank.

John M. Becker, Esq. — Listed as retained counsel for Ally Bank and shown appearing for Plaintiff in May 2022.

John E. Leach / LKG — January 5, 2021 letter identifies the firm as counsel for Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association and addresses the Hotep El identities while discussing the Monica Rene Hall assessor record.

R. Samuel Ehlers / Aldridge Pite LLP — Identified in the existing record as counsel for Federal National Mortgage Association / successors or assigns in Justice Court Case No. 23C006611; attach the exact source pleading.

Any additional attorney should be added only after the exact docket, pleading, appearance, engagement letter, correspondence, or recorded instrument establishing that attorney's role is located. Shared law-firm affiliation alone is not proof that an attorney participated in this matter.

VERIFICATION

We declare under penalty of perjury that the factual statements in this complaint are true and correct to the best of our personal knowledge, information, and belief. Statements concerning what a document proves are

limited to the contents of the identified source. Allegations not yet supported by an attached source are presented as matters for verification and investigation, not as adjudicated facts.

Chief Justice Maria Ali — Clerk for the House of Judah Tribunal VIII

Chief Justice Ali
UCC 1-308

Date: September 14, 2026

Princess Emilily Hotep El — Complainant

Envoy Princess Emilily Hotep El
Empress
All Rights Reserved UCC1-308

Prince Ra Hotep El — Supporting Complainant / Declarant, as applicable

Envoy Prince Ra Hotep El Sultan
All Rights Reserved UCC 1-308

Both subscribed and sworn before me this **14th**, day of **September**, 2026.

Natalie
Chief Justice

Vizir Notary / Authorized Judicial Officer
for the Consular Court Tribunal VIII
House of Judah

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT
תה
VIZIR NOTARY

Chief Maria Ali Dey
Living Woman, Principal Witness and Authority
All Rights Reserved
UCC 1-308

GREAT SEAL OF THE
COURT OF RECORD
יהוה
TRUTH • JUSTICE • EQUITY
LET RIGHT BE DONE
HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

APPENDIX - MASTER EXHIBIT INDEX

UCC FINANCING STATEMENTS / ASSERTED LIENS • TRESPASS & PROPERTY-RIGHTS ISSUES
NEVADA REVISED STATUTES • NEVADA CONSTITUTION • TREATY RECORD

LIMITED PURPOSE / SOURCE CHARACTERIZATION

This exhibit organizes the UCC financing statements contained in the 11-page packet titled "ALLY BANK ZANE INVESTIGATIONS ETC, LIENS (3)" and maps the conduct asserted in those filings to potentially relevant Nevada property/trespass provisions, Nevada constitutional provisions, and treaty materials previously preserved in the House of Judah record.

A filed UCC financing statement is evidence that a filing was made; it does not, by filing alone, establish the existence, amount, validity, priority, perfection, or enforceability of an asserted debt or lien. Likewise, this schedule does not adjudicate criminal trespass or constitutional liability. Each legal provision applies only if its required facts are established by competent evidence.

MASTER INDEX OF UCC / LIEN MATERIALS

Exhibit	Packet Pages	Named / Identifiable Subject	Filing / Record	Asserted Subject Matter	Status
A	1–2	ALLY AUTO / Ally-related vehicle filing	Nevada UCC financing statement; 3-page filing indicated	2018 Kia Optima; alleged theft/damages; collateral described broadly	Filed-record evidence; legal effect not adjudicated here
B	3	ALLY BANK	Michigan UCC No. 20210202000224; filed Feb. 2, 2021	Tender/payment dispute; asserted damages and broad collateral claim	Filed-record evidence; amounts are filer assertions
C	4–5	Nevada filing concerning private trust / vehicle collateral	Nevada UCC; filing no. appears 2022217719-5; Jan. 27, 2022	2018 Kia Optima, identity/trafficking allegations, broad trust/property collateral	Filed-record evidence; debtor name partly unclear in scan
D	6–7	ALDRIDGE PITE LLP; JOHN ALDRIDGE	Nevada UCC; filing date shown Feb. 24, 2021	Private-property/ search/seizure allegations; tender/ payment and emergency-aid language	Filed-record evidence; allegations remain attributed to filer
E	8–10	ZANE INVESTIGATIONS; MARK ZANE	Nevada UCC; filing date shown Feb. 24, 2021	Search/seizure, consent, trust property, identity/ harassment allegations; personal/	Filed-record evidence; allegations remain attributed to filer
F	5 pages	JOANNA S. KISHNER, JUDICIAL OFFICER	Nevada UCC-1 Filing No. 2022252291-7; filed July 12, 2022 at 4:29 PM	Filer asserts $1,320,000 lien; alleged court/ jurisdiction, service, identity, treaty/ constitutional and injury-related issues	Nevada SOS filing acknowledged/ approved; asserted lien amount and underlying liability are not adjudicated by this schedule

EXHIBIT A — ALLY AUTO / VEHICLE-RELATED NEVADA UCC FILING

Source: uploaded lien packet, pp. 1–2. The filing identifies ALLY AUTO and describes the 2018 Kia Optima, alleged theft/damages, and broad categories of collateral including trust, land, property, automobiles, homes, stocks, and other assets.

- Asserted conduct in filing: theft, harassment, extortion, replacement/damage issues involving the 2018 Kia Optima, and interference with asserted private/trust property.
- Potential Nevada trespass connection: NRS 207.200 may be relevant only if evidence shows a person went upon or remained on land/building of another under the statutory circumstances, including the required intent or warning.
- Nevada Constitution: Art. 1 §1 (acquiring, possessing and protecting property); Art. 1 §8 (due process before deprivation of property); Art. 1 §18 (persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches/seizures); Art. 1 §20 (retained rights).
- Evidence to pair with this exhibit: vehicle title/registration, trust ownership records, communications with Ally, police reports, entry/tow/recovery records, tender/payment records, photographs/video, and proof of notice.

EXHIBIT B — ALLY BANK UCC FILING / TENDER AND COLLECTION DISPUTE

Source: uploaded lien packet, p. 3. The Michigan filing identifies Ally Bank and Filing No. 20210202000224, filed February 2, 2021. The collateral text states that a $17,409.29 tender was made and refused/declined, lists additional claimed charges and damages, and states a claimed total due. Those figures are assertions contained in the filing, not findings made by this schedule.

- Asserted conduct: refusal of tender/payment, collection activity, alleged threats/extortion, identity/title disputes, denial of constitutional/treaty provisions, and alleged document falsification.
- Potential Nevada property issue: if collection or enforcement reached Nevada real or personal property, the source documents should identify the exact debtor, creditor, property, judgment/process, service, and authority used.
- Nevada Constitution: Art. 1 §1; Art. 1 §8; Art. 1 §14 where a statutory exemption from execution was properly claimed; Art. 1 §18 where governmental search/seizure facts are actually present.
- Evidence to pair: tender instrument and delivery/refusal proof, account history, creditor/assignment records, summons/service, judgment/default/satisfaction entries, exemption affidavit, and communications.

EXHIBIT C — PRIVATE TRUST / 2018 KIA OPTIMA NEVADA UCC FILING

Source: uploaded lien packet, pp. 4–5. The scan shows a Nevada UCC filing dated January 27, 2022 and collateral language concerning a 2018 Kia Optima, asserted private-trust interests, identity issues, and broad categories of real and personal property. Because portions of the debtor field are difficult to read, this exhibit does not assign a debtor identity beyond what can be verified from the original filing.

- Asserted conduct: alleged identity trafficking, collection against private/trust property, and interference with vehicles and other collateral.
- NRS 207.200: potentially relevant only to proven unauthorized entry/remain-on-property facts satisfying the statute; a UCC filing itself does not prove trespass.
- Nevada Constitution: Art. 1 §§1, 8, 14, 18 and 20 as factually applicable.
- Evidence to pair: certified UCC copy, underlying security agreement if any, vehicle/title record, trust instrument/capacity record, property entry evidence, exemption papers, and service/notice.

EXHIBIT D — ALDRIDGE PITE LLP / JOHN ALDRIDGE UCC FILING

Source: uploaded lien packet, pp. 6–7. The filing identifies Aldridge Pite LLP and John Aldridge. Its collateral narrative asserts protection of private property and refers to artificial intelligence, cell phones, office equipment, computers and other property; it also refers to tender/payment and emergency-aid concepts.

- Asserted conduct: interference with private property, search/seizure-related allegations, surveillance/investigative conduct, and collection/enforcement issues.
- NRS 207.200: apply only to an identified person's physical entry or remaining on land/building where the statutory elements are supported. Representation in litigation or filing papers is not, standing alone, criminal trespass.
- Nevada Constitution Art. 1 §18: most directly relevant where state action or governmental search/seizure is shown. Conduct by private attorneys is not automatically state action merely because they use the courts.
- Nevada Constitution Art. 1 §§1 and 8: relevant to property and due-process arguments where governmental judicial process allegedly deprived a person or trust of property.
- Evidence to pair: each attorney's signed pleading/appearance, client authority, communications, process-server/constable records, property-entry records, hearing recordings, and court orders.

EXHIBIT E — ZANE INVESTIGATIONS / MARK ZANE UCC FILING

Source: uploaded lien packet, pp. 8–10. The filing identifies Zane Investigations and Mark Zane and names the Monica Hall Estate Trust in the secured-party area. Its narrative expressly invokes unreasonable searches and seizures, warrants supported by oath or affirmation, consent, trust property, and asserted harassment/identity issues.

- Asserted conduct: search/seizure of property, lack of consent, interference with trust/personal/business property, harassment, identity-related conduct, and alleged investigative activity.
- NRS 207.200: potentially applicable if competent evidence establishes entry/remain-on-property plus the statutory intent/warning requirements. Investigative activity occurring entirely off-property would require a different legal analysis.
- Nevada Constitution Art. 1 §18: textually concerns unreasonable searches/seizures and warrants; application depends on governmental/state action or another legally recognized basis.
- Nevada Constitution Art. 1 §§1, 8 and 20: preserve property, due-process, and retained-rights arguments where supported.
- Evidence to pair: investigator engagement/authorization, surveillance reports, photographs/video, dates and locations of entry, warnings/no-trespass notices, police reports, ownership/occupancy records, and communications.

EXHIBIT F — JOANNA S. KISHNER, JUDICIAL OFFICER — NEVADA UCC FILING

Source: “Security Instrument lien Joanna S. Kishner Nevada Municiplaity $1,320,000.00,” 5 pages. The Nevada Secretary of State filing acknowledgment identifies debtor “KISHNER JOANNA S, JUDICIAL OFFICER,” Initial/Document Filing No. 2022252291-7, filed July 12, 2022 at 4:29 PM. It identifies Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and additional named secured parties. The Secretary of State record states that the attached documents were filed and provides the filing number for future reference.

• Asserted lien amount: The collateral narrative states “TOTAL AMOUNT OF LIEN $1,320,000.00” and attributes that amount to legal fees, time off, injuries, work stress and other asserted harms. This schedule records that assertion; it does not independently establish the amount as an enforceable judgment or debt.

• Asserted conduct: The filing alleges failures concerning jurisdiction, hearings, service, identity/nationality, constitutional and treaty arguments, and treatment of Consular Court Tribunal officers. These are filer allegations preserved in the UCC record.

• Filing status: The Nevada Secretary of State receipt shows UCC-1 Filing No. 2022252291-7 as “Approved” on July 12, 2022, with a $30 filing fee. Approval/acceptance of a UCC filing establishes the filing event; it does not by itself adjudicate the validity of the asserted obligation or lien.

• Property/trespass cross-reference: This filing should be indexed with the other asserted property-rights materials where the same events, property, entry, seizure, service, or judicial-process facts overlap. NRS 207.200 should be cited only if the evidence independently establishes its required trespass elements.

• Constitutional cross-reference: Nevada Constitution Art. 1 §§1, 8, 14, 18 and 20 may be preserved where the underlying facts support property, due-process, exemption, or search/seizure issues. The exact governmental act and legal nexus should be identified for each asserted violation.

• Treaty cross-reference: Any treaty theory should identify the particular treaty article and explain its factual and legal applicability. Filing or service of treaty materials establishes notice only to the extent shown by the receipt/service record.

• Evidence to pair: certified UCC filing and receipt, the underlying court docket/orders/transcripts, service records, identity/capacity documents, any affidavit of exemption, contemporaneous correspondence, medical/work-loss support for claimed damages, and the exact treaty/constitutional materials relied upon.

EXHIBIT G — NEVADA TRESPASS / PROPERTY-RIGHTS LAW CROSSWALK

Authority	Relevant Principle	Use in Exhibit Record
NRS 207.200	Unlawful trespass may include going onto land/building of another with intent to vex/annoy or commit an unlawful act, or willfully going/remaining after a legally sufficient warning.	Use only where entry, ownership/occupancy, intent/warning, identity of entrant, and lack of authority are supported.
Nev. Const. Art. 1 §1	Inalienable rights include acquiring, possessing and protecting property.	Property-rights foundation; does not itself decide title or liability.
Nev. Const. Art. 1 §8	Due-process protection against deprivation of life, liberty or property.	Use for governmental/judicial deprivation claims with exact notice, hearing, service and property facts.
Nev. Const. Art. 1 §14	Recognizes exemption of property from execution as provided by law.	Pair with the actual exemption statute and the filed Affidavit of Exemption/property schedule.
Nev. Const. Art. 1 §18	Protects persons, houses, papers and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures and regulates warrants.	Pair with proof of state action, entry/seizure, warrant/process, consent and scope.
Nev. Const. Art. 1 §20	Enumeration of rights does not impair or deny other rights retained by the people.	Preservation provision; not an independent finding of a particular violation.

EXHIBIT H — TREATY / SUPREMACY-CLAUSE RECORD

The separate House of Judah treaty exhibit previously preserved authenticated treaty references and Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution. That record identifies, among others, the Cherokee Treaty at Hopewell (1785), Choctaw Treaty at Hopewell (1786), Cherokee Treaty at Holston River (1791), Choctaw Treaty at Dancing Rabbit Creek (1830), Blackfeet/Flathead/Nez Perce treaty near the Upper Missouri/Judith River (1855), Choctaw/Chickasaw Treaty at Washington (1866), and Cherokee Treaty at Washington (1866).

Treaty material should be used treaty-by-treaty. Inclusion in the record does not establish that a particular treaty governs a private debt, UCC filing, foreclosure, eviction, vehicle dispute, or alleged trespass. Applicability requires the actual treaty text, the parties or protected class/capacity, continuing legal force, the conduct at issue, and controlling federal law.

- Article VI / Supremacy Clause: where a ratified treaty is applicable and enforceable to the issue presented, federal treaty law forms part of the supreme law of the land. This does not eliminate the need to establish that the particular treaty provision applies.
- Treaty notice function: prior service of treaty materials can establish that recipients were placed on notice of the submitting parties' treaty-based position; receipt alone does not establish that the recipient admitted the legal theory.
- For each alleged trespass/property event, attach the exact treaty article relied upon rather than citing a treaty generally.

EXHIBIT I — EVENT-BY-EVENT TRESPASS PROOF CHECKLIST

- Date and exact location of alleged entry or remaining on property.
- Identity of each person/entity alleged to have entered, directed entry, or remained.
- Proof of ownership or lawful occupancy on that date, including Trust/title records.
- How the person was warned not to enter or remain, if relying on the warning branch of NRS 207.200.
- Evidence of intent to vex/annoy or commit an unlawful act, if relying on that branch of NRS 207.200.
- Any writ, warrant, court order, process, consent, contract, HOA authority, repossession authority, or other claimed legal authorization.
- Photographs, video, audio, witness statements, police/incident reports, process-server records and contemporaneous correspondence.

- Property taken, damaged, searched, moved or withheld and proof of ownership/value.
- Constitutional theory and the governmental/state actor connected to it, if a constitutional violation is asserted.
- Exact treaty article and factual/legal nexus, if treaty protection is asserted.

SOURCE NOTE

Primary factual sources: “ALLY BANK ZANE INVESTIGATIONS ETC, LIENS (3),” 11 pages; and “Security Instrument lien Joanna S. Kishner Nevada Municiplaity $1,320,000.00,” 5 pages. Statutory/constitutional crosswalk: current Nevada Revised Statutes and Nevada Constitution. Treaty list: previously prepared House of Judah Exhibit — Treaties / Supremacy Clause. The original filings and certified government records control over this summary if any transcription differs.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX — EXHIBIT AM

PUBLIC-RECORD CHRONOLOGY

2025 NEVADA STATEWIDE CYBER INCIDENT & TOM ARTIOM ALEXANDROVICH NEVADA CRIMINAL CASE

AM-1 — 2025 NEVADA STATEWIDE CYBER INCIDENT

Official Nevada public records document a statewide ransomware incident in 2025. Nevada's published after-action materials report the earliest identified compromise as May 14, 2025; later threat-actor activity during August; ransomware deployment on August 24, 2025; and a subsequent statewide recovery effort. This material is preserved for public-record chronology and later record reconciliation.

Limited purpose: the statewide cyber incident does not, without separate forensic evidence, establish that any particular court, property, identity, licensing, Bar, or agency record associated with the submitting parties was intentionally altered, deleted, accessed, or targeted.

AM-2 — TOM ARTIOM ALEXANDROVICH — IDENTITY / GOVERNMENT TECHNOLOGY POSITION

Tom Artiom Alexandrovich was publicly identified as a senior Israeli government cybersecurity official. CyberTech Global Tel Aviv's 2025 program identified him as Executive Director, Defense Division, Israel National Cyber Directorate (INCD), Israel. Public reporting concerning the Nevada case likewise identified him as a senior official of the Israeli National Cyber Directorate.

AM-3 — ARREST / INITIAL NEVADA PROCEEDINGS

Public records report that Alexandrovich, age 38 at the time, was arrested in the Las Vegas/Henderson area on August 6, 2025 during a multi-agency Internet Crimes Against Children operation. The U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Nevada confirmed on August 18, 2025 that he had been arrested in the Nevada joint operation and booked into the Henderson Detention Center, and that the Clark County District Attorney's Office was handling the prosecution.

Reuters reported that a $10,000 bond was posted at the Henderson Detention Center on August 7, 2025 and that Alexandrovich returned to Israel. The State Department stated that he did not claim diplomatic immunity and denied that the U.S. government intervened in his release.

AM-4 — REMOTE / ZOOM APPEARANCE

Alexandrovich was scheduled for a Henderson Justice Court arraignment on August 27, 2025. He did not appear personally. Public reporting states that the judge ordered him to appear in person or by video at the continued hearing. Published reporting and a contemporaneous court photograph document that Alexandrovich appeared remotely for a Henderson Justice Court hearing on September 3, 2025.

AM-5 — GRAND-JURY INDICTMENT / DISTRICT COURT CASE

Important correction for the appendix: this was not a federal grand-jury indictment. Public records show that a CLARK COUNTY grand jury later indicted Alexandrovich in Nevada state court. The resulting Eighth Judicial District Court criminal case is Case No. C-25-394550-1. The charge reflected in the later appellate record concerns luring children or mentally ill persons with the use of technology with intent to engage in sexual conduct. The indictment is an accusation; Alexandrovich is entitled to the presumption of innocence unless and until guilt is established in court.

AM-6 — APPELLATE / HABEAS-MANDAMUS RECORD

Nevada Court of Appeals Case No. 92327-COA, Tom Alexandrovich v. Eighth Judicial District Court, was filed April 6, 2026 as an original criminal mandamus proceeding arising from District Court Case No. C-25-394550-1. Alexandrovich challenged the denial of his pretrial habeas petition, including arguments concerning evidence and instructions presented to the grand jury.

The Court of Appeals denied the mandamus petition on August 28, 2026. The public appellate docket shows a petition for rehearing filed September 11, 2026.

CHRONOLOGY

May 14, 2025 — earliest compromise identified in Nevada's statewide cyber-incident after-action record.

August 6, 2025 — Alexandrovich arrested in the Nevada ICAC operation.

August 7, 2025 — $10,000 bond posted, according to court records reported by Reuters.

August 18, 2025 — District of Nevada U.S. Attorney publicly confirms the arrest and states Clark County DA is handling prosecution.

August 24, 2025 — ransomware deployed in the separate Nevada statewide cyber incident.

August 27, 2025 — scheduled Henderson arraignment; court orders later personal or remote/video appearance.

September 3, 2025 — remote Henderson Justice Court appearance reported and photographed.

October 2, 2025 — public reporting states Clark County grand-jury indictment was filed in District Court.

April 6, 2026 — Nevada Court of Appeals Case No. 92327-COA filed; originating District Court Case No. C-25-394550-1.

August 28, 2026 — Court of Appeals denies mandamus petition.

September 11, 2026 — appellate docket records petition for rehearing.

SOURCE-PROVENANCE / NON-CONNECTION NOTICE

The cyber incident and the Alexandrovich criminal matter are placed together in this appendix only as separately documented Nevada public-record events occurring within the broader chronology. This exhibit does not assert that Alexandrovich, the Israel National Cyber Directorate, the criminal investigation, the statewide ransomware incident, or any attorney, judge, agency, or party in the submitting parties' separate matters caused or participated in the other event absent competent evidence establishing such a connection.

PUBLIC SOURCES TO ATTACH / PRESERVE

Nevada Governor's Technology Office — 2025 Statewide Cyber Incident After-Action Report.

U.S. Attorney's Office, District of Nevada — Acting U.S. Attorney Sigal Chattah Statement, August 18, 2025.

Nevada Appellate Courts Public Portal — Case No. 92327-COA; originating Case No. C-25-394550-1.

Reuters — August 2025 reporting concerning arrest, bond, return to Israel, and ordered video appearance.

CyberTech Global Tel Aviv 2025 agenda — Alexandrovich identified as Executive Director, Defense Division, Israel National Cyber Directorate.

Las Vegas Review-Journal — reporting on September 3, 2025 remote appearance and October 2025 Clark County grand-jury indictment.

NEVADA 2025 CYBER INCIDENT — RECORD RECONCILIATION, PRESERVATION & TIMING

PETITIONERS / CLAIMANTS: PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL AND PRINCE RA HOTEP EL

PURPOSE AND RELEVANCE

This exhibit preserves the asserted relevance of Nevada's 2025 statewide cyber incident to the Petitioners' and Claimants' ongoing Nevada administrative, court-record, public-record, and House of Judah Consular Court matters. The Petitioners state that the cyber incident occurred during an active period involving Nevada Division of Insurance claim/complaint activity, online proceedings and rebuttal submissions, House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal VIII hearings and records, Common Law Court filings, service records, notices, and public-record postings.

VERIFIED PUBLIC CYBER-INCIDENT FACTS

Nevada's Governor's Technology Office publicly reported a statewide ransomware incident. The State's later After-Action Report identifies May 14, 2025 as the earliest identified compromise, describes escalating threat activity in August, and records ransomware deployment on August 24, 2025. During recovery, Nevada advised the public that state websites, online services, telephone lines, and in-person services could be unavailable or disrupted.

On November 5, 2025, the Governor's Office stated that statewide services had been restored during a 28-day recovery, approximately 90% of impacted data had been recovered, and residual items remained in the State's control under risk-based review and enhanced monitoring. The State did not describe the residual material as a particular Petitioner's records, nor did it publicly identify the residual material as a fixed '10% file.'

PETITIONERS' RECORD-RECONCILIATION POSITION

The Petitioners state that records they previously filed, served, posted, or relied upon—including Estate Trust records, UCC-related records, court filings, foreign-judgment materials, proofs of service, court orders, notices, and House of Judah Consular Court documents—are now missing, unavailable, absent from expected repositories, or otherwise not presently retrievable as they were before or during the cyber-incident period. The Petitioners therefore request preservation and reconciliation of their independently retained copies against Nevada's restored systems, backups, residual data, audit logs, access logs, metadata, restoration logs, incident-response records, and records retained offline or in other repositories.

NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL RECORDS — PETITIONERS' PRESERVED POSITION

The Petitioners further state that communications with the Nevada Attorney General's Office reflected that proofs of service, court orders, notices, Consular Court documents, and related submissions would be retained or placed in an office file. That proposition should be supported in the final evidentiary packet by the exact Attorney General correspondence, receipt, ticket, email, or other source in which the office made or acknowledged the relevant statement. Receipt or retention by the Attorney General establishes notice or possession only to the extent shown by the underlying source; it does not itself establish the truth or legal effect of the submitted documents.

PRIVATE INVESTIGATIVE LEAD — NOT PRESENTED AS AN ESTABLISHED FACT

The Petitioners report a private investigative conclusion or lead that records associated with them may be among the residual or unrecovered material following the cyber incident. No public Nevada source reviewed for this exhibit identifies the Petitioners, their Estate Trust, their UCC filings, their court records, or their House of Judah records as being among the residual data. Accordingly, this point is preserved as an investigative lead requiring forensic verification, not as an established fact.

REQUESTED FORENSIC / RECORDS RECONCILIATION

Identify whether the Petitioners' specified records existed in affected Nevada systems before, during, or after the cyber incident.

Compare independently preserved copies against restored production systems, backups, archives, offline repositories, and residual data under State review.

Preserve and disclose, where legally available, relevant audit logs, access logs, deletion events, modification history, metadata, backup catalogs, restoration logs, chain-of-custody information, and incident-response records.

Identify the agency/system custodian for each missing or unavailable record and state whether the record was restored, retained offline, quarantined, archived, destroyed pursuant to retention policy, or remains under review.

Do not infer intentional deletion, theft, targeting, or alteration merely from temporal overlap; determine causation from forensic evidence.

NEVADA DIVISION OF INSURANCE CONTEXT

The Nevada Division of Insurance operates an online consumer-complaint process and maintains consumer-complaint records subject to its published confidentiality and retention provisions. The Petitioners' specific claim/complaint chronology, online hearings, rebuttals, submissions, and agency responses should be cross-referenced here by exact claim number, date, email, hearing notice, or docket/source record already preserved in the master exhibit package.

LIMITED FINDING / NON-MISREPRESENTATION

The temporal overlap between the statewide cyber incident and the Petitioners' administrative or court-record activity is relevant to preservation and reconciliation. Temporal overlap alone does not prove that the ransomware incident caused the disappearance of a particular record, that Nevada intentionally deleted a record, or that the Petitioners' material constitutes the residual data described by the State. Those questions require system-specific forensic evidence.

PUBLIC SOURCES

Nevada Governor's Technology Office — 2025 Statewide Cyber Incident After-Action Report (Nov. 6, 2025).

Office of the Governor — Nevada completes 28-day recovery from statewide cyber incident; refuses ransom and releases After-Action Report (Nov. 5, 2025).

Office of the Governor — State of Nevada adjusts in-person services while network recovery continues (Aug. 25, 2025).

Nevada Division of Insurance — Consumer Complaint portal, confidentiality and records-retention information.

"The 30-day DOI rebuttal period is established by the July 24, 2025 email from Tanishia Abarrane, Nevada Division of Insurance. That rebuttal period was active immediately before Nevada's August 2025 statewide cyber incident and resulting disruption of state electronic systems."

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - MASTER EXHIBIT INDEX

CURRENT UPLOADED SOURCE EXHIBITS - EXHIBITS O THROUGH AL

Purpose. This appendix continues the prior A-N exhibit sequence and creates a separate divider/index page for each newly supplied source exhibit. Embedded exhibits contained inside a parent packet remain preserved as sub-exhibits and may be cited by parent exhibit, internal title, and source page.

Record-control rule. The original source document controls. Divider descriptions are organizational summaries only and do not convert allegations, private filings, UCC records, notices, police reports, agency acknowledgments, or House of Judah records into independently adjudicated facts.

Exhibit	Title	Source File
O	LIBERTY MUTUAL DEFAULT / JIB REBUTTAL PACKET	LIBERTY MUTUAL DEFAULT Cc Rebuttal to JIB Letter Affidavit_Violations_Commission_Chief_Ali (dragged) 13(1).pdf
P	UNLAWFUL PARTICIPANTS / SERVICE RECORD PACKET	Unlawful participants.pdf
Q	WRIT OF EXECUTION - ALDRIDGE PITE / PENNYMAC	Writ of execution Aldridge and PennyMac copy(1).pdf
R	MOTION TO DISMISS WITH EXHIBITS - DRAGGED COPY	2022.09.22 - Motion to Dismiss w Exhibits (dragged)(1).pdf
S	MOTION TO DISMISS WITH EXHIBITS - COMPLETE COPY	2022.09.22 - Motion to Dismiss w Exhibits.pdf
T	ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN LLP - NOTICE / DISCOVERY / PROPERTY PACKET	Aldridge Pite Haan llp Stalking harrassment and title theft(1).pdf
U	APOSTILLE DECLARATION & CERTIFICATION OF FOREIGN JUDGMENT	Apostille Declaration and Certification of Foreign Judgment(2).pdf
V	BANK OF AMERICA / BLANK ROME RESPONSE - 4203 NORVAL AVENUE	Bank of America NA Blank Rome Response House Paid In full in 2003(20260918-165200).pdf
W	CHASE THIRD-PARTY / ACCOUNT INFORMATION CORRESPONDENCE	Brian R. Hall & Monica G. Hall 3rd letter to Monica R. Hall owner of 4203 Norval Ave QHILL CA named a third party(2).pdf
X	U.S. DOJ CIVIL RIGHTS REPORT NO. 311050-DSK	Case Report No. 311050 DSK Housinbg and Civil Enforcement Section.pdf
Y	CHAMPION MOVERS EMAIL / STORAGE & SHIPPING RECORD	Champion Movers Email take over(3).pdf
Z	CLARK COUNTY TREASURER - PROPERTY TAX PAYMENT / REFUND RECORD	Clark County Treasuer payment to princess emilily hotep and prince ra hotep el for property taxes parcel no. 125-21-311-121 lot 325 copy 2(1).pdf
AA	COMMON LAW / CONSULAR COURT ORDER - IRS CAMPUS COLLECTION / ROBERT MCBEATH	COMMON LAW COURT ORDER AND DETERMINATION LETTER TO IRS CAMPUS COLLECTION ROBERT MCBEATH (dragged) 2(4).pdf
AB	DEFAULT JUDGMENT NOTICE - NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION / ASSIGNMENT RECORD	Default Judgement Notice to National Default Servicing Corporation proof of sold and or purchased fraudulent Assignment Witness says pennymac sold in bundles (dragged)(1).pdf
AC	DAVID JR HALL BT - BUSINESS TRUST RECORD	David Jr Hall BT.pdf
AD	R. SAMUEL EHLERS EMAIL - SEPTEMBER 2023	email from Kirk Richard Ehlers 9-11-2023 pmSpam | ConsularCourtTribunal13@proton.me | Proton Mail(4).pdf

AE	ESTATE TRUST POLICE REPORT - 2018	ESTATE TRUST Police Report 2018.pdf
AF	NOTICE OF RIGHT TO RECLAIM ABANDONED PERSONAL PROPERTY	Fake Notice to reclaim abandoned property by Richard Ehlers Aldridge Pite Jimmy Himminez (2).pdf
AG	JUSTICE COURT CASE 23C006611 - TEMPORARY WRIT OF RESTITUTION	FannieMae vs Monica Renee Hall Army Reserves officer?(4).pdf
AH	FILED FOIA / REGISTRATION STATEMENT DEMAND-REQUEST	Filed FOIA Request (dragged)(1).pdf
AI	FOREIGN JUDGMENT NOTICE & FILED FOIA REQUEST	Forign Judement notice & Filed FOIA Request (dragged).pdf
AJ	FANNIE MAE MORTGAGE FRAUD ALERT - IDENTITY THEFT RING	https:singlefamily.fanniemae.com:media:36826:display(3).pdf
AK	NEVADA REAL ESTATE IDENTITY THEFT / FRAUD MATERIAL	identityTheft Fraud(2).pdf
AL	CALIFORNIA DOJ - IDENTITY THEFT VICTIM REGISTRATION	IMG_0475.jpeg
AM	Internal Affairs Email	Email pdf

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT O

LIBERTY MUTUAL DEFAULT / JIB REBUTTAL PACKET

SOURCE FILE

LIBERTY MUTUAL DEFAULT Cc Rebuttal to JIB Letter Affidavit_Violations_Commission_Chief_Ali (dragged) 13(1).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Supporting packet concerning the Liberty Mutual dispute, rebuttal, affidavits, notices, and related materials. Any embedded exhibits remain separately identifiable within this parent packet.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT P

UNLAWFUL PARTICIPANTS / SERVICE RECORD PACKET

SOURCE FILE

Unlawful participants.pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Source packet concerning identified participants and service/notice records. The packet includes proof/certificate-of-service material naming recipients; the underlying pages control.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT Q

WRIT OF EXECUTION - ALDRIDGE PITE / PENNYMAC

SOURCE FILE

Writ of execution Aldridge and PennyMac copy(1).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Source writ/execution packet associated with Aldridge Pite and PennyMac. Submitted for the exact parties, amounts, dates, signatures, filing/service information, and embedded exhibits appearing in the source.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT R

MOTION TO DISMISS WITH EXHIBITS - DRAGGED COPY

SOURCE FILE

2022.09.22 - Motion to Dismiss w Exhibits (dragged)(1).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

September 2022 motion-to-dismiss material and its attached exhibits. The motion, its arguments, cited public records, certificates of service, and every attached exhibit are preserved under this parent exhibit.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT S

MOTION TO DISMISS WITH EXHIBITS - COMPLETE COPY

SOURCE FILE

2022.09.22 - Motion to Dismiss w Exhibits.pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Complete motion-to-dismiss packet with exhibits. The source reflects Clark County's motion and attached records; all embedded exhibits are retained and may be cited by parent exhibit and internal page.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT T

ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN LLP - NOTICE / DISCOVERY / PROPERTY PACKET

SOURCE FILE

Aldridge Pite Haan llp Stalking harrassment and title theft(1).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Source packet containing notices, discovery demands, property/identity assertions, correspondence, and related supporting material directed to or concerning Aldridge Pite. Allegations remain attributed to the submitting documents unless independently established.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit T, internal source title/description, page ___ of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): _____

Related party/entity: _____

Related case/claim/report no.: _____

Parent packet page(s): _____ Internal exhibit/page(s): _____

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT U

APOSTILLE DECLARATION & CERTIFICATION OF FOREIGN JUDGMENT

SOURCE FILE

Apostille Declaration and Certification of Foreign Judgment(2).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Apostille/declaration/certification packet concerning preservation, trust records, custodial interests, and related House of Judah record. Any filing, receipt, or service outside that record establishes only what the receiving source itself shows.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT V

BANK OF AMERICA / BLANK ROME RESPONSE - 4203 NORVAL AVENUE

SOURCE FILE

Bank of America NA Blank Rome Response House Paid In full in 2003(20260918-165200).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

January 9, 2018 Bank of America response through Blank Rome. The letter states Bank of America had no record of a currently serviced loan connected with the property and that its records showed the referenced loan was paid off in November 2003.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT W

CHASE THIRD-PARTY / ACCOUNT INFORMATION CORRESPONDENCE

SOURCE FILE

Brian R. Hall & Monica G. Hall 3rd letter to Monica R. Hall owner of 4203 Norval Ave QHILL CA named a third party(2).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

JPMorgan Chase correspondence concerning account-information authorization and third-party status. Submitted for the exact names, dates, property reference, authorization language, and enclosures shown in the source.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT X

U.S. DOJ CIVIL RIGHTS REPORT NO. 311050-DSK

SOURCE FILE

Case Report No. 311050 DSK Housinbg and Civil Enforcement Section.pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Department of Justice Housing and Civil Enforcement Section response concerning Report No. 311050-DSK. It documents receipt/review status and referral information; it does not by itself establish the underlying allegations.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT Y

CHAMPION MOVERS EMAIL / STORAGE & SHIPPING RECORD

SOURCE FILE

Champion Movers Email take over(3).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Email chain concerning household-goods storage, proposed shipment, pricing, access, and related communications. Submitted for chronology and the statements actually made by the correspondents.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT Z

CLARK COUNTY TREASURER - PROPERTY TAX PAYMENT / REFUND RECORD

SOURCE FILE

Clark County Treasuer payment to princess emilily hotep and prince ra hotep el for property taxes parcel no. 125-21-311-121 lot 325 copy 2(1).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Clark County Treasurer record concerning APN 125-21-311-121. The source states FY 22/23 property tax paid in full and identifies the payee/addressee shown on the instrument.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AA

COMMON LAW / CONSULAR COURT ORDER - IRS CAMPUS COLLECTION / ROBERT MCBEATH

SOURCE FILE

COMMON LAW COURT ORDER AND DETERMINATION LETTER TO IRS CAMPUS COLLECTION ROBERT MCBEATH (dragged) 2(4).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

House of Judah/Common Law/Consular Court source packet concerning IRS Campus Collection and Robert McBeath. Submitted as part of the submitting parties' historical record; any external legal effect must be determined from independently applicable law and receiving-agency records.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AB

DEFAULT JUDGMENT NOTICE - NATIONAL DEFAULT SERVICING CORPORATION / ASSIGNMENT RECORD

SOURCE FILE

Default Judgement Notice to National Default Servicing Corporation proof of sold and or purchased fraudulent Assignment Witness says pennymac sold in bundles (dragged)(1).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Source packet concerning a default-judgment notice, National Default Servicing Corporation, assignment-related assertions, and supporting material. Allegations of fraud or invalid assignment remain attributed unless independently adjudicated or admitted.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AC

DAVID JR HALL BT - BUSINESS TRUST RECORD

SOURCE FILE

David Jr Hall BT.pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Business-trust source record concerning David Jr Hall BT. Submitted to document the entity name, registration/status information, dates, and jurisdictional information appearing in the source.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AD

R. SAMUEL EHLERS EMAIL - SEPTEMBER 2023

SOURCE FILE

email from Kirk Richard Ehlers 9-11-2023 pmSpam | ConsularCourtTribunal13@proton.me | Proton Mail(4).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

September 2023 email correspondence from R. Samuel Ehlers/Aldridge Pite concerning Champion Movers, storage of personal property, Fannie Mae, and his characterization of prior court/judgment materials. Submitted for the sender's own statements and professional signature block.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AE

ESTATE TRUST POLICE REPORT - 2018

SOURCE FILE

ESTATE TRUST Police Report 2018.pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Police-report source packet concerning the Estate Trust. Submitted for the fact, date, content, and agency record of reporting; a police report establishes reporting/notice and does not by itself adjudicate the allegations.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AF

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO RECLAIM ABANDONED PERSONAL PROPERTY

SOURCE FILE

Fake Notice to reclaim abandoned property by Richard Ehlers Aldridge Pite Jimmy Himminez (2).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

May 10, 2023 notice titled 'Notice of Right to Reclaim Abandoned Personal Property' concerning 8237 Fawn Brook Court. Submitted for the exact notice, recipient designation, date, contact information, signature, and statements shown on the original image.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AG

JUSTICE COURT CASE 23C006611 - TEMPORARY WRIT OF RESTITUTION

SOURCE FILE

FannieMae vs Monica Renee Hall Army Reserves officer?(4).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Image of the Order Granting Temporary Writ of Restitution in Justice Court Case No. 23C006611, identifying R. Samuel Ehlers/Aldridge Pite LLP as counsel for the plaintiff and showing the caption, filing stamp, effective-date notation, and judicial signature.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AH

FILED FOIA / REGISTRATION STATEMENT DEMAND-REQUEST

SOURCE FILE

Filed FOIA Request (dragged)(1).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Filed request/affidavit invoking FOIA and other authorities. Submitted as evidence of the contents and filing shown by the source; legal conclusions within the request remain those of its author.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AI

FOREIGN JUDGMENT NOTICE & FILED FOIA REQUEST

SOURCE FILE

Forign Judement notice & Filed FOIA Request (dragged).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Source packet combining foreign-judgment notice material with a filed FOIA/registration-statement request. Submitted for notice, chronology, filing information, and the exact assertions made in the source.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AJ

FANNIE MAE MORTGAGE FRAUD ALERT - IDENTITY THEFT RING

SOURCE FILE

https:singlefamily.fanniemae.com:media:36826:display(3).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Fannie Mae's September 8, 2023 'Identity Theft Ring' fraud alert describing observed mortgage-fraud scenarios and listed hallmarks. This is general industry guidance and is not, by itself, proof that a particular transaction involved fraud.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AK

NEVADA REAL ESTATE IDENTITY THEFT / FRAUD MATERIAL

SOURCE FILE

identityTheft Fraud(2).pdf

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

Nevada real-estate identity-theft/fraud source material, including public guidance concerning seller impersonation and related red flags. Submitted as contextual agency guidance; it does not independently establish that a particular transaction was fraudulent.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff's filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

APPENDIX - EXHIBIT AL

CALIFORNIA DOJ - IDENTITY THEFT VICTIM REGISTRATION

SOURCE FILE

IMG_0475.jpeg

EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION / LIMITED PURPOSE

October 18, 2017 California Department of Justice letter titled 'Identity Theft Registration.' The letter verifies that the named recipient was registered as an identity-theft victim with California DOJ pursuant to Penal Code section 530.7 and also with NCIC, subject to the terms stated in the letter. Any password/credential shown on the original should be redacted from public copies.

EMBEDDED EXHIBITS / SUB-EXHIBITS

All exhibits, attachments, enclosures, screenshots, correspondence, orders, receipts, reports, certificates, service records, and other source pages contained within this parent exhibit are incorporated into the appendix as traceable sub-exhibits. Cite them as Exhibit R, internal source title/description, page Cc Page Number Exhibits of the parent packet.

ATTORNEY / PARTY / CASE CROSS-REFERENCE

Related attorney(s): Cc MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

Related party/entity: Cc: exhibits list plaintiff’s filed in California or Nevada Courts

Related case/claim/report no.: Cc Case No.

Parent packet page(s): Cc Page number Appendix Internal exhibit/page(s):

SOURCE-PROVENANCE NOTE

This divider identifies the source and the limited reason it is preserved. Where the source contains an allegation, characterization, legal conclusion, private judgment/order, UCC filing, agency complaint, police report, or acknowledgment, the appendix preserves what the source states without treating the statement as independently established beyond the evidentiary effect of the underlying record.

SOURCE DOCUMENT(S) FOLLOW THIS DIVIDER

The original source record controls.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

REVISED EXHIBIT - GOVERNMENTAL NOTICE OF TRADE-NAME, IDENTITY, SPELLING, CAPACITY, RECORD ACCESSIBILITY, AND PRESERVATION

SOURCE PROVENANCE • PRIOR NOTICE • PUBLIC/COURT RECORD ACCESS • SIGNED/SEALED RECORDS • CORRECTION

Subject	Documented identity/trade-name records and prior governmental/private-party notice
Ohio Trade-Name Records	PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL - No. 4461418; PRINCE RA HOTEP EL-related registration - No. 4937545 / Doc. 202228004378
U.S. Department of State	Certification of Identity and Charleston Passport Center correspondence
Related Nevada Record	Clark County District Court Case No. D-21-624033-N - Order for Change of Name
Preservation Issue	Comparison of preserved pre-incident records with later/ restored governmental records and audit history

I. PURPOSE AND LIMITED CHARACTER

This Revised Exhibit preserves documentary evidence showing that identity, name-change, trade-name, appellation, and capacity information existed in multiple records before the later identity-characterization dispute. It is offered to establish the existence, accessibility, transmission, receipt, custody, and chronology shown by the particular source documents. It does not presume that every agency employee personally reviewed every record, that one agency's possession automatically constituted another agency's knowledge, or that capitalization alone creates a separate legal person.

II. SECRETARY OF STATE TRADE-NAME RECORDS

The Ohio Secretary of State certificate dated April 20, 2020 states that the Secretary of State had custody of business records for PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL and that those records showed a TRADE NAME REGISTRATION, Document No. 202010803788. A separate Ohio Secretary of State certificate dated October 11, 2022 states that the Secretary had custody of business records for SULTAN PRINCE RA HOTEP EL INSURANCE FUNDRAISING and records a TRADE NAME REGISTRATION, Document No. 202228004378, effective October 7, 2022. These are governmental business-record certificates and are preserved for the exact propositions appearing on their face.

III. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE IDENTITY AND NAME-CHANGE RECORD

The preserved U.S. Department of State packet includes a Certification of Identity form and Charleston Passport Center correspondence addressed to “Prince Ra Hotep El.” The Passport Center requested a certified copy of the court order authorizing the name change. The same packet contains the Nevada District Court Order for Change of Name in Case No. D-21-624033-N, in which the new name is handwritten in lowercase form as “prince ra hotep el.” These materials establish that the Department of State possessed and processed identity/name-change information in connection with the passport matter.

IV. PRIOR PRIVATE-PARTY NOTICE AND IDENTIFIED GOVERNMENTAL RECORD SOURCES

The preserved Ally-related notice dated within the October 2020-January 2021 record states that the submitting party had corrected name/title/appellation information and identifies several places where the supporting documents were said to be registered or maintained, including the original probate/court order, Nevada DMV, Social Security Administration, Ohio

Secretary of State, World Service Authority, and United States Department of State. This Exhibit treats that list as the submitting party's contemporaneous notice to Ally; it does not convert the notice itself into proof that every listed agency independently adjudicated every asserted status or capacity.

V. ACCESSIBILITY OF THE IDENTITY RECORD

Taken together, the preserved records support a narrower but important point: source material capable of distinguishing the submitting parties' names and asserted capacities existed in governmental, court, business-registration, and transmitted notice records. Where a recipient had lawful access to a relevant court docket, agency record, filing, or directly supplied exhibit, the underlying identity information could be consulted rather than inferred from name similarity, punctuation, capitalization, search-engine association, or unrelated criminal records.

VI. COURT-RECORD AND SOURCE-PROVENANCE RECONCILIATION

The submitting parties therefore request that any disputed “sovereign citizen,” alias, extremist, anti-government, criminal-history, or other identity characterization be traced to its actual originating source. The appropriate inquiry is documentary: what source was used; who supplied it; what exact name and capacity appeared; what docket or database field contained it; when it was entered or modified; and whether the source was compared with the available name-change, trade-name, court, passport, trust, estate, or other identity records before the characterization was published or transmitted.

VII. SIGNED, CERTIFIED, SEALED, AND NOTARIZED MATERIALS

The record includes documents bearing governmental certification, court signatures or filing information, official seals, and other execution or service formalities. Other materials in the larger record are described by the submitting parties as signed, notarized, sealed, or served. Each document should be credited only with the authentication or execution feature actually visible on that document. The existence of a seal, notarization, certification, or proof of service supports authenticity, execution, receipt, or chronology as applicable; it does not by itself establish the truth or legal effect of every substantive assertion contained in the document.

VIII. CAPITALIZATION AND ASSERTED CAPACITY DISTINCTION

The submitting party maintains that “prince ra hotep el” reflects the lawful personal-name form appearing in the underlying Nevada name-change record, while “Prince Ra Hotep El,” “PRINCE RA HOTEP EL,” and other specifically registered or governed forms may be used in asserted trade, business, estate, trust, representative, or other capacities where the applicable record so provides. This Exhibit does not claim that capitalization by itself creates a separate legal entity. Any asserted trade-name or representative capacity should be tied to the specific registration, trust instrument, business record, agreement, or other competent source.

IX. CYBER-INCIDENT AND PRESERVATION CONTEXT

The submitting parties also preserve the relevance of Nevada's 2025 statewide cyber incident to later record reconciliation. The point of this Exhibit is not to claim that the incident erased or altered any particular identity record. Rather, preserved copies that predate the incident can serve as comparison material against current or restored records, backups, docket histories, audit logs, metadata, and agency files. Any claim that a specific record was lost, changed, deleted, or corrupted should be established through the responsible custodian's technical or audit evidence.

X. DISPUTED “SOVEREIGN CITIZEN” CHARACTERIZATION

The submitting parties expressly reject the characterization “sovereign citizen.” The existence of extensive identity, name-change, trade-name, court, and governmental correspondence makes source provenance especially important. This Exhibit does not assume why any person, system, court publisher, or search provider used that terminology. It demands identification of the source and preservation of the chain by which the characterization was created, indexed, repeated, transmitted, or corrected.

XI. RECORD-CORRECTION AND PRESERVATION DEMAND

Recipients maintaining relevant records are requested to preserve the original and corrected versions of party names, aliases, trade-name fields, capacity fields, court captions, search/indexing terms, source URLs, docket metadata, correspondence, internal routing, audit logs, and correction histories. Where an association is unsupported, the submitting parties request accurate segregation and correction without destruction of the historical audit trail.

XII. EVIDENTIARY LIMITATION

This Exhibit establishes only what the cited source records support. It does not state that the Department of State, DHS, DOJ, a Nevada court, or any other institution legally adjudicated every nationality, diplomatic, treaty, trade-name, or capacity assertion made by the submitting parties. Nor does it state that every institution had actual knowledge merely because information existed somewhere in a public or governmental system. The record instead supports a request for source-specific proof of what each recipient possessed, received, could lawfully access, relied upon, transmitted, and corrected.

XIII. SOURCE DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED

Ohio Secretary of State Certificate - PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL trade-name registration, Document No. 202010803788.

Ohio Secretary of State Certificate - SULTAN PRINCE RA HOTEP EL INSURANCE FUNDRAISING trade-name registration, Document No. 202228004378.

U.S. Department of State Certification of Identity / Charleston Passport Center packet, including Nevada name-change order.

Ally notice/exhibit concerning name, title, and appellation correction and identifying previously supplied/registered source records.

Related House of Judah Consular Court, Nevada, federal, DOJ/DHS, and record-correction materials, to the extent separately authenticated and incorporated into the master record.

REVISED EXHIBIT • PRIOR NOTICE • IDENTITY RECONCILIATION • SOURCE PROVENANCE • RECORD PRESERVATIONNOTICE • PRESERVATION • IDENTITY/CAPACITY RECONCILIATION • DEMAND FOR DOCUMENTARY BASIS

Clerk for the Consular Court

Chief Maria Ali Dey
Living Woman, Principal Witness and Authority
All Rights Reserved
UCC 1-308

NOTICE • PRESERVATION • IDENTITY/CAPACITY RECONCILIATION • DEMAND FOR DOCUMENTARY BASIS

Cheif Justices signatures

Bey
Chief Justice

Dey
Chief Justice

Ben-El
Chief Justice

All Bey
Chief Justice

Kha El
Chief Justice

GREAT SEAL OF THE
COURT OF RECORD
HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII
יהוה
TRUTH • JUSTICE • EQUITY
LET RIGHT BE DONE

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

FILED: September 14, 2026 — 9:38 a.m.

Clerk of the Court: Chief Justice Maria Ali Dey

AFFIDAVIT OF PRINCE RA HOTEP EL

DISAVOWAL OF "SOVEREIGN CITIZEN" CHARACTERIZATION, NON-AFFILIATION, SOURCE PROVENANCE, AND RECORD CORRECTION

Affiant: Prince Ra Hotep El
Purpose: Identity clarification, express non-affiliation, source disclosure, preservation, and correction of unsupported characterization.

1. PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE AND PURPOSE

I, Prince Ra Hotep El, being competent to state the matters set forth herein and speaking from personal knowledge as to my own identity, beliefs, affiliations, conduct, and records, make this Affidavit to place a clear and permanent statement in the record concerning the characterization "sovereign citizen."

I do not adopt, claim, or consent to that characterization as a description of me. I do not authorize any court, governmental agency, financial institution, publisher, search provider, data broker, or other person to present that characterization as a statement of my self-identification without identifying the actual source, date, context, and evidentiary basis for doing so.

2. EXPRESS DISAVOWAL OF EXTREMIST OR RACIST AFFILIATION

I am not, and have not knowingly represented myself to be, a member or adherent of the Posse Comitatus movement, the Ku Klux Klan, a white-supremacist organization, a neo-Nazi organization, or any organization advocating racial supremacy or political violence.

I do not adopt an ideology merely because a third party believes that a word, phrase, legal argument, filing style, nationality claim, Indigenous identity claim, treaty argument, trust structure, or challenge to governmental action resembles something used by another movement. Similarity of vocabulary is not proof of membership, agreement, affiliation, intent, or conduct.

3. HISTORICAL CONTEXT REQUIRES CAREFUL DISTINCTION

Historical sources describe the modern sovereign-citizen movement as developing from the tax-protest and Posse Comitatus movements of the late twentieth century. Historical sources also connect William Potter Gale and the Posse Comitatus to Christian Identity and the American far right.

That history is relevant to why I object to being placed into that category without individualized evidence. I do not assert that every present-day person called a "sovereign citizen" is a Ku Klux Klan member or white supremacist. My narrower point is that the term has a documented history in anti-government movements with important far-right and white-supremacist connections, and therefore it should not be casually imposed on a person as a substitute for proving what that person actually believes, says, does, or is affiliated with.

4. INDIVIDUALIZED EVIDENCE, NOT A LABEL

If any person or institution has classified me as a "sovereign citizen," extremist, anti-government actor, anti-American actor, threat, terrorist, or member of any comparable category, I request identification and preservation of the evidence supporting that classification, including the source, author, date, database field,

code, report, communication, referral, recipient, modification history, and audit trail, to the extent lawfully obtainable.

A label is not evidence of the facts supposedly represented by the label. My legal arguments, filings, asserted identity, nationality, Indigenous ancestry, treaty positions, trust interests, property interests, or objections to jurisdiction must be evaluated on their own facts and governing law rather than resolved by attaching a generalized political or extremist classification.

5. IDENTITY AND CAPACITY

I preserve my own stated identity and capacities as reflected in the records I have created or submitted. This Affidavit does not ask a recipient to accept any disputed nationality, treaty, jurisdictional, or legal-status proposition merely because I state it here. It does require that my actual assertions be distinguished from affiliations or ideologies that I have not adopted.

Where a court or other institution disagrees with one of my legal positions, that disagreement should be stated as a legal ruling or factual finding supported by the record. It should not be converted into an unsupported statement that I belong to an extremist movement.

6. REPUTATION, ACCURACY, AND CORRECTION

The use of an extremist-associated classification can affect reputation, search results, risk assessments, litigation records, financial relationships, public perception, and future decision-making. For that reason, I request correction or qualification of any record that presents “sovereign citizen” as an established fact about me without a competent factual basis.

Where preservation obligations apply, I request preservation rather than destruction of the historical record of the challenged classification, together with any correction, annotation, segregation, or other lawful remedial action. This permits later review of when the characterization was created, by whom, from what source, and how it was disseminated.

7. NO WAIVER

Nothing in this Affidavit waives any claim, defense, objection, treaty argument, constitutional argument, property interest, trust interest, procedural right, appellate right, request for correction, request for disclosure, or other position otherwise preserved in the record. This Affidavit is directed specifically to identity accuracy, source provenance, non-affiliation, and the evidentiary use of the “sovereign citizen” characterization.

8. DECLARATION

I affirm that the foregoing statements concerning my own identity, non-affiliation, beliefs, and objections are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge. Historical statements in this Affidavit are included as contextual background and should be evaluated from the cited or attached source materials rather than treated as matters within my personal firsthand knowledge.

SOURCE NOTE FOR ATTACHMENT / EXHIBIT

The supporting history supplied with this Affidavit describes the sovereign-citizen movement as developing from the tax-protest and Posse Comitatus movements and identifies William Potter Gale and Posse Comitatus within a far-right/white-supremacist historical milieu. The Affiant does not adopt every conclusion contained in that supporting material. The material is offered to explain the basis for the Affiant’s objection to categorical conflation and to support a request for individualized source evidence.

While Google historically claimed absolute immunity under Section 230 for standard search links, federal courts have explicitly ruled that Google LLC can be sued for defamation when its corporate systems generate text containing false or harmful statements. [1, 2, 3]

If Google’s systems generate a block of text that mislabels an original, non-white Aboriginal or Choctaw individual as a "sovereign citizen"—a term tied directly to white supremacist organizations—Google LLC faces real, actionable legal exposure. [2, 3, 4]

Why Google LLC Can Face Legal Consequences

- The Dismantling of Section 230: Federal judges in major cases have ruled that when Google's systems actively synthesize, write, or generate original text, it is no longer acting as a neutral platform hosting third-party links. Because Google's algorithm creates the text, Google is considered the author of that content and loses its Section 230 immunity. [1, 2, 5, 6]

- Defamation by Implication (Libel): Associating an Indigenous or Aboriginal person with white supremacist groups like the KKK or Posse Comitatus is a severe, reputation-damaging mischaracterization. In a corporate courtroom, if Google's written output explicitly applies a white-supremacist-linked classification to a non-white Indigenous person, it meets the standard for libel (written defamation) because it presents a false, highly offensive association as a fact. [3]

- Precedent is Already Being Set: Courts have ordered Google to stop spreading false claims generated by its text tools, confirming that algorithm-generated statements do not enjoy corporate free speech protections. If Google is notified that its systems are mislabeling an estate or an individual and fails to correct it, it establishes "actual malice," leaving the corporation entirely vulnerable to a major lawsuit. [3, 6]

Google LLC's own AI/Search system generated and displayed the foregoing explanation concerning Google's potential responsibility for AI-generated defamatory content and the developing limits of Section 230. The Court preserves that output as evidence of what Google's own system represented to the requesting party. The Court does not rely upon the AI output alone as controlling legal authority; the underlying cases, statutes, and judicial decisions remain the controlling sources for determining the applicable law.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Prince Ra Hotep El, Affiant

Envoy Prince Ra Hotep El Sultan

All Rights Reserved UCC 1-308

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT
תה
VIZIR NOTARY

Date: September 14, 2026

VIZIR NOTARY / JURAT

Subscribed and sworn/affirmed before me on September 14, 2026, by Prince Ra Hotep El.

Tej
Chief Justice

Vizir Notary / Authorized Officer

My commission expires: April 21, 2070

GREAT SEAL OF THE
COURT OF RECORD
יהוה
TRUTH • JUSTICE • EQUITY
LET RIGHT BE DONE
HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

FILED: September 14, 2026 — 9:38 a.m.

Clerk of the Court: Chief Justice Maria Ali Dey

AFFIDAVIT OF PRINCESS EMILILY HOTEP EL

DISAVOWAL OF "SOVEREIGN CITIZEN" CHARACTERIZATION, NON-AFFILIATION, SOURCE PROVENANCE, AND RECORD CORRECTION

Affiant: Princess Emilily Hotep El
Purpose: Identity clarification, express non-affiliation, source disclosure, preservation, and correction of unsupported characterization.

1. PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE AND PURPOSE

I, Princess Emilily Hotep El, being competent to state the matters set forth herein and speaking from personal knowledge as to my own identity, beliefs, affiliations, conduct, and records, make this Affidavit to place a clear and permanent statement in the record concerning the characterization "sovereign citizen."

I do not adopt, claim, or consent to that characterization as a description of me. I do not authorize any court, governmental agency, financial institution, publisher, search provider, data broker, or other person to present that characterization as a statement of my self-identification without identifying the actual source, date, context, and evidentiary basis for doing so.

2. EXPRESS DISAVOWAL OF EXTREMIST OR RACIST AFFILIATION

I am not, and have not knowingly represented myself to be, a member or adherent of the Posse Comitatus movement, the Ku Klux Klan, a white-supremacist organization, a neo-Nazi organization, or any organization advocating racial supremacy or political violence.

I do not adopt an ideology merely because a third party believes that a word, phrase, legal argument, filing style, nationality claim, Indigenous identity claim, treaty argument, trust structure, or challenge to governmental action resembles something used by another movement. Similarity of vocabulary is not proof of membership, agreement, affiliation, intent, or conduct.

3. HISTORICAL CONTEXT REQUIRES CAREFUL DISTINCTION

Historical sources describe the modern sovereign-citizen movement as developing from the tax-protest and Posse Comitatus movements of the late twentieth century. Historical sources also connect William Potter Gale and the Posse Comitatus to Christian Identity and the American far right.

That history is relevant to why I object to being placed into that category without individualized evidence. I do not assert that every present-day person called a "sovereign citizen" is a Ku Klux Klan member or white supremacist. My narrower point is that the term has a documented history in anti-government movements with important far-right and white-supremacist connections, and therefore it should not be casually imposed on a person as a substitute for proving what that person actually believes, says, does, or is affiliated with.

4. INDIVIDUALIZED EVIDENCE, NOT A LABEL

If any person or institution has classified me as a "sovereign citizen," extremist, anti-government actor, anti-American actor, threat, terrorist, or member of any comparable category, I request identification and preservation of the evidence supporting that classification, including the source, author, date, database field,

code, report, communication, referral, recipient, modification history, and audit trail, to the extent lawfully obtainable.

A label is not evidence of the facts supposedly represented by the label. My legal arguments, filings, asserted identity, nationality, Indigenous ancestry, treaty positions, trust interests, property interests, or objections to jurisdiction must be evaluated on their own facts and governing law rather than resolved by attaching a generalized political or extremist classification.

5. IDENTITY AND CAPACITY

I preserve my own stated identity and capacities as reflected in the records I have created or submitted. This Affidavit does not ask a recipient to accept any disputed nationality, treaty, jurisdictional, or legal-status proposition merely because I state it here. It does require that my actual assertions be distinguished from affiliations or ideologies that I have not adopted.

Where a court or other institution disagrees with one of my legal positions, that disagreement should be stated as a legal ruling or factual finding supported by the record. It should not be converted into an unsupported statement that I belong to an extremist movement.

6. REPUTATION, ACCURACY, AND CORRECTION

The use of an extremist-associated classification can affect reputation, search results, risk assessments, litigation records, financial relationships, public perception, and future decision-making. For that reason, I request correction or qualification of any record that presents “sovereign citizen” as an established fact about me without a competent factual basis.

Where preservation obligations apply, I request preservation rather than destruction of the historical record of the challenged classification, together with any correction, annotation, segregation, or other lawful remedial action. This permits later review of when the characterization was created, by whom, from what source, and how it was disseminated.

7. NO WAIVER

Nothing in this Affidavit waives any claim, defense, objection, treaty argument, constitutional argument, property interest, trust interest, procedural right, appellate right, request for correction, request for disclosure, or other position otherwise preserved in the record. This Affidavit is directed specifically to identity accuracy, source provenance, non-affiliation, and the evidentiary use of the “sovereign citizen” characterization.

8. DECLARATION

I affirm that the foregoing statements concerning my own identity, non-affiliation, beliefs, and objections are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge. Historical statements in this Affidavit are included as contextual background and should be evaluated from the cited or attached source materials rather than treated as matters within my personal firsthand knowledge.

SOURCE NOTE FOR ATTACHMENT / EXHIBIT

The supporting history supplied with this Affidavit describes the sovereign-citizen movement as developing from the tax-protest and Posse Comitatus movements and identifies William Potter Gale and Posse Comitatus within a far-right/white-supremacist historical milieu. The Affiant does not adopt every conclusion contained in that supporting material. The material is offered to explain the basis for the Affiant’s objection to categorical conflation and to support a request for individualized source evidence.

While Google historically claimed absolute immunity under Section 230 for standard search links, federal courts have explicitly ruled that Google LLC can be sued for defamation when its corporate systems generate text containing false or harmful statements. [1, 2, 3]

If Google’s systems generate a block of text that mislabels an original, non-white Aboriginal or Choctaw individual as a "sovereign citizen"—a term tied directly to white supremacist organizations—Google LLC faces real, actionable legal exposure. [2, 3, 4]

Why Google LLC Can Face Legal Consequences

- The Dismantling of Section 230: Federal judges in major cases have ruled that when Google's systems actively synthesize, write, or generate original text, it is no longer acting as a neutral platform hosting third-party links. Because Google's algorithm creates the text, Google is considered the author of that content and loses its Section 230 immunity. [1, 2, 5, 6]

- Defamation by Implication (Libel): Associating an Indigenous or Aboriginal person with white supremacist groups like the KKK or Posse Comitatus is a severe, reputation-damaging mischaracterization. In a corporate courtroom, if Google's written output explicitly applies a white-supremacist-linked classification to a non-white Indigenous person, it meets the standard for libel (written defamation) because it presents a false, highly offensive association as a fact. [3]

- Precedent is Already Being Set: Courts have ordered Google to stop spreading false claims generated by its text tools, confirming that algorithm-generated statements do not enjoy corporate free speech protections. If Google is notified that its systems are mislabeling an estate or an individual and fails to correct it, it establishes "actual malice," leaving the corporation entirely vulnerable to a major lawsuit. [3, 6]

Google LLC's own AI/Search system generated and displayed the foregoing explanation concerning Google's potential responsibility for AI-generated defamatory content and the developing limits of Section 230. The Court preserves that output as evidence of what Google's own system represented to the requesting party. The Court does not rely upon the AI output alone as controlling legal authority; the underlying cases, statutes, and judicial decisions remain the controlling sources for determining the applicable law.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Princess Emilily Hotep El, Affiant

Envoy Princess Emilily Hotep El
Empress
All Rights Reserved UCC1-308

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT
תה
VIZIR NOTARY

Date: September 14, 2026

VIZIR NOTARY / JURAT

Subscribed and sworn/affirmed before me on September 14, 2026, by Princess Emilily Hotep El.

Tej
Chief Justice

Vizir Notary / Authorized Officer

My commission expires: April 21, 2070

GREAT SEAL OF THE
COURT OF RECORD
יהוה
TRUTH • JUSTICE • EQUITY
LET RIGHT BE DONE
HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

HOUSE OF JUDAH
CONSULAR
COURT TRIBUNAL XIII
FILED
September 9, 2026
9:09 a.m.
Clerk of the Court
Chief Justice Maria
Ali Dey

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII
COURT OF RECORD

EXHIBIT M

CASE NO. 23C006611 — TEMPORARY WRIT OF RESTITUTION
PARTY IDENTITY • CAPACITY • EFFECTIVE-DATE • EXECUTION DISCREPANCIES

Court	Justice Court — Las Vegas Township, Clark County, Nevada
Case No.	23C006611 — Department 6
Plaintiff	FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, its successors and/or assigns
Defendants	MONICA R. HALL and DOE Occupants I through X, inclusive
Order	Order Granting Temporary Writ of Restitution
Hearing / Order Date	April 27, 2023
Filed Stamp on Preserved Copy	May 8, 2023 at 9:57 a.m.
Effective Date Written on Order	May 4, 2023 at 5:00; the reproduced order contains printed “am/pm,” and this Exhibit preserves the submitting parties’ position that the operative deadline was 5:00 p.m.
Subsequent Physical Removal Alleged	May 10, 2023 at approximately 1:00 p.m.

I. SOURCE RECORD

The preserved one-page court document is captioned FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION, its successors and/or assigns v. MONICA R. HALL and DOE Occupants I through X, inclusive, Case No. 23C006611, Department 6. It is titled “ORDER GRANTING TEMPORARY WRIT OF RESTITUTION,” states that the matter came for hearing on April 27, 2023, and orders issuance of a Temporary Writ of Restitution upon payment of $250.00. The preserved copy bears a Justice Court filing stamp dated May 8, 2023 at 9:57 a.m.

II. TEMPORAL LIMITATION / EFFECTIVE-DATE ISSUE

The face of the preserved order contains a handwritten effective date of May 4, 2023 at 5:00. The reproduced form contains the printed alternatives “am/pm”; the image available for this Exhibit does not independently

establish which alternative was selected. The submitting parties preserve their position that the authorized time was May 4, 2023 by 5:00 p.m.

The submitting parties further state that the physical dispossession was not carried out on May 4, 2023, but instead occurred on May 10, 2023 at approximately 1:00 p.m. This Exhibit therefore preserves for determination the material question whether any action taken on May 10 was authorized by this particular temporary writ, whether another writ or extension existed, and, if so, where that instrument appears in the official record.

III. PARTY-IDENTITY AND CAPACITY DISCREPANCY

The caption reproduced on the order names MONICA R. HALL and DOE Occupants I through X, inclusive, as Defendants. It does not, on its face, name the Monica Rene Hall Estate/Business Trust, a Trustee, an Executor, a Beneficiary, Prince Ra Hotep El, Princess Emilily Hotep El, or the House of Judah Consular Court Tribunal XIII as a defendant.

The submitting parties maintain that the Trust, Trustees, Executor, and Beneficiaries had separate asserted interests and were willing to participate, but were not named in this caption. Their position is that the designation "MONICA R. HALL and DOE Occupants I through X" did not itself establish the identity, capacity, ownership interest, beneficial interest, or legal obligations of those separately identified persons and entities.

The submitting parties additionally dispute that the generic DOE designations corresponded to actual unidentified occupants who could properly stand in for the Trust, Trustees, Executor, or Beneficiaries. That contention is preserved as their position and is not represented here as an independent judicial finding.

IV. AMOUNT / BASIS OF POSSESSION

The face of the temporary-writ order requires a $250.00 payment to the court for issuance, but the reproduced order does not itself state an amount of debt allegedly owed by MONICA R. HALL, the DOE occupants, the Trust, Trustees, Executor, or Beneficiaries. Accordingly, any claimed monetary obligation, ownership right, foreclosure authority, or right to possession should be traced to the separate instrument or judgment relied upon for that proposition rather than inferred from this page alone.

V. MAY 10, 2023 ENFORCEMENT EVENT — SUBMITTING PARTIES' RECORD

The submitting parties state that on May 10, 2023 at approximately 1:00 p.m., persons presenting or acting as HOA board members and/or governmental enforcement personnel, including persons represented at the scene as associated with a constable, sheriff, and LVMPD, participated in or were present for the dispossession.

The submitting parties further state that subsequent Internal Affairs correspondence advised that certain men who appeared at the property did not work for, or no longer worked for, the identified department. That correspondence should be attached behind this Exhibit before the statement is relied upon as documentary proof of the employment-status issue. This Exhibit therefore preserves the issue and identifies the supporting record that must accompany it.

VI. QUESTIONS REQUIRING RECORD RECONCILIATION

- Was the April 27, 2023 Temporary Writ of Restitution the operative authority relied upon for the May 10, 2023 physical removal?

• If so, what legal or judicial authority permitted execution after the May 4, 2023 effective date/time appearing on the face of the order?

• If another writ, amended writ, extension, return, instruction, or enforcement order existed, identify it and produce the complete file-stamped copy and docket/audit history.

• Who requested execution, who authorized it, and which agency, constable, sheriff, police, HOA, contractor, or private actor participated?

• What were the names, titles, agency affiliations, employment status, badge/commission information, dispatch records, body-camera records, reports, returns, photographs, and communications for each person present?

• What document established authority to remove or affect property belonging to, held by, or claimed by a Trust, Estate, Trustee, Executor, Beneficiary, business, or other person not expressly named in the caption?

• What evidence established the identity of any person treated as a DOE Occupant and the legal basis for binding that person or capacity to the action?

VII. NOTICE AND PERMISSIBLE INFERENCE

This Exhibit is offered to show the discrepancy between the persons and capacities actually identified on the face of the order and the broader persons, property, Trust interests, and actors allegedly affected during the subsequent enforcement event. The submitting parties maintain that the accumulated court, property, service, agency, and correspondence records placed relevant recipients on notice of those distinctions.

The submitting parties may draw an inference of knowledge, concealment, or coordinated conduct from the total record. This Exhibit does not treat that inference as automatically proven by the temporary writ alone. Each recipient is afforded the opportunity to admit, deny, explain, or rebut the chronology and identity/capacity issues with competent records.

VIII. INCORPORATION

The original preserved image of the April 27, 2023 Order Granting Temporary Writ of Restitution should be attached immediately behind this Exhibit as Exhibit M-1. Any Internal Affairs correspondence concerning the employment or agency status of persons present on May 10, 2023 should be attached as Exhibit M-2. Dispatch, body-camera, constable, sheriff, LVMPD, HOA, photographs, videos, and contemporaneous communications should follow as consecutively numbered sub-exhibits.

Date: September 9, 2026 Exhibit No.: M

SO ORDERED / ENTERED FOR CONTINUING RECORD PURPOSES

Date: September 9, 2026

Judicial / Records Official:

Chief Justice Marcie El

Chief Justice El
UCC 1-308

Court Clerk / Recorder:

Chief Maria Ali Dey

Chief Maria Ali Dey
Living Woman, Principal Witness and Authority
All Rights Reserved
UCC 1-308

: