

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

FORMAL TRANSMITTAL COVER PAGE

STATE BAR OF NEVADA — OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

TO	State Bar of Nevada — Office of Bar Counsel
SOUTHERN NEVADA ADDRESS	3100 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
TELEPHONE	(702) 382-2200
TOLL-FREE	1-800-254-2797
RE	Attorney Discipline Complaints — Documented Counsel and Law-Firm Context
PRIMARY NEVADA CASE	A-21-843907-C
RELATED FEDERAL CASE	2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA
RELATED APPELLATE CASE	U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit — No. 22-70183

RESPONDENT ATTORNEYS / LAW-FIRM CONTEXT

Richard S. Ehlers, Esq.; Cuong M. Nguyen, Esq.; Eddie R. Jimenez, Esq.; John M. Becker, Esq.; R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.; John E. Leach, Esq.; Aldridge Pite LLP / Aldridge Pite Haan LLP; and other counsel only to the extent their individual participation is established by the attached source record.

DIRECT LINK — CONTINUED COURT ORDER

[OPEN: CONTINUED ORDER & FINDING OF VOID-AB-INITIO DEFECTS — 5-PAGE COURT ORDER](https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/Continued_Void_Ab_Initio_Order_5_Page_2026.pdf)

https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/Continued_Void_Ab_Initio_Order_5_Page_2026.pdf

COMPLETE SUPPORTING COURT RECORD

[OPEN COMPLETE HOUSE OF JUDAH COURT RECORD](https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/hoj-consular-court-complete-record-2026.pdf)

<https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/hoj-consular-court-complete-record-2026.pdf>

PURPOSE OF TRANSMITTAL

This cover page accompanies the attorney-discipline complaint package and supporting exhibits. The linked House of Judah Consular Court order and complete record are supplied as source materials showing the positions, notices, chronology, identity/capacity issues, and evidentiary record relied upon by the complainants. Receipt or review of those materials does not itself constitute adoption or enforcement of the House of Judah determinations by the State Bar of Nevada.

REQUEST

Please associate this cover page with the individual attorney complaints and review each attorney's documented conduct under the Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct. The underlying documents, rather than summaries or characterizations, are intended to control the factual review.

DATE RECEIVED:	BAR FILE / REFERENCE NO.:
ROUTING / NOTES: _____	

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

APPENDIX - DOCUMENT / EXHIBIT PAGE INDEX

STATE BAR OF NEVADA - ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

FORMAL_State_Bar_of_Nevada_Attorney_Discipline_Complaints_Aldridge_Pite_All_Counsel_2026.pdf - 13 pages

INDEX NOTE. Page numbers below refer to the PDF page number in the 13-page State Bar of Nevada attorney-discipline package. The package itself identifies a separate complaint process for each attorney and contains an internal Exhibit Index A-K. Those internal exhibit letters are preserved as stated in the source document.

INDEX NO.	DOCUMENT / SECTION NAME	PDF PAGE(S)
1	Formal Transmittal Cover Page - State Bar of Nevada, Office of Bar Counsel	1
2	Exhibit - Attorney Registration, Licensure, Practice Authority & Professional-Address Verification Request	2-3
3	Supplemental Exhibit - Official Practice-Eligibility Verification Request	4-5
4	Master Attorney-Discipline Complaint Package - Aldridge Pite LLP / Aldridge Pite Haan LLP and Documented Counsel	6-11
4A	Complainants / Underlying Matters	6
4B	Respondent Attorneys - Documented in Present Record	6-7
4C	Law Firm / Organizational Context	7
4D	Core Grievance	7
4E	Specific Documented Issues for Investigation	7-8
4F	Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct - Issues to Evaluate	8
4G	Attorney-Specific Complaint Sheets - Richard S. Ehlers; Cuong M. Nguyen; Eddie R. Jimenez; John M. Becker; R. Samuel Ehlers; John E. Leach	9-10
4H	Internal Exhibit Index A-K	10-11
4I	Attestation	11
4J	Filing Note	11
5	Supplemental Exhibit - Official Practice-Eligibility Verification Request (duplicate/repeated copy in compiled PDF)	12-13

INTERNAL EXHIBIT INDEX A-K (AS STATED IN MASTER COMPLAINT)

EXHIBIT	NAME / DESCRIPTION
A	Complete A-21-843907-C docket identifying attorneys, appearances, default, satisfaction entries, removal, and relevant motions.
B	Complaint, summons, proof/return of service, default application and default judgment materials.

C	Identity/name-change/trade-name/capacity records actually provided to counsel or filed in the matter, with proof of date and receipt.
D	Trust, title, assessor, DMV, vehicle, and property records relevant to the exact property or debtor targeted.
E	Satisfaction, tender, payment, discharge, or creditor-authority records actually communicated to counsel.
F	June 18, 2022 Notice of Removal and relevant federal docket materials in 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA.
G	Exact emails, letters, notices, and service records between complainants and each respondent attorney.
H	January 5, 2021 John E. Leach/LKG HOA counsel letter and referenced November 11, 2020 communication.
I	Exact Justice Court No. 23C006611 pleading identifying R. Samuel Ehlers/Aldridge Pite LLP and the represented party.
J	Representation / Knowledge / Identity-Notice / Source-Provenance Matrix, used as an index only; underlying documents control.
K	Prior complaints, agency receipts, or attorney-discipline correspondence, if any, showing earlier notice to a particular respondent.

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

EXHIBIT — ATTORNEY REGISTRATION, LICENSURE, PRACTICE AUTHORITY & PROFESSIONAL-ADDRESS VERIFICATION REQUEST STATE BAR OF NEVADA — OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

PURPOSE

This exhibit accompanies the individual attorney-discipline complaints and requests that the Office of Bar Counsel verify each attorney's current and historical Nevada Bar registration status, Bar number, professional/practice address, firm affiliation, public disciplinary status, and authority to practice law in Nevada. The complainants further request identification, to the extent available from official records, of every other state or jurisdiction in which each attorney is admitted, licensed, registered, inactive, suspended, resigned, retired, or otherwise authorized or formerly authorized to practice law. This request does not state that an attorney is unlicensed merely because the complainants have not independently located a current directory record.

ATTORNEYS FOR VERIFICATION

Attorney	Documented Matter / Role	Current Bar Status	Current Professional Address	Bar Verification Requested	Nevada Practice Authority	Other Jurisdiction Licenses
Richard S. Ehlers, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel; A-21-843907-C; appearance documented July 19, 2022	Verification requested	Verification requested	Bar no.; status; registered business address; firm	Verify admission/status and authority at relevant dates	Identify states/jurisdictions, Bar/license numbers, admission dates and
Cuong M. Nguyen, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel; A-21-843907-C; June 2022 proceedings documented.	Verification requested	Verification requested	Bar no.; status; registered business address; firm	Verify admission/status and authority at relevant dates	Identify states/jurisdictions, Bar/license numbers, admission dates and
Eddie R. Jimenez, Esq.	Lead attorney for Ally Bank shown on docket in A-21-843907-C.	Nevada registration independently indicated; Bar confirmation	San Diego professional location indicated; exact Bar-registered address	Confirm Bar no. 10376, status, registered business address, firm	Verify admission/status and authority at relevant dates	Identify states/jurisdictions, Bar/license numbers, admission dates and
John M. Becker, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel; A-21-843907-C; May 2022 appearance documented.	Verification requested	Verification requested	Bar no.; status; registered business address; firm	Verify admission/status and authority at relevant dates	Identify states/jurisdictions, Bar/license numbers, admission dates and
R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.	Aldridge Pite LLP; identified in existing record for Justice Court No. 22000661	Verification requested	Verification requested	Bar no.; status; registered business address; firm	Verify admission/status and authority at relevant dates	Identify states/jurisdictions, Bar/license numbers, admission dates and
John E. Leach, Esq.	LKG; documented HOA/property counsel in Jan. 5, 2021 correspondence.	Verification requested / current professional firm identified	Leach Kern Gruchow Song; Las Vegas professional office identified in public	Confirm Bar no.; status; Bar-registered business address; firm	Verify admission/status and authority at relevant dates	Identify states/jurisdictions, Bar/license numbers, admission dates and

REQUEST TO OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

The complainants respectfully request that Bar Counsel use its official membership records to reconcile each attorney's identity with the court docket, pleadings, appearances, and correspondence supplied with the complaint. Where a person is currently registered, please associate the complaint with the correct Bar member record. Where a name, firm, address, admission status, or jurisdiction differs from the historical court record, the complainants request that the current and historical registration information be used to prevent misidentification.

RECORD CHARACTERIZATION

The Clark County docket in the supporting record identifies Richard S. Ehlers, Cuong M. Nguyen, Eddie R. Jimenez, and John M. Becker as attorneys associated with Ally Bank in Case No. A-21-843907-C. Separate supporting records identify R. Samuel Ehlers and John E. Leach in the related property matters. These records establish the documented appearances or associations shown by those sources; this exhibit separately requests verification of current Nevada Bar registration and professional-address information.

SUPPLEMENTAL LICENSURE / PRACTICE-AUTHORITY REQUEST

For each attorney identified above, please verify: (1) Nevada Bar number; (2) date of admission; (3) current membership status; (4) membership status on the dates of the documented appearances or conduct; (5) authority to practice in the Nevada tribunal in which the appearance occurred; (6) registered professional/business address and firm affiliation; (7) all other states or jurisdictions of admission reflected in official membership, reciprocal-admission, pro hac vice, or disciplinary records; (8) corresponding Bar/license number and admission date for each jurisdiction; (9) whether each license is active, inactive, suspended, resigned, retired, or otherwise restricted; and (10) any publicly reportable disciplinary or reciprocal-discipline status relevant to those licenses.

Where an attorney appeared in Nevada but was not an active Nevada-admitted member at the relevant time, please identify any documented pro hac vice admission, association with Nevada counsel, limited-practice authorization, or other authority relied upon for that appearance. This is a verification request; no unauthorized-practice conclusion is asserted unless the official admission and appearance records establish it.

RELATED COURT RECORD

5-Page Continued Order & Finding of Void-Ab-Initio Defects:

https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/Continued_Void_Ab_Initio_Order_5_Page_2026.pdf

Complete Supporting Court Record:

<https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/hoj-consular-court-complete-record-2026.pdf>

BAR USE / RESPONSE

Bar File / Reference No.	_____
Verified By / Date	_____
Registration / Address Corrections	_____
Notes / Referral	_____
Nevada Bar No. / Admission Date / Status	_____
Nevada Practice Authority at Relevant Date(s)	_____
Other State / Jurisdiction Admissions & License Nos.	_____
Pro Hac Vice / Limited-Practice Authority, if applicable	_____
Public Disciplinary / Reciprocal Status	_____

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT — OFFICIAL PRACTICE-ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION REQUEST

STATE BAR OF NEVADA / RELATED LICENSING JURISDICTIONS

PURPOSE

This supplemental exhibit requests official verification of whether each identified attorney was eligible and authorized to practice law in Nevada on the dates of the documented appearances or conduct, and whether the attorney held or holds licenses or admissions in California or any other jurisdiction. The request also asks the appropriate Bar authority to determine whether any lien, judgment, disciplinary order, suspension, reciprocal discipline, court order, financial-obligation suspension, or other legally recognized restriction affected the attorney's practice eligibility, disclosure obligations, or professional status at the relevant time.

IMPORTANT CHARACTERIZATION

The complainants do not assert that the mere existence or filing of a private lien or UCC financing statement automatically suspended an attorney's license or prohibited the practice of law. Rather, the attached lien/UCC materials are supplied as part of the historical record, and the Bar is asked to determine from its official membership, disciplinary, court, and reciprocal-admission records whether any legally operative restriction existed.

ATTORNEYS TO BE VERIFIED

Attorney	Nevada Matter / Role	Nevada Eligibility at Relevant Date	California / Other Admissions	Lien/Judgment/Restriction Effect
Richard S. Ehlers, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel — A-21-843907-C; July 2022 appearance documented.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
Cuong M. Nguyen, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel — A-21-843907-C; June 2022 proceedings documented.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
Eddie R. Jimenez, Esq.	Lead attorney for Ally Bank shown in A-21-843907-C docket.	VERIFY	VERIFY California and other admissions	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
John M. Becker, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel — A-21-843907-C; May 2022 appearance documented.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.	Aldridge Pite LLP — Justice Court No. 23C006611 record.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
John E. Leach, Esq.	LKG — HOA/property counsel in Jan. 5, 2021 correspondence.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR OFFICIAL VERIFICATION

1. What was each attorney's Nevada Bar number, admission date, membership status, and eligibility to practice on each date the attorney appeared, signed, filed, communicated, or acted in the identified Nevada matter?
2. Was any attorney suspended, inactive, disbarred, resigned, administratively ineligible, subject to reciprocal discipline, or otherwise restricted from practice on any relevant date?
3. If an attorney was not an active Nevada member, was there a valid pro hac vice admission, limited-practice authorization, association with Nevada counsel, or other documented authority permitting the appearance?
4. In what other states or jurisdictions, including California where applicable, was or is each attorney admitted? Please identify Bar/license number, admission date, and status at the relevant time and currently.
5. Did any judgment, lien, financial-obligation order, disciplinary order, tax/support suspension, court order, or other legally recognized event result in an actual suspension, ineligibility, reporting obligation, reciprocal-discipline obligation, conflict disclosure, or other practice restriction?

6. If the attached UCC/lien records themselves had no effect on practice eligibility, please distinguish that fact from any separate judgment, disciplinary proceeding, administrative suspension, or other operative restriction appearing in official records.
7. Were any changes in firm affiliation, registered professional address, licensing jurisdiction, or membership status made during or after the underlying proceedings that should be reconciled with the historical court docket?
8. Is there any publicly reportable disciplinary or reciprocal-discipline history relevant to the conduct period or to the attorney's current eligibility?

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Supporting materials include the attorney-specific complaint forms; attorney registration/licensure verification exhibit; relevant court docket and appearance records; the UCC/lien exhibit schedule; attorney-specific pleadings and correspondence; and the House of Judah record supplied for source provenance and chronology.

Continued Court Order: https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/Continued_Void_Ab_Initio_Order_5_Page_2026.pdf

Complete Supporting Record: <https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/hoj-consular-court-complete-record-2026.pdf>

REQUESTED RESPONSE / RECORD RECONCILIATION

Bar File / Reference No.	_____
Attorney / Bar No.	_____
Status at Relevant Date(s)	_____
Other Jurisdiction Admissions	_____
Operative Restriction, if any	_____
Verified By / Date	_____



FILED

Consular Court

House Of Judah

September 14, 2026

8:35 AM

**HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL
XIII
COURT OF RECORD**

STATE BAR OF NEVADA OFFICE OF BAR COUNSEL

MASTER ATTORNEY-DISCIPLINE COMPLAINT PACKAGE

ALDRIDGE PITE LLP / ALDRIDGE PITE HAAN LLP AND DOCUMENTED COUNSEL

Prepared as a master narrative and exhibit index for separate attorney complaints arising from Nevada Case No. A-21-843907-C and related documented proceedings. The State Bar of Nevada requires a separate complaint for each attorney; accordingly, this master package is designed to be attached to individual complaint forms for each attorney named below.

I. COMPLAINANTS / UNDERLYING MATTERS

Complainants: Princess Emilily Hotep El and Prince Ra Hotep El, with supporting records concerning the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust and related capacities. Primary matter: Ally Bank v. Monica Hall / Monica Renee Hall, Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, Case No. A-21-843907-C. Related federal matter: 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA. Related appellate record: Ninth Circuit No. 22-70183. Related property/possession matter: Justice Court Case No. 23C006611, to the extent a particular attorney's participation is established by an attached source.

II. RESPONDENT ATTORNEYS — DOCUMENTED IN PRESENT RECORD

Attorney	Firm / Affiliation	Documented Role	Individual Complaint
Richard S. Ehlers, Esq.	Aldridge Pite-related record	Retained counsel for Ally Bank; docket shows appearance for Plaintiff July 19, 2022	YES
Cuong M. Nguyen, Esq.	Counsel shown in A-21-843907-C	Retained counsel for Ally Bank; appeared for Plaintiff in June 2022	YES
Eddie R. Jimenez, Esq.	Counsel shown in A-21-843907-C	Lead attorney for Ally Bank shown on docket	YES

John M. Becker, Esq.	Counsel shown in A-21-843907-C	Retained counsel for Ally Bank; appeared for Plaintiff May 2022	YES
R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.	Aldridge Pite LLP	Counsel identified in existing record for Fannie Mae / successors or assigns in Justice Court No. 23C006611; exact pleading should accompany complaint	YES, if Nevada-licensed and exact participation source attached
John E. Leach, Esq.	LKG — Leach Kern Gruchow Anderson Song	Documented counsel for Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association in Jan. 5, 2021 correspondence	YES only for conduct supported by source documents

III. LAW FIRM / ORGANIZATIONAL CONTEXT

Aldridge Pite LLP, also referenced in older materials as Aldridge Pite Haan LLP, is included as the law-firm context for conduct performed through attorneys whose participation is established by pleadings, docket entries, correspondence, or other authenticated records. This complaint does not assume that every lawyer at the firm knew or participated in every act. The State Bar is asked to determine individual responsibility from the files, supervisory chain, signatures, appearances, communications, and records.

Because attorney discipline ordinarily attaches to individual lawyers rather than treating a law firm itself as a single license holder, the firm is identified as the common institutional source of records and supervision. Each lawyer should receive a separate complaint as required by the State Bar's current filing instructions.

IV. CORE GRIEVANCE

The grievance concerns the attorneys' professional conduct in presenting, maintaining, or relying upon party identity, debtor identity, service, default, standing, creditor authority, trust/property ownership, satisfaction/tender, and related representations to Nevada courts. The complainants contend that counsel had repeated notice that the record distinguished Monica Hall, Monica Renee Hall, Monica Rene Hall, Princess Emilily Hotep El, and the Monica Rene Hall Estate Trust, yet adverse proceedings continued without adequate reconciliation of those identities and capacities.

The complaint does not ask the State Bar to decide title, vacate a judgment, award damages, or enforce a House of Judah Consular Court instrument. It asks the Office of Bar Counsel to investigate whether each attorney's own statements, filings, omissions, representations, and use of process complied with the Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct.

V. SPECIFIC DOCUMENTED ISSUES FOR INVESTIGATION

- Party and debtor identity: determine the factual and documentary basis used by each attorney for the names Monica Hall and Monica Renee Hall, and whether counsel possessed contrary identity/capacity records when making representations to the court.

- Service and default: compare the complaint, summons, return/proof of service, default papers, attorney declarations, and later challenges to determine whether counsel accurately represented who had been served and in what capacity.
- Creditor identity and authority: determine the documentary basis for Ally Bank's claimed rights and reconcile records referring to Ally Bank and Ally Financial, without assuming from the name difference alone that either entity lacked authority.
- Trust/property ownership: determine what title, trust, assessor, DMV, or other ownership information counsel possessed before seeking relief affecting property claimed by the Trust or other persons.
- Satisfaction/tender: the docket contains satisfaction-related entries. Determine what counsel knew concerning satisfaction, tender, payment, or claimed discharge and whether any later representation to the tribunal was accurate and complete.
- Removal chronology: the docket reflects a June 18, 2022 Notice of Removal to federal Case No. 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA and later state-court activity. Determine what each attorney represented concerning the status and effect of removal and whether those representations were candid.
- Use of aliases and capacities: determine the source for any alias, a/k/a, fictitious-name, alter-ego, successor, or similar characterization used against a person, Trust, business designation, or property interest.
- Communications and threatened sanctions: investigate any authenticated attorney communications threatening vexatious-litigant treatment, sanctions, or other consequences if the complainants continued filing or asserting their positions. The exact email or correspondence should control, not a later paraphrase.
- Candor and correction: determine whether any attorney learned that a material representation previously made to a tribunal was inaccurate and, if so, what corrective action was taken.
- Fairness and administration of justice: determine whether litigation tactics or use of process crossed from zealous representation into conduct prohibited by the Rules of Professional Conduct.

VI. NEVADA RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT — ISSUES TO EVALUATE

The complainants request evaluation under the Rules actually supported by each attorney's conduct.

Potentially relevant provisions include RPC 3.3 (Candor Toward the Tribunal), RPC 3.4 (Fairness to Opposing Party and Counsel), RPC 4.1 (Truthfulness in Statements to Others), RPC 4.4 (Respect for Rights of Third Persons), and RPC 8.4, including dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation and conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice. Conflict rules, including RPC 1.7 and related provisions, should be applied only if the Bar's investigation establishes the required attorney-client relationships and conflicting duties.

The complainants do not ask the Bar to infer an ethical violation merely because lawyers from the same firm represented different clients or because counsel prevailed in a disputed matter. The requested inquiry is document-specific: who knew what, when; what was represented; what source supported it; whether contrary material information was known; and whether correction or disclosure was required.

VII. ATTORNEY-SPECIFIC COMPLAINT SHEETS

RICHARD S. EHLERS, ESQ.

A-21-843907-C docket identifies him as retained counsel for Ally Bank and records his appearance for Plaintiff on July 19, 2022.

Requested investigation: Review this attorney's signed filings, appearances, declarations, correspondence, source documents, knowledge of identity/capacity and ownership records, and any representations concerning service, default, creditor authority, satisfaction/tender, removal, aliases, or property. Apply only those Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct supported by the attorney's own documented acts or omissions.

Requested disposition: Investigation and such action as the Office of Bar Counsel determines warranted under its disciplinary authority. No request is made in this complaint for monetary damages or alteration of the underlying court judgment.

CUONG M. NGUYEN, ESQ.

A-21-843907-C docket identifies him as retained counsel for Ally Bank and records appearances for Plaintiff in June 2022.

Requested investigation: Review this attorney's signed filings, appearances, declarations, correspondence, source documents, knowledge of identity/capacity and ownership records, and any representations concerning service, default, creditor authority, satisfaction/tender, removal, aliases, or property. Apply only those Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct supported by the attorney's own documented acts or omissions.

Requested disposition: Investigation and such action as the Office of Bar Counsel determines warranted under its disciplinary authority. No request is made in this complaint for monetary damages or alteration of the underlying court judgment.

EDDIE R. JIMENEZ, ESQ.

A-21-843907-C docket identifies him as lead attorney for Ally Bank.

Requested investigation: Review this attorney's signed filings, appearances, declarations, correspondence, source documents, knowledge of identity/capacity and ownership records, and any representations concerning service, default, creditor authority, satisfaction/tender, removal, aliases, or property. Apply only those Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct supported by the attorney's own documented acts or omissions.

Requested disposition: Investigation and such action as the Office of Bar Counsel determines warranted under its disciplinary authority. No request is made in this complaint for monetary damages or alteration of the underlying court judgment.

JOHN M. BECKER, ESQ.

A-21-843907-C docket identifies him as retained counsel for Ally Bank and records an appearance for Plaintiff in May 2022.

Requested investigation: Review this attorney's signed filings, appearances, declarations, correspondence, source documents, knowledge of identity/capacity and ownership records, and any representations concerning service, default, creditor authority, satisfaction/tender, removal, aliases, or property. Apply only those Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct supported by the attorney's own documented acts or omissions.

Requested disposition: Investigation and such action as the Office of Bar Counsel determines warranted under its disciplinary authority. No request is made in this complaint for monetary damages or alteration of the underlying court judgment.

R. SAMUEL EHLERS, ESQ.

Existing records identify him with Aldridge Pite LLP in Justice Court No. 23C006611 for Federal National Mortgage Association / successors or assigns. Attach the exact pleading before submission.

Requested investigation: Review this attorney's signed filings, appearances, declarations, correspondence, source documents, knowledge of identity/capacity and ownership records, and any representations concerning service, default, creditor authority, satisfaction/tender, removal, aliases, or property. Apply only those Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct supported by the attorney's own documented acts or omissions.

Requested disposition: Investigation and such action as the Office of Bar Counsel determines warranted under its disciplinary authority. No request is made in this complaint for monetary damages or alteration of the underlying court judgment.

JOHN E. LEACH, ESQ.

January 5, 2021 LKG correspondence expressly identifies his firm as legal counsel for Timberlake Street and Landscape Maintenance Association and addresses the Hotep El identities while discussing the Monica Rene Hall assessor record.

Requested investigation: Review this attorney's signed filings, appearances, declarations, correspondence, source documents, knowledge of identity/capacity and ownership records, and any representations concerning service, default, creditor authority, satisfaction/tender, removal, aliases, or property. Apply only those Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct supported by the attorney's own documented acts or omissions.

Requested disposition: Investigation and such action as the Office of Bar Counsel determines warranted under its disciplinary authority. No request is made in this complaint for monetary damages or alteration of the underlying court judgment.

VIII. EXHIBIT INDEX

- A — Complete A-21-843907-C docket identifying attorneys, appearances, default, satisfaction entries, removal, and relevant motions.
- B — Complaint, summons, proof/return of service, default application and default judgment materials.
- C — Identity/name-change/trade-name/capacity records actually provided to counsel or filed in the matter, with proof of date and receipt.
- D — Trust, title, assessor, DMV, vehicle, and property records relevant to the exact property or debtor targeted.
- E — Satisfaction, tender, payment, discharge, or creditor-authority records actually communicated to counsel.
- F — June 18, 2022 Notice of Removal and relevant federal docket materials in 2:22-cv-00874-APG-DJA.
- G — Exact emails, letters, notices, and service records between complainants and each respondent attorney.
- H — January 5, 2021 John E. Leach/LKG HOA counsel letter and referenced November 11, 2020 communication.
- I — Exact Justice Court No. 23C006611 pleading identifying R. Samuel Ehlers/Aldridge Pite LLP and the represented party.

- J — Representation / Knowledge / Identity-Notice / Source-Provenance Matrix, used as an index only; underlying documents control.
- K — Prior complaints, agency receipts, or attorney-discipline correspondence, if any, showing earlier notice to a particular respondent.

IX. ATTESTATION

The complainants attest that the statements submitted are true to the best of their knowledge, information, and belief. Where a matter is not yet established by an attached source, it is expressly presented as an issue for investigation rather than as an adjudicated fact.

Date: September 14, 2026

Princess Emilily Hotep El

Envoy Princess Emilily Hotep El
Empress
All Rights Reserved UCC1-308

Prince Ra Hotep El

Envoy Prince Ra Hotep El Sultan
All Rights Reserved UCC 1-308



Email: ConsularCourtTribunal13@proton.me

X. FILING NOTE

State Bar of Nevada instructions presently state that a separate complaint should be submitted for each attorney. This master narrative should therefore be duplicated behind an individual complaint cover sheet for each respondent attorney, with the attorney-specific evidence highlighted. The Bar permits pertinent court documents and correspondence to be attached and recommends U.S. Mail for large document sets.

Chief Justice Maria Ali — Clerk for the House of Judah Tribunal VIII

Chief Justice Ali
 UCC 1-308

Date: September 14, 2026



Both subscribed and sworn before me this 14th, day of September, 2026. Vizir Notary / Authorized Judicial Officer for the Consular Court Tribunal VIII House of Judah

Natalie
 Chief Justice

HOUSE OF JUDAH CONSULAR COURT TRIBUNAL XIII

COURT OF RECORD

SUPPLEMENTAL EXHIBIT — OFFICIAL PRACTICE-ELIGIBILITY VERIFICATION REQUEST

STATE BAR OF NEVADA / RELATED LICENSING JURISDICTIONS

PURPOSE

This supplemental exhibit requests official verification of whether each identified attorney was eligible and authorized to practice law in Nevada on the dates of the documented appearances or conduct, and whether the attorney held or holds licenses or admissions in California or any other jurisdiction. The request also asks the appropriate Bar authority to determine whether any lien, judgment, disciplinary order, suspension, reciprocal discipline, court order, financial-obligation suspension, or other legally recognized restriction affected the attorney's practice eligibility, disclosure obligations, or professional status at the relevant time.

IMPORTANT CHARACTERIZATION

The complainants do not assert that the mere existence or filing of a private lien or UCC financing statement automatically suspended an attorney's license or prohibited the practice of law. Rather, the attached lien/UCC materials are supplied as part of the historical record, and the Bar is asked to determine from its official membership, disciplinary, court, and reciprocal-admission records whether any legally operative restriction existed.

ATTORNEYS TO BE VERIFIED

Attorney	Nevada Matter / Role	Nevada Eligibility at Relevant Date	California / Other Admissions	Lien/Judgment/Restriction Effect
Richard S. Ehlers, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel — A-21-843907-C; July 2022 appearance documented.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
Cuong M. Nguyen, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel — A-21-843907-C; June 2022 proceedings documented.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
Eddie R. Jimenez, Esq.	Lead attorney for Ally Bank shown in A-21-843907-C docket.	VERIFY	VERIFY California and other admissions	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
John M. Becker, Esq.	Ally Bank counsel — A-21-843907-C; May 2022 appearance documented.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
R. Samuel Ehlers, Esq.	Aldridge Pite LLP — Justice Court No. 23C006611 record.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure
John E. Leach, Esq.	LKG — HOA/property counsel in Jan. 5, 2021 correspondence.	VERIFY	VERIFY	VERIFY whether any legally operative restriction affected eligibility or disclosure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED FOR OFFICIAL VERIFICATION

1. What was each attorney's Nevada Bar number, admission date, membership status, and eligibility to practice on each date the attorney appeared, signed, filed, communicated, or acted in the identified Nevada matter?
2. Was any attorney suspended, inactive, disbarred, resigned, administratively ineligible, subject to reciprocal discipline, or otherwise restricted from practice on any relevant date?
3. If an attorney was not an active Nevada member, was there a valid pro hac vice admission, limited-practice authorization, association with Nevada counsel, or other documented authority permitting the appearance?
4. In what other states or jurisdictions, including California where applicable, was or is each attorney admitted? Please identify Bar/license number, admission date, and status at the relevant time and currently.
5. Did any judgment, lien, financial-obligation order, disciplinary order, tax/support suspension, court order, or other legally recognized event result in an actual suspension, ineligibility, reporting obligation, reciprocal-discipline obligation, conflict disclosure, or other practice restriction?

6. If the attached UCC/lien records themselves had no effect on practice eligibility, please distinguish that fact from any separate judgment, disciplinary proceeding, administrative suspension, or other operative restriction appearing in official records.
7. Were any changes in firm affiliation, registered professional address, licensing jurisdiction, or membership status made during or after the underlying proceedings that should be reconciled with the historical court docket?
8. Is there any publicly reportable disciplinary or reciprocal-discipline history relevant to the conduct period or to the attorney's current eligibility?

SUPPORTING MATERIALS

Supporting materials include the attorney-specific complaint forms; attorney registration/licensure verification exhibit; relevant court docket and appearance records; the UCC/lien exhibit schedule; attorney-specific pleadings and correspondence; and the House of Judah record supplied for source provenance and chronology.

Continued Court Order: https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/Continued_Void_Ab_Initio_Order_5_Page_2026.pdf

Complete Supporting Record: <https://www.dbnft.ai/court-docs/hoj-consular-court-complete-record-2026.pdf>

REQUESTED RESPONSE / RECORD RECONCILIATION

Bar File / Reference No.	_____
Attorney / Bar No.	_____
Status at Relevant Date(s)	_____
Other Jurisdiction Admissions	_____
Operative Restriction, if any	_____
Verified By / Date	_____